Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.ham-radio

1998

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 1998-01-01 through 1998-12-31

Source text: rec.ham-radio.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 554

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
Message-ID: <34abc5f8.164334@news.total.net>
Source record: 2120
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 29 Dec 1997 01:25:09 -0800, "Ted Lee"
<amway@nospam.rogers.wave.ca> wrote:

>I first spend $400 Cdn to purchase that radio.

I bought it for $299.

>Next month it was on sale for $329, I should of waited,

RS reimburses you a price difference if within 30 days (I always buy
a stuff when it's on sale. It's usual to see $100-$150 discount on
certain products).

RS Canada sells a card that gives you a 10% discount on anything from
regular price at $15 I think. If you buy something over $100, you
will get the card free.

If something is priced $400, you will able to get it $360 instead.

Many people don't know this... just a tip from "cutie boy" :)

>Brought it in again for repairs. This time the warranty ran out. So it cost
>me $120 to fix.

>Waited four months. Replace more chips, at my cost, no labour cost since
>under repair 90 day warranty. Cost me an other $90.

>I listen about 200 minutes for every minute transmitted!!

>My old 2nd hand Kenwood TR-7800 works great, never had a program.

I realize some of the RS stuffs don't work after a couple of days.
It happened to me a couple of times... but usually these things
happen in first 30 days and can be replaced very easily.

Make sure you buy an extend warranty on high priced products.
My HTX-202 went to repair for a couple of times and I got a new one.
If I had no extended warranty, my HTX-202 just became a garbage (202
comes only 90 day warranty).

>Here my brand new HTX-212 spending more time in the shop that actual usage!

I am using HTX-242. Seems it's working OK.

>I have a HTX-202, works great, along with my ICOM IC-02AT which I've been
>using 320 days a year since 1989.

>no problems with voltage, or swr.

> So why did the HTX-212 fail me twice?

you didn't have a luck.

>So next time, buy the extended warranty for Radio Shack radios.

depends on what you purchase though...

I don't buy any extended warranties on scanners... but I do buy it
on amateur stuffs.

>never again to buy a Radio Shack mobile.

My 242 is working OK. My 202 wasn't working OK.
kinda opposite eh ??

Gar&#65533;on Mignon (&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
Mail-Electronique: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (Universit&#65533; McGill)

Enlevez l' " .ham " pour r&#65533;pondre.
Please remove "ham" to reply.

ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

2. Re: radio shack htx-404

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Carlson <rcarls2@gl.umbc.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SGI.3.96.980101125430.19910A-100000@umbc8.umbc.edu>
Source record: 2121
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 30 Dec 1997, DANO wrote:

> does any one have the mods for this radio???are their any for it

You can open it up and turn the pots to adjust your transmit power and deviation, but I don't figure that's what you were looking for.

Rob KC2AEI

3. Alternative to Radio Shack 242.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Carlson <rcarls2@gl.umbc.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SGI.3.96.980101130113.19910B-100000@umbc8.umbc.edu>
Source record: 2122
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Dec 1997, DANO wrote:

> anyone had experience with rs mobile 2-meter rig. what did yiu think. can
> it be converted for any goodies? is it worth the money they're asking? any
> suggestions for something in the same price range.

Try the IC-2000H. It's roughly similar, with 50W max and 60 alpha
memories. Last I heard, HRO was seeling them for $234.95.

I have one and I love it.

Rob KC2AEI

4. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Louis Bybee <louisb@datacomm.com>
Message-ID: <34AC455C.AB25280D@datacomm.com>
Source record: 2123
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I have purchased a lot of things from Radio Shack and for the most part I have been treated well. The times I have had trouble that I didn't think was fair, I complained directly to the Brass in Fort Worth. In every case after a reaming for being bothered by a customer that should have been taken care of locally, the store manager in question couldn't do enough to make me happy. In one case the manager even ran from the counter when he saw me coming to open the door and give me the royal treatment. The moral to this story is TAKE the time to write a letter to the Top Brass of any company you are having trouble with. Be polite, point out the problem you are having, be specific on the solution you would like, and don't fill the letter with foul language and threats. I have found that the above advice usually produces positive results even when what I'm asking for isn't what I deserve.

Louis

Dwight G. Bosselman wrote:

> If you do buy Radio Shak, be sure to buy the service contract. I bought an HT
> 3 years ago, went back for repair six times, then a month before the service
> contract ran out, they gave me a new rig, but wouldn't let me renew the serv.
> contract. Also went thru two of their spkr mikes. The real joke is I probably
> didn't use the stuff more than once a week, at the most. About the same time I
> had bought one of their 30w amps, it sizzled out two months later, they did fix
> that for free. Have had real good luck with their DSP. Must admit their service
> is great!
>
> Beware when you buy! I surely won't waste my time or money on their stuff
> again!
>
> 73 Dwight W9YQ
>
> John Lochey wrote:
>
> > On this same note, I'm thinking of buying an Icom T2A, but a friend begged
> > me to check out the Radio Shack 2M rig first (HT). It seems more
> > expensive, bigger and with less features. Plus Radio Shack always dinks
> > you with no accessories. The accessories usually have to be boughten later
> > or seperately. Any ideas or thoughts?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > John
> >

> > DANO <kimo9@idt.net> wrote in article
> > <01bd13ac$f1425200$081871ce@default>...
> > > anyone had experience with rs mobile 2-meter rig. what did yiu think. can
> > > it be converted for any goodies? is it worth the money they're asking?
> > any
> > > suggestions for something in the same price range.
> > > DANO
> > >
>
> --
> 73 Dwight de W9YQ

5. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tech <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.980102091712.2870A-100000@home.dp.net>
Source record: 2124
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

It goes something like this..... No matter who makes it, every company
gets a lemon or two, or perhaps a run of them, in their line up.
It happens with cars, radios, etc.

I have owned 3 RS 202's and know of others that own them , and have had
little if any problems, shy of talking too much and needing to keep the
B.P. charged. I'd swear by them. But at the same time, I've had others
give me problems, and know of a guy who had an 2 meter mobile (not a RS)
in his car, burn up. He had it wired to the battery as most often times
do. The rig was only about 3 months old. He turned it and the car off,
went into the Post office for a minute, came back out to the car and found
smoke pouring out of the rig. The PC board had a hole the size of a 50
cent peice in it after all was said and done.

So, it's not any one brand, that has it's faults..... The ONLY RS rig
that gave me a problem was the 404. I had it for a few weeks, barely used
it one hour and the audio/squelch circuit defected. They replaced the rig.
Had no problems with the new one. Over a year now.

Tech@DP.NET

On Wed, 31 Dec 1997, Dwight G. Bosselman wrote:

> Date: Wed, 31 Dec 1997 18:50:17 -0600
> From: "Dwight G. Bosselman" <dgbcms@netnet.net>
> Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio, alt.ham-radio.fm, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,
> alt.radio.amateur, rec.ham-radio, rec.radio.amateur,
> rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs
>
> If you do buy Radio Shak, be sure to buy the service contract. I bought an HT
> 3 years ago, went back for repair six times, then a month before the service
> contract ran out, they gave me a new rig, but wouldn't let me renew the serv.
> contract. Also went thru two of their spkr mikes. The real joke is I probably
> didn't use the stuff more than once a week, at the most. About the same time I
> had bought one of their 30w amps, it sizzled out two months later, they did fix
> that for free. Have had real good luck with their DSP. Must admit their service
> is great!
>
> Beware when you buy! I surely won't waste my time or money on their stuff
> again!
>
> 73 Dwight W9YQ
>

> John Lochey wrote:
>
> > On this same note, I'm thinking of buying an Icom T2A, but a friend begged
> > me to check out the Radio Shack 2M rig first (HT). It seems more
> > expensive, bigger and with less features. Plus Radio Shack always dinks
> > you with no accessories. The accessories usually have to be boughten later
> > or seperately. Any ideas or thoughts?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > John
> >
> > DANO <kimo9@idt.net> wrote in article
> > <01bd13ac$f1425200$081871ce@default>...
> > > anyone had experience with rs mobile 2-meter rig. what did yiu think. can
> > > it be converted for any goodies? is it worth the money they're asking?
> > any
> > > suggestions for something in the same price range.
> > > DANO
> > >
>
>
>
> --
> 73 Dwight de W9YQ
>
>
>
>

6. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas.Weeks@mirage.brooks.af.mil
Message-ID: <68j2l6nm1@leo.brooks.af.mil>
Source record: 2125
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <Pine.BSI.3.95.980102091712.2870A-100000@home.dp.net>, tech@dp.net says...
>
>
>It goes something like this..... No matter who makes it, every company
>gets a lemon or two, or perhaps a run of them, in their line up.
>It happens with cars, radios, etc.
>
>I have owned 3 RS 202's and know of others that own them , and have had
>little if any problems,

Hi!

I just got a used 202 for $120 and am working on getting my tech or novice license...

I am an EE (EET really) and was interested if you knew about any good hardware hacks that can be
performed on this rig...?

PLease send replies to tweeks@texas.net

Thanx..

Tom D Tek

7. WTB 12KV6A Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: risd <risd@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34AD5A00.4AFB@flash.net>
Source record: 2126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.raio.amateur.equipment

I need one or more 12KV6A tubes. Please e,ail with description and costs.

joe N5RMA

8. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham VE1FC <ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <34adb5a9.0@198.164.187.20>
Source record: 2127
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I have both a 202 and a 242.....
The 202 is GREAT.. excellent receive..
The 242 is just too dam broadband on receive...
I receive the local ambulance on the 147.165 machine here in town.. I wish
the 242 was narrow band like the 202.... Also sensitivity is poor on the
144-148 mhz area... Hell I got the 3 year contract... Might as well slap it
on the counter for them to look at....

All said and done the Radio Shack radios are good.. as far as I am concerned
..
Graham
ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca
http://www.surfcity.nb.ca/~ve1fc

Cutie Boy wrote in message <34abc5f8.164334@news.total.net>...
>On Mon, 29 Dec 1997 01:25:09 -0800, "Ted Lee"
><amway@nospam.rogers.wave.ca> wrote:
>
>>I first spend $400 Cdn to purchase that radio.
>
>I bought it for $299.
>
>>Next month it was on sale for $329, I should of waited,
>
>RS reimburses you a price difference if within 30 days (I always buy
>a stuff when it's on sale. It's usual to see $100-$150 discount on
>certain products).
>
>RS Canada sells a card that gives you a 10% discount on anything from
>regular price at $15 I think. If you buy something over $100, you
>will get the card free.
>
>If something is priced $400, you will able to get it $360 instead.
>
>Many people don't know this... just a tip from "cutie boy" :)
>
>
>>Brought it in again for repairs. This time the warranty ran out. So it
cost
>>me $120 to fix.
>
>>Waited four months. Replace more chips, at my cost, no labour cost since

>>under repair 90 day warranty. Cost me an other $90.
>
>>I listen about 200 minutes for every minute transmitted!!
>
>>My old 2nd hand Kenwood TR-7800 works great, never had a program.
>
>I realize some of the RS stuffs don't work after a couple of days.
>It happened to me a couple of times... but usually these things
>happen in first 30 days and can be replaced very easily.
>
>
>Make sure you buy an extend warranty on high priced products.
>My HTX-202 went to repair for a couple of times and I got a new one.
>If I had no extended warranty, my HTX-202 just became a garbage (202
>comes only 90 day warranty).
>
>>Here my brand new HTX-212 spending more time in the shop that actual
usage!
>
>I am using HTX-242. Seems it's working OK.
>
>
>>I have a HTX-202, works great, along with my ICOM IC-02AT which I've been
>>using 320 days a year since 1989.
>
>>no problems with voltage, or swr.
>
>
>> So why did the HTX-212 fail me twice?
>
>you didn't have a luck.
>
>
>>So next time, buy the extended warranty for Radio Shack radios.
>
>depends on what you purchase though...
>
>I don't buy any extended warranties on scanners... but I do buy it
>on amateur stuffs.
>
>
>>never again to buy a Radio Shack mobile.
>
>
>My 242 is working OK. My 202 wasn't working OK.
>kinda opposite eh ??
>
>
>_____

>
>Gar&#65533;on Mignon (&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>Mail-Electronique: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
> http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (Universit&#65533; McGill)
>_____
>***Enlevez l' " .ham " pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>***Please remove "ham" to reply.***
>
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

9. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
Message-ID: <34ae2bbd.1506205@news.total.net>
Source record: 2128
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 2 Jan 1998 23:47:16 -0400, "Graham VE1FC" <ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca>
wrote:

>I have both a 202 and a 242.....

>The 202 is GREAT.. excellent receive..
>The 242 is just too dam broadband on receive...

I use 242 and I don't get any intermods usually... 202 doesn't also.

>I receive the local ambulance on the 147.165 machine here in town.. I wish
>the 242 was narrow band like the 202....

check your ambulance freqs to make sure that its image freq or double
or triple freq doesn't fall near 147.165. I sometimes hear nearby
cordless phones on 147.060 which is directly coming from 49.02 MHz
cordless phone.

> Also sensitivity is poor on the
> 144-148 mhz area...

242 doesn't work well for SIMPLEX operation.

> Hell I got the 3 year contract...

I have a three year warranty too.

Gar&#65533;on Mignon (&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
Mail-Electronique: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (Universit&#65533; McGill)

Enlevez l' " .ham " pour r&#65533;pondre.
Please remove "ham" to reply.

ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

10. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Crews <crews@erols.com>
Message-ID: <68m8fm$1ms$1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 2129
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I have owned a RS HT-220 for years now. I prefer it over my Alinco HT most of the time.

Do not try to modify the Radio Shack HT-220. I have read too many horror stories about those who have tried.

I am a U.S. Navy Electronics Technician. I have gone over the schematics for the radio. There are no "SAFE" mods to be accomplished. I know of two people that have tried a "Safe Mod" on their radio. They both were destroyed. Radio Shack had the radio built this way on purpose.

73 de KD4REL

11. Re: Interference!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb9jtk@flnet.com
Message-ID: <34AF1A23.4AFE@flnet.com>
Source record: 2130
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,

[-Scanner-] wrote:
>
> Help!
>
> I used my Pro-2042 and Dx-398 next to my pc....
> I keep getting interference on both when I have the
> radios on (buzzing....etc)
The BEST THING YOU CAN DO about radio interference from your computer is the next time you buy ANYTHING for your computer or another computer system is to look for the FCC certification label.

Almost every computer you buy at the "local" shop that is put together there violates Federal Communications Commission regulations regarding emission of interference. If you do not have a class B certification label on the computer, it is in violation of the laws which require compliance with F.C.C. regulations.

ALL DIGITAL DEVICES sold for use in residential areas MUST be CERTIFIED to comply with CLASS B emissions requlations.

Look for the certification label BEFORE you buy. This will make more difference than all the ferrite beads and other tricks put together and be cheaper in the long run. Also, it is very difficult, but buy only high quality cables (to go to the printer and mouse and stuff) A GOOD 25 conductor RS232 cable will cost about $40 for a 12 footer. The $3 ones are going to carry the radio signals out of your computer and radiate them like an antenna.

But in reality.... put your receiving antennas as far away from you computer as you can and follow good engineering practice etc etc etc and this will drastically reduce your problem (assuming that when you move your antenna away from YOUR computer you are not moving it closer to someone else's !)

12. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred@vaxxine.com
Message-ID: <68ne0kssk1@alpha2.vaxxine.com>
Source record: 2131
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Louis Bybee <louisb@datacomm.com> wrote:

>I have purchased a lot of things from Radio Shack and for the most part I have been
>treated well. EDITED

> Louis

>Dwight G. Bosselman wrote:

>> If you do buy Radio Shak, be sure to buy the service contract. EDITED
>> Beware when you buy! I surely won't waste my time or money on their stuff
>> again!
>>
>> 73 Dwight W9YQ
>>
>> John Lochey wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > DANO <kimo9@idt.net> wrote in article
>> > <01bd13ac$f1425200$081871ce@default>...
>> > > anyone had experience with rs mobile 2-meter rig. what did yiu think. can
>> > > it be converted for any goodies? is it worth the money they're asking?
>> > any
>> > > suggestions for something in the same price range.
>> > > DANO
>> > >
>>
>> --
>> 73 Dwight de W9YQ

Well we certainly seem to have some opinions above but I can only
speak for myself.I got one It went on sale 299. I think ? some time
last year. I like it for the money I spent.The only problems Ive had
at all is that the frequencies that I have in memories ie local
pd,fd,a simplex and a repeater accasionally get lost. BUT this may be
something that I am doing wrong in programming. The reason I bought
this (despite that I think that rs is over priced and under featured)

is that it was cheap and repairs cant be worse than all the rest of
the radios on the market (all the time in and waiting for expensive
parts). So bottom line I lke mine Would I trade it for anything else
NO. see ya

VE3-SAK Pete

13. WTB: fm bdcast/u pwr

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bro.David Cohen" <brodavid@enter.net>
Message-ID: <34AF46BA.A3348C8E@enter.net>
Source record: 2132
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

WANTED:
micro power radio

FM broadcast, please state power, range, parts needed (antenna, mic, etc), and price. am looking to set up small radio station, maybe 10 mile range, etc.

14. Re: Interference!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mweber@t140.aone.net.au
Message-ID: <34b020bb.7128496@news.syd.aone.net.au>
Source record: 2133
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Sat, 03 Jan 1998 21:12:03 -0800, wb9jtk@flnet.com wrote:

>[-Scanner-] wrote:
>>
>> Help!
>>
>> I used my Pro-2042 and Dx-398 next to my pc....
>> I keep getting interference on both when I have the
>> radios on (buzzing....etc)
>The BEST THING YOU CAN DO about radio interference from your computer is
>the next time you buy ANYTHING for your computer or another computer
>system is to look for the FCC certification label.
>
>Almost every computer you buy at the "local" shop that is put together
>there violates Federal Communications Commission regulations regarding
>emission of interference. If you do not have a class B certification
>label on the computer, it is in violation of the laws which require
>compliance with F.C.C. regulations.
>
>ALL DIGITAL DEVICES sold for use in residential areas MUST be CERTIFIED
>to comply with CLASS B emissions requlations.
>
I wish it was that simple.

All digital devices INTENDED for use in residential area must be
certified. if you use a device that was not intended for residential
use, you are slightly screwed, and a great deal of computer equipment
that is in use at home is NOT INTENDED for
residential use. Only laptops are assumed to be intended for
residential use, so all laptops must be class B.

Since class B is much stricter (read as more expensive to build
conforming products), many PC manufacturers, especially the secondary
brands, simply decide they don't intend to sell for residential use.
Nothing prevents the retailer from selling them that way however.

Most large monitors are not intended for residential use, and frankly,
a lot of smaller one are not intended for residential use, so they are
certified to class A. I have NEVER seen a monitor certified as class A
that actually met the standard, and I've been out on the test range
many times.

>But in reality.... put your receiving antennas as far away from you
>computer as you can and follow good engineering practice etc etc etc and

>this will drastically reduce your problem (assuming that when you move
>your antenna away from YOUR computer you are not moving it closer to
>someone else's !)

A good idea, but some monitors produce so much noise, this is not practical. The problem is the saw tooth wave form used for horizontal output. The power involved is watts, not milliwatts or microwatts, and the nice sharp edges on the waveform push harmonics out very far into the HF spectrum. On the range I have often had no trouble finding these harmonics and measuring them at 30 meters. (100 feet). Couple with lousy shielding on the monitor case, and you have a recipe for serious HF interference.

15. FS/TRADE IC-32AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <68o9atscu1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2134
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.forsale,alabama.birmingham.general,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.bham.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
IC-32AT Dualbander - Good Condition
CM-35 Drop In Charger
BC-70 Battery
DC-Adapter
2 Dualband Antenna's (both rubber duckie antenna's)
BP-7 5 watt Battery
Wall Wart Charger

$250 or Trade for the following items :

Plain Paper Fax Machine (avg price on Used Fax Machines $150) + you add $$
to make the difference up

Desktop Police Scanner + $$

Or any other ham goodies also considered.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
KC4OXP@QSL.NET
```

16. Re: FS/TRADE IC-32AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <68p5h6gte1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2135
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.forsale,alabama.birmingham.general,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.bham.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Thanks to everyone who was interested in the radio, it's been sold.

73 Daniel KC4OXP

>IC-32AT Dualbander - Good Condition
>CM-35 Drop In Charger
>BC-70 Battery
>DC-Adapter
>2 Dualband Antenna's (both rubber duckie antenna's)
>BP-7 5 watt Battery
>Wall Wart Charger
>
>$250 or Trade for the following items :

17. WTB or Trade: Repeater controller...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Ekholm <kc7aad@boink.net>
Message-ID: <01bd1986$14864da0$bb5760ce@default>
Source record: 2136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Am looking for a repeater controller.Would need to have voice synthesizer
and autopatch is not a necessary thing. Would be willing to buy if it is
under 250.00 or above that price mark I would be interested in trading some
gear.

Available gear:
ic 727?? i don't remember the mod # but believ that's it. it's hf + 6m
hasonly been used for maybe 20 bours at one field day about 3 years ago.

Also have dualband ht's available:
ic-w2a
standard c-528a
Both have batts, and some other misc accessories.

also have dualband commercial mobile.
alinco ald-24t
single band rx at one time. comes with tt mic.

anything interest you???? let me know. de RodEkholm Jr.
kc7aad@boink.net

pgr(509)889-8779

18. Repeater desense, what☐s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: &miker <&miker@ethergate.com>
Message-ID: <34B1869B.9EE@ethergate.com>
Source record: 2137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Repeater desense, what☐s the mechanism?

I want to build a small 440 MHz. repeater to be installed in a low-RF interference site.
So I only need to worry about desense from my own transmitter.
Can anyone tell me the desense mechanism?

When I talk with the local experienced repeater builders, I hear that the ☐front-end☐ is being overloaded, with no precise explanation of what that means. I☐m not understanding how -20dbm, in my case, is overloading the RF amplifier. Is there a rule of thumb for how much signal is too much?

If I know what I☐m looking for, I can figure out how much isolation I need for this particular set of equipment.

Desense, as I understand it, is the reduction I sensitivity at one frequency caused by RF input at another frequency. But just what is happening in the receiver? 5 MHz away is WAY out if the second IF bandpass. Isn☐t he input signal is far to small to saturate the first RF amplifier? I☐m theorizing that the problem is saturation somewhere in the first IF stage or second mixer.

Can anyone explain what is saturating and give tips on how to measure it and calculate required isolation?

Also can anyone give advice on measuring small signals in the pressence of large signals? I☐m so fearful of accidentally keying the transmitter or detuning the duplexer or creating a spur outside the notch that I won☐t hook the spectrum analyzer directly up to the thing. If I put in enough attenuation to protect the mixer against full transmitter power, I don☐t have enough useful dynamic range. There☐s a small limiter in the analyzer, but that just means that I have to replace the limiter AND the mixer. Can I build an

external limiter, with
inexpensive and
available parts, that will protect against tens of watts at 440 Mhz?

TNX for your help, miker

--
Please remove & from return address before replying.

19. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC7ZRU <NO-SPAM@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <34B1D469.DC51D0D6@cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 2138
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You can find the 'final word' on harware hacks on the HTX-202 at www.radioshack.com.

Just to save you a short trip - the manual specificaly says, "This radio cannot be modified for
expanded frequencies". I've had mine for about 3 months now - and have searched all I know to search
for any mods (online and other) - so far I've found a grand total of - none (excpet the one that
fries the rig).

RS does show how to setup a patch cable for a TNC at their web site.

73 de KC7ZRU

Thomas Weeks wrote:

> In article <Pine.BSI.3.95.980102091712.2870A-100000@home.dp.net>, tech@dp.net says...
> >
> >
> >It goes something like this..... No matter who makes it, every company
> >gets a lemon or two, or perhaps a run of them, in their line up.
> >It happens with cars, radios, etc.
> >
> >I have owned 3 RS 202's and know of others that own them , and have had
> >little if any problems,
>
> Hi!
>
> I just got a used 202 for $120 and am working on getting my tech or novice license...
>
> I am an EE (EET really) and was interested if you knew about any good hardware hacks that can be
> performed on this rig...?
>
> PLease send replies to tweeks@texas.net
>
> Thanx..
>
> Tom D Tek

--
All opinions expressed are my own. | Remove the Spambot blinders
You are encouaged to disagree | "127 and "721"
or aggree - your choice | from my Reply To:

They are still mine | address to send email

20. Re: Repeater desense, what s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Doyle <doyle@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <34B1DCD7.65A0302A@primenet.com>
Source record: 2139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

&miker wrote:
>
> Repeater desense, what□s the mechanism?
>
> I want to build a small 440 MHz. repeater to be installed in a low-RF
> interference site.
> So I only need to worry about desense from my own transmitter.
> Can anyone tell me the desense mechanism?
>
> When I talk with the local experienced repeater builders, I hear that
> the □front-end□
> is being overloaded, with no precise explanation of what that means.
> I□m not understanding
> how -20dbm, in my case, is overloading the RF amplifier. Is there a
> rule of thumb for how much signal is too much?

[snip]

Desense does not have to be (and in my experience is most often not)
caused by too much signal into the front end of the receiver. Most
frequently the desense is a real signal on the receiver frequency that
is caused the the transmitter signal mixing with another signal.

The problem is that with the dynamic ranges in a repeater (+50 dBm
transmitter sharing an antenna with a -120dBm receiver) almost anything
can act like a mixer including rusty antenna hardware or a exhaust fan
on
the neighbors house (I've seen them both and more; and yes rust can be
semiconductor). Remember, this mixer can have 150dB of conversion loss
and still cause you problems...

As for 'the other signal' all you need is a signal that is on the
split frequency, or on a harmonic of the split frequency, or any of the
dozens of mixer spur frequencies.

> Can anyone explain what is saturating and give tips on how to measure it
> and calculate required isolation?

See above

[snip]

> Also can anyone give advice on measuring small signals in the pressence
> of large signals?

It is very tough to measure. Best to divide and conquer. Get the radio transmitting and receiving with seperate dummy loads. Add the duplexer and make it work with a single dummy load with no desense. Add the feedline.
Repeat. Add the antenna. Repeat. Add the final installation. Repeat.

Bob

21. Re: Repeater desense, what&#65533;s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: keinanen@sci.fi
Message-ID: <34bbf2a5.425454240@news.sci.fi>
Source record: 2140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Mon, 05 Jan 1998 17:19:23 -0800, &miker <&miker@ethergate.com>
wrote:

>Repeater desense, whatï¿½s the mechanism?

There are several things that can go wrong.

>
>I want to build a small 440 MHz. repeater to be installed in a low-RF
>interference site.

You did not tell, if you had a common antenna for Rx and Tx, duplexer
type (bandpass/notch) on Rx (and Tx) and transmitter power, so I have
to guess in some points.

>So I only need to worry about desense from my own transmitter.
>Can anyone tell me the desense mechanism?
>
>When I talk with the local experienced repeater builders, I hear that
>the ï¿½front-endï¿½
>is being overloaded, with no precise explanation of what that means.
>Iï¿½m not understanding
>how -20dbm, in my case, is overloading the RF amplifier. Is there a
>rule of thumb for
>how much signal is too much?

It depends quite a lot of the receiver design.

A typical UHF receiver has at least one RF amplifier before an active
mixer. The gain from the Rx input to the mixer output might well be
+20 dB, so the Tx signal leakage is now at +0dBm (provided that it has
not been attenuated by any bandpass (helical) filters between the
RF-amplifier and the 1st mixer. While +0dBm into 50 ohms is still only
220 mVrms, the active mixers usually operate at higher output
impedance levels such as 1 kohm. +0dBm into 1 kOhm is 1Vrms or nearly
3 V peak-to-peak, which is uncomfortably close to the operating
voltage of a typical front end. There are strong mixing products at
fTx-fLo and fTx+fLo and if these frequencies are not terminated into a
proper impedance, these can cause problems to the mixer.

A typical NBFM receiver will give a usable signal at around -120 dBm
and if you try to get that sensitivity with the -20 dBm Tx leakage,
you still need a 100 dB dynamic range, which is hard for an
RF-amplifier. A few years ago, it was hard to get 100 dB dynamic range
even with audio amplifiers with feedback.

The 100 dB difference between the weak wanted signal and the strong Tx leakage also means that the strong unwanted signal must be suppressed by more than 100 dB (relative to the wanted signal) before it hits the first stage that limits. Due to filter "leak around" it is very hard to get this kind of attenuation in a single filter even if the filter itself could attenuate that much, so a careful gain distribution must be used between multiple filters.

One thing in repeater desense cases, which is easily overlooked is the phase noise of the transmitter. If common Rx and Tx antennas are used, the phase noise of the transmitter as far out as the Rx frequency can be so strong that it swamps a weak signal. While a notch in the Rx chain tuned to the Tx frequency effectively attenuates the nominal Tx frequency to tolerable levels, it does nothing to the far out phase noise, which will penetrate into the receiver without attenuation. If the target sensitivity is -120 dBm and assuming for simplicity, that the needed signal bandwidth is 10 kHz, the phase noise density must be less than -160 dBm/Hz. If the transmitter power is 100 W or +50 dBm, the maximum phase noise density at +/- 5 MHz from the carrier must be -210 dBc/Hz. If the phase noise for a typical UHF FM transmitter at that offset is -140 dBc/Hz, the notch (tuned to the Rx frequency) in the _Tx_ to antenna line must be at least -70 dB deep.

Incidently, the notch required in the _Rx_ line is also -70 dB (from 100 W or +50 dBm to -20 dBm) so about the same amount of filtering is required in both the transmitter and receiver feeder lines. While it is important for the health of the receiver to tune properly the notch in the receiver feed, for optimum performance, the notch in the Tx feeder must also be tuned very carefully.

While the transmitter phase noise (-140 dBc/Hz) used in the example might apply for a bad synthesized FM transmitter, better far out noise performance can be obtained with crystal controlled multiplier chains. Using multiplier chains it can be easier to find suitable helical filters (at say 144 MHz) to clean up the far out phase noise. When (most of) the filtering is done in the multiplier chain or before the final amplifier at the operating frequency, small, low power filters can be used. If the notch is between the transmitter final amplifier and the antenna, RF generated at high cost are used to heat the duplexers, since the notch filters have some pass band losses at the Tx frequency.

Paul OH3LWR

22. WTB:Alinco 2 meter DJ-S11T HT.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <68umkjbnt1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2141
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Would perfer one that the mods have already been done. Email with price and condition - I can get a NEW DJ-S11T for LESS than $85.
Email me with your price - SHIPPED.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
KC4OXP@QSL.NET

23. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Cole <thetetons@provide.net>
Message-ID: <34B2E40C.77E@provide.net>
Source record: 2142
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

tech wrote:
>
> It goes something like this....... No matter who makes it, every company
> gets a lemon or two, or perhaps a run of them, in their line up.
> It happens with cars, radios, etc.
>
> I have owned 3 RS 202's and know of others that own them , and have had
> little if any problems,.....

I have had my 202 for about 3 months and then the hi/lo power switch started to get touchy! I hooked the rig up to a power meter to test the output between low and high power. There was only about a 1 - 1 1/2 watt diff between high and low. My reflected power vs. forward power was also quite high. I took the radio in last week because the switch finally gave way. I hardly ever took it off of high power, except to hook it up to one of their 30 watt amps. On that note, I think the power amp is starting to go (only 1 month old). I get a lot of AC hum and I am using a regulated power supply.

I feel that in general most of their ham radios and components are cheaply made!

But, I LOVE my pro-62 scanner!

KC8HZX

24. FS: Heathkit SB301&401

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwilljo@cwo.com
Message-ID: <68utamggs1@bigdog.eli.net>
Source record: 2143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap

For Sale SB301 and 401 with cables and original manuals $275.00 plus shipping (50 lbs from zipcode 95822)
It works but transmitter needs 6146's in the finals. I'm tired of changing them and neutralizing them, etc.

Greg
KB6WKW

25. FS 440 Repeater System

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: markbent@bento.org
Message-ID: <34b3b464.72945434@news.ici.net>
Source record: 2144
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hello I have a complete 440 repeater system for sale duplexers
included and ant. and your off and running. system is less than a year
old and still under warrenty. it is a kendercom system and is on
442.350 kendercom will give the buter of this repeater the remainder
of the warranty system has the multifax board in it for voice id and
other opt. interested call me at 508-998-5315 email markbent@bento.org
2500.00 we can talk what might you have to swap with some money for
the unit.
Again my Phone is 508-998-5315 and email is markbent@bento.org
73's
Mark

26. FS 440 Repeater System

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: markbent@bento.org
Message-ID: <34b3d1e8.4967469@news.ici.net>
Source record: 2145
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Hello I have a complete 440 repeater system for sale duplexers
included and ant. and your off and running. system is less than a year
old and still under warrenty. it is a kendercom system and is on
442.350 kendercom will give the buter of this repeater the remainder
of the warranty system has the multifax board in it for voice id and
other opt. interested call me at 508-998-5315 email markbent@bento.org
2500.00 we can talk what might you have to swap with some money for
the unit.
Again my Phone is 508-998-5315 and email is markbent@bento.org
73's
Mark

27. FS 440 Repeater System

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: markbent@bento.org
Message-ID: <34b3d228.5032407@news.ici.net>
Source record: 2146
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet

Hello I have a complete 440 repeater system for sale duplexers
included and ant. and your off and running. system is less than a year
old and still under warrenty. it is a kendercom system and is on
442.350 kendercom will give the buter of this repeater the remainder
of the warranty system has the multifax board in it for voice id and
other opt. interested call me at 508-998-5315 email markbent@bento.org
2500.00 we can talk what might you have to swap with some money for
the unit.
Again my Phone is 508-998-5315 and email is markbent@bento.org
73's
Mark

28. FS OR TRADE Cushcraft Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <01bd1bb6$f5b639c0$da80dad0@francer.gis.net>
Source record: 2147
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hello To All,

I am selling a Cushcraft 10 meter magnet mount antenna (Model CS-28M) in Brand New Condition. I purchased this in anticipation of putting a 10 mtr rig in my truck but the deal fell through. I have used this antenna ONCE.

HRO PRICE: $59.95
****YOUR COST $39.95*** plus shipping

Thank-you for reading my ad.

Charles Francer, N1RPD
francer@gis.net
n1rpd@amsat.org
n1rpd@rocketmail.com

29. GE Master 2 HELP PLEASE!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cole and Kathy <kc5swi@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34B4FC4A.AA6A15FD@flash.net>
Source record: 2148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Would anyone advise me on the pin out of a GE master 2 control cable plug<at the radio>. I don't have a cable and need to know where i need to put positive and negative connections to the front of the radio as i am using an internal repeater controller <nhrc-3 mii-90> and have deleted the control head.

I realize the two large pins are + and -, but the smaller pins must have a pos and neg. pin in there somewhere.

Thanks for any help!!
please e-mail responses....
73
Cole
KC5SWI@flash.net

30. FS Yaesu FL-2100B AMP

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <daclark@lni.net>
Message-ID: <34B553B8.6ADB@lni.net>
Source record: 2149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have a mint Yaesu FL-2100b Linear Amplifier designed to match the
Yaesu Ft-101 series transceivers. Plate input: 1200 Watts PEP, 1000
Watts CW, and 600 Watts AM, Grounded Grid Class B.
Requires 110 or 220 AC currently wired for 110AC, Forced air cooling,
wt. 41 Lbs.
Has orginal protective plastic covering the face! Tubes are 2 X 572b
triodes and are solid.
This amp is top of the line in every respect, Price is only $450.00, but
might consider a trade. I have just to much equipment and need to down
size, I have 3 amps and I can't use all of them.
Price includes orginal manual, but not shipping! Shipping is Extra!
73 & best wishes
Al

31. Re: SALE - List

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cangelet <cangelet@neo.lrun.com>
Message-ID: <NEWTNews.884311107.12303.cangelet@my-computer.neo.lrun.com>
Source record: 2150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

In Article<01bd12da$03cd5240$d480dad0@francer.gis.net>, <francer@gis.net> writes:
> Path: akron5.neo.lrun.com!peerfeed!newsfeed.internetmci.com!207.126.101.73!Supernews73!supernews.com!Supernews69!not-for-mail
> From: "Charles Francer" <francer@gis.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: SALE - List
> Date: 28 Dec 1997 01:54:29 GMT
> Organization: All USENET -- http://www.Supernews.com
> Lines: 13
> Sender: @208.218.128.215
> Message-ID: <01bd12da$03cd5240$d480dad0@francer.gis.net>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 19772@208.218.128.215
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1155
> Xref: akron5.neo.lrun.com rec.ham-radio:1755 rec.ham-radio.swap:2126 rec.radio.amateur:2903 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:58879 rec.radio.amateur.misc:132990 rec.radio.amateur.swap:1113 rec.radio.shortwave:101233
>
> FOR SALE: PYRAMID GOLD SERIES POWER SUPPLY - DUAL METERS MODEL PS-26K .
> Adjustable Voltage Output - In Box and all docs. 22amps
> $99.00 +Shipping or obo
>
> Quality-SHURE- Prologue Microphone Model 6L-B NEW COND IN BOX WITH. Used
> for 2 months
> papers. $XX.xx Best Offer + ship
> Charles Francer n1rpd
> n1rpd@amsat.org
>
>
>
>

32. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tech <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.95.980108215434.13793A-100000@home.dp.net>
Source record: 2151
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On 2 Jan 1998, Thomas Weeks wrote:

> Date: 2 Jan 1998 15:55:18 GMT
> From: Thomas Weeks <Thomas.Weeks@mirage.brooks.af.mil>
> Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio, alt.ham-radio.fm, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,
> alt.radio.amateur, rec.ham-radio, rec.radio.amateur,
> rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs
>
> In article <Pine.BSI.3.95.980102091712.2870A-100000@home.dp.net>, tech@dp.net says...
> >
> >
> >It goes something like this..... No matter who makes it, every company
> >gets a lemon or two, or perhaps a run of them, in their line up.
> >It happens with cars, radios, etc.
> >
> >I have owned 3 RS 202's and know of others that own them , and have had
> >little if any problems,
>
> Hi!
>
> I just got a used 202 for $120 and am working on getting my tech or novice license...
>
> I am an EE (EET really) and was interested if you knew about any good hardware hacks that can be
> performed on this rig...?
>
> PLease send replies to tweeks@texas.net
>
> Thanx..
>
> Tom D Tek
>
>
>

There are none to be had to my knowledge. Sorry!

33. Re: WTB or Trade: Repeater controller...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher W. Boone" <cboone@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <34B7B767.392C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 2060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Rod Ekholm wrote:
>
> Am looking for a repeater controller.Would need to have voice synthesizer
> and autopatch is not a necessary thing. Would be willing to buy if it is
> under 250.00 or above that price mark I would be interested in trading some
> gear.
>
> Available gear:
> ic 727?? i don't remember the mod # but believ that's it. it's hf + 6m
> hasonly been used for maybe 20 bours at one field day about 3 years ago.
>
> Also have dualband ht's available:
> ic-w2a
> standard c-528a
> Both have batts, and some other misc accessories.
>
> also have dualband commercial mobile.
> alinco ald-24t
> single band rx at one time. comes with tt mic.
>
> anything interest you???? let me know. de RodEkholm Jr.
> kc7aad@boink.net
>
> pgr(509)889-8779

Get a CAT 300.....$299 at last look new....and has everything on it

Chris
WB5ITT

34. Re: GE Master 2 HELP PLEASE!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher W. Boone" <cboone@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <34B7B995.264@earthlink.net>
Source record: 2061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Cole and Kathy wrote:
>
> Would anyone advise me on the pin out of a GE master 2 control cable
> plug<at the radio>. I don't have a cable and need to know where i need
> to put positive and negative connections to the front of the radio as i
> am using an internal repeater controller <nhrc-3 mii-90> and have
> deleted the control head.
>
> I realize the two large pins are + and -, but the smaller pins must have
> a pos and neg. pin in there somewhere.
>
> Thanks for any help!!
> please e-mail responses....
> 73
> Cole
> KC5SWI@flash.net

Where are you located at?? Get with me in email and I'll have the info
you need by then...yes there is a +DC input for the exciter and
the rcvr (the 7amp fused feed to the control head) that uses the
smaller pins...the large pins are for the PA only

Chris
WB5ITT

35. Re: Repeater desense, what&#65533;s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gambino <da_gambino@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <34B89846.2F66BCE5@yahoo.com>
Source record: 2062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Now do you honestly think that this guy or the general populous is gonna
under stand this shit?
This is another example of nerds going overboard on a question...
Get to the point!!!
If he doesn't know what Desense is, he aint gonnna what all that extra
shit your talking about is, Einstein.
Look, why can't we just adopt the KISS rule for the less knowledegable
guys, Keep It Simple Stupid & more will benefit from the information
shared!.
Your answers may be right, but you are going WAY overboard for a SIMPLE &
COMMON problem.
When I (was a tech) went onsite to service commercial repeaters suffering
from desense, I never had to go through that shit, you have to be out of
your mind to think I was gonna sit their with my Svc.Monitor and measure
half the levels you mentioned, I might as well build a new repeater form
scratch!

I can't sit here & prescribe a remedy to fix your repeater, cuz i aint
lookin at it, but you can start by making sure the simple things are
verified OK, like:
TX/RX lines & connectors.
Is your Duplexor tuned properly? (use a tracking generator not the Mr.
einstein method).
Do a 'general' check on the Recv'r, is it to spec?

Most of the time, desense could be eliminated by just making sure all your
connections were solid & good & the radios were properly shielded...
Occasional tune ups on the Rcv'r were not uncommon, just not necessary to
get so in-depth...
However if you wanna break out the Atomic ion Calculator, be my guest
dude.

Paul Keinanen wrote:

> On Mon, 05 Jan 1998 17:19:23 -0800, &miker <&miker@ethergate.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Repeater desense, whatï¿½s the mechanism?
>
> There are several things that can go wrong.
>
> >
> >I want to build a small 440 MHz. repeater to be installed in a low-RF
> >interference site.

>
> You did not tell, if you had a common antenna for Rx and Tx, duplexer
> type (bandpass/notch) on Rx (and Tx) and transmitter power, so I have
> to guess in some points.
>
> >So I only need to worry about desense from my own transmitter.
> >Can anyone tell me the desense mechanism?
> >
> >When I talk with the local experienced repeater builders, I hear that
> >the ï¿½front-endï¿½
> >is being overloaded, with no precise explanation of what that means.
> >Iï¿½m not understanding
> >how -20dbm, in my case, is overloading the RF amplifier. Is there a
> >rule of thumb for
> >how much signal is too much?
>
> It depends quite a lot of the receiver design.
>
> A typical UHF receiver has at least one RF amplifier before an active
> mixer. The gain from the Rx input to the mixer output might well be
> +20 dB, so the Tx signal leakage is now at +0dBm (provided that it has
> not been attenuated by any bandpass (helical) filters between the
> RF-amplifier and the 1st mixer. While +0dBm into 50 ohms is still only
> 220 mVrms, the active mixers usually operate at higher output
> impedance levels such as 1 kohm. +0dBm into 1 kOhm is 1Vrms or nearly
> 3 V peak-to-peak, which is uncomfortably close to the operating
> voltage of a typical front end. There are strong mixing products at
> fTx-fLo and fTx+fLo and if these frequencies are not terminated into a
> proper impedance, these can cause problems to the mixer.
>
> A typical NBFM receiver will give a usable signal at around -120 dBm
> and if you try to get that sensitivity with the -20 dBm Tx leakage,
> you still need a 100 dB dynamic range, which is hard for an
> RF-amplifier. A few years ago, it was hard to get 100 dB dynamic range
> even with audio amplifiers with feedback.
>
> The 100 dB difference between the weak wanted signal and the strong Tx
> leakage also means that the strong unwanted signal must be suppressed
> by more than 100 dB (relative to the wanted signal) before it hits the
> first stage that limits. Due to filter "leak around" it is very hard
> to get this kind of attenuation in a single filter even if the filter
> itself could attenuate that much, so a careful gain distribution must
> be used between multiple filters.
>
> One thing in repeater desense cases, which is easily overlooked is the
> phase noise of the transmitter. If common Rx and Tx antennas are used,
> the phase noise of the transmitter as far out as the Rx frequency can
> be so strong that it swamps a weak signal. While a notch in the Rx
> chain tuned to the Tx frequency effectively attenuates the nominal Tx
> frequency to tolerable levels, it does nothing to the far out phase

> noise, which will penetrate into the receiver without attenuation. If
> the target sensitivity is -120 dBm and assuming for simplicity, that
> the needed signal bandwidth is 10 kHz, the phase noise density must be
> less than -160 dBm/Hz. If the transmitter power is 100 W or +50 dBm,
> the maximum phase noise density at +/- 5 MHz from the carrier must be
> -210 dBc/Hz. If the phase noise for a typical UHF FM transmitter at
> that offset is -140 dBc/Hz, the notch (tuned to the Rx frequency) in
> the _Tx_ to antenna line must be at least -70 dB deep.
>
> Incidently, the notch required in the _Rx_ line is also -70 dB (from
> 100 W or +50 dBm to -20 dBm) so about the same amount of filtering is
> required in both the transmitter and receiver feeder lines. While it
> is important for the health of the receiver to tune properly the notch
> in the receiver feed, for optimum performance, the notch in the Tx
> feeder must also be tuned very carefully.
>
> While the transmitter phase noise (-140 dBc/Hz) used in the example
> might apply for a bad synthesized FM transmitter, better far out noise
> performance can be obtained with crystal controlled multiplier chains.
> Using multiplier chains it can be easier to find suitable helical
> filters (at say 144 MHz) to clean up the far out phase noise. When
> (most of) the filtering is done in the multiplier chain or before the
> final amplifier at the operating frequency, small, low power filters
> can be used. If the notch is between the transmitter final amplifier
> and the antenna, RF generated at high cost are used to heat the
> duplexers, since the notch filters have some pass band losses at the
> Tx frequency.
>
> Paul OH3LWR

36. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gambino <da_gambino@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <34B892D7.931A4F87@yahoo.com>
Source record: 2063
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it alls.
When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast & wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree (still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say 73's, etc...
Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think, every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced" guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I was only 25.
I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech questions on related topics...
It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part of the same "old boys club"...
Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why work around assholes I can't stand?
I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
It's alright dano, I'm on your side.

DANO wrote:

> as someone making my way into the word of ham radio, i've noticed a common
> thread betweem the type of people involved in club's,ng's, and even the
> crowd at hamfest's.
> when a conversation is started by a newbie asking a question about
> anything, the initial question gets lost in the disagreement over a phrase
> or misquote that some "know - it - all" has to throw in. of course the
> person, who is asking the the question, gets forgotten about cause the rest
> of the answer from some person walking by is then debated for the rest of
> the day.
> HMMMM. seems to me that anyone under 55 yrs old is more interested in
> "knowing more" than the guy next to him, and i find these rocket scientist
> to be more anoying than helpful.
> if everyone was as interested in furthering their "knowledge base" and
> help the less experienced operators further their's, nobody would have to
> worry about the loss of ham bands to others.
>
> to prove my point, i ask all of you to scan the postings of this
> group and see how many legit questions asking for help or opinions on
> equipment are responded to.
> then look at all the general comments and debates posted with their
> responces.
> in one day i saw a ratio of 10:1 in favor of the debates.
>
> IN THE 1960'S JFK SAID " ASK NOT WHAT YOUR COUNTRY CAN DO FOR YOU, ASK
> WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR YOUR COUNTRY"
>
> i'm 34, never learned that quote from school, but i practice it every day.
> anyone else care to give it a try. we just might save ham radio for our
> children
>
> DAN0

37. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <34B91782.443E@datasync.com>
Source record: 2064
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

gambino wrote:
>
> Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...

TELL HIM ABOUT IT...good for you go get em!!!

are all part
> of the same "old boys club"...

fighting that in the deep south also..but we are making headway...

>dont let the sob's get ya down

don

38. Re: Repeater desense, what&#65533;s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <daclark@lni.net>
Message-ID: <34B97904.416E@lni.net>
Source record: 2065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

gambino wrote:
>
> Now do you honestly think that this guy or the general populous is gonna
> under stand this shit?
> This is another example of nerds going overboard on a question...
> Get to the point!!!
> If he doesn't know what Desense is, he aint gonnna what all that extra
> shit your talking about is, Einstein.
> Look, why can't we just adopt the KISS rule for the less knowledegable
> guys, Keep It Simple Stupid & more will benefit from the information
> shared!.
> Your answers may be right, but you are going WAY overboard for a SIMPLE &
> COMMON problem.
> When I (was a tech) went onsite to service commercial repeaters suffering
> from desense, I never had to go through that shit, you have to be out of
> your mind to think I was gonna sit their with my Svc.Monitor and measure
> half the levels you mentioned, I might as well build a new repeater form
> scratch!
>
> I can't sit here & prescribe a remedy to fix your repeater, cuz i aint
> lookin at it, but you can start by making sure the simple things are
> verified OK, like:
> TX/RX lines & connectors.
> Is your Duplexor tuned properly? (use a tracking generator not the Mr.
> einstein method).
> Do a 'general' check on the Recv'r, is it to spec?
>
> Most of the time, desense could be eliminated by just making sure all your
> connections were solid & good & the radios were properly shielded...
> Occasional tune ups on the Rcv'r were not uncommon, just not necessary to
> get so in-depth...
> However if you wanna break out the Atomic ion Calculator, be my guest
> dude.
>
> Paul Keinanen wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 05 Jan 1998 17:19:23 -0800, &miker <&miker@ethergate.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Repeater desense, whati¿½s the mechanism?
> >
> > There are several things that can go wrong.
> >

> > >
> > >I want to build a small 440 MHz. repeater to be installed in a low-RF
> > >interference site.
> >
> > You did not tell, if you had a common antenna for Rx and Tx, duplexer
> > type (bandpass/notch) on Rx (and Tx) and transmitter power, so I have
> > to guess in some points.
> >
> > >So I only need to worry about desense from my own transmitter.
> > >Can anyone tell me the desense mechanism?
> > >
> > >When I talk with the local experienced repeater builders, I hear that
> > >the ï¿½front-endï¿½
> > >is being overloaded, with no precise explanation of what that means.
> > >Iï¿½m not understanding
> > >how -20dbm, in my case, is overloading the RF amplifier. Is there a
> > >rule of thumb for
> > >how much signal is too much?
> >
> > It depends quite a lot of the receiver design.
> >
> > A typical UHF receiver has at least one RF amplifier before an active
> > mixer. The gain from the Rx input to the mixer output might well be
> > +20 dB, so the Tx signal leakage is now at +0dBm (provided that it has
> > not been attenuated by any bandpass (helical) filters between the
> > RF-amplifier and the 1st mixer. While +0dBm into 50 ohms is still only
> > 220 mVrms, the active mixers usually operate at higher output
> > impedance levels such as 1 kohm. +0dBm into 1 kOhm is 1Vrms or nearly
> > 3 V peak-to-peak, which is uncomfortably close to the operating
> > voltage of a typical front end. There are strong mixing products at
> > fTx-fLo and fTx+fLo and if these frequencies are not terminated into a
> > proper impedance, these can cause problems to the mixer.
> >
> > A typical NBFM receiver will give a usable signal at around -120 dBm
> > and if you try to get that sensitivity with the -20 dBm Tx leakage,
> > you still need a 100 dB dynamic range, which is hard for an
> > RF-amplifier. A few years ago, it was hard to get 100 dB dynamic range
> > even with audio amplifiers with feedback.
> >
> > The 100 dB difference between the weak wanted signal and the strong Tx
> > leakage also means that the strong unwanted signal must be suppressed
> > by more than 100 dB (relative to the wanted signal) before it hits the
> > first stage that limits. Due to filter "leak around" it is very hard
> > to get this kind of attenuation in a single filter even if the filter
> > itself could attenuate that much, so a careful gain distribution must
> > be used between multiple filters.
> >
> > One thing in repeater desense cases, which is easily overlooked is the
> > phase noise of the transmitter. If common Rx and Tx antennas are used,
> > the phase noise of the transmitter as far out as the Rx frequency can

> > be so strong that it swamps a weak signal. While a notch in the Rx
> > chain tuned to the Tx frequency effectively attenuates the nominal Tx
> > frequency to tolerable levels, it does nothing to the far out phase
> > noise, which will penetrate into the receiver without attenuation. If
> > the target sensitivity is -120 dBm and assuming for simplicity, that
> > the needed signal bandwidth is 10 kHz, the phase noise density must be
> > less than -160 dBm/Hz. If the transmitter power is 100 W or +50 dBm,
> > the maximum phase noise density at +/- 5 MHz from the carrier must be
> > -210 dBc/Hz. If the phase noise for a typical UHF FM transmitter at
> > that offset is -140 dBc/Hz, the notch (tuned to the Rx frequency) in
> > the _Tx_ to antenna line must be at least -70 dB deep.
> >
> > Incidently, the notch required in the _Rx_ line is also -70 dB (from
> > 100 W or +50 dBm to -20 dBm) so about the same amount of filtering is
> > required in both the transmitter and receiver feeder lines. While it
> > is important for the health of the receiver to tune properly the notch
> > in the receiver feed, for optimum performance, the notch in the Tx
> > feeder must also be tuned very carefully.
> >
> > While the transmitter phase noise (-140 dBc/Hz) used in the example
> > might apply for a bad synthesized FM transmitter, better far out noise
> > performance can be obtained with crystal controlled multiplier chains.
> > Using multiplier chains it can be easier to find suitable helical
> > filters (at say 144 MHz) to clean up the far out phase noise. When
> > (most of) the filtering is done in the multiplier chain or before the
> > final amplifier at the operating frequency, small, low power filters
> > can be used. If the notch is between the transmitter final amplifier
> > and the antenna, RF generated at high cost are used to heat the
> > duplexers, since the notch filters have some pass band losses at the
> > Tx frequency.
> >
> > Paul OH3LWR

A lot of info from this gambino guy who flames amateur radio in the
rec.radio.cb ng then decides he has all the answers. Just another
little duck trying to get into the big pond!
Its time to grow up little duck.
Reguards
Al

39. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Repstad <rcc@together.net>
Message-ID: <34B9500E.B67C9C6F@together.net>
Source record: 2066
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

hmm... an awfull lot of protesting and generalization here... it is just as bad to chastise an entire group (i.e. old farts"... as it is for one of them to chastize a newbie for asking a "stupid" questions (the only stupid question is the one that doesn't get asked). Perhaps, you should consider treating everyone as an individual... After all, they are individuals. All the message below will do is create animosity, and further the "arrogant young twit" stereotype.

Hey.. lighten up... :)

Tom
KB1CGA

gambino wrote:

> Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
> Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it
> alls.
> When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast &
> wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree
> (still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
> I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger
> generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say
> 73's, etc...
> Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think,
> every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of
> which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply
> because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly
> harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced"
> guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I
> was only 25.
> I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed
> repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz
> I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I
> was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech
> questions on related topics...
> It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel
> bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
> I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch
> of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part
> of the same "old boys club"...
> Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
> work around assholes I can't stand?
> I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and
> I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties

> to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck
> fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a
> better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old
> assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate
> it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to
> spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I
> learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
> Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
> Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
> You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
> It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
>
> DANO wrote:
>
> > as someone making my way into the word of ham radio, i've noticed a common
> > thread betweem the type of people involved in club's,ng's, and even the
> > crowd at hamfest's.
> > when a conversation is started by a newbie asking a question about
> > anything, the initial question gets lost in the disagreement over a phrase
> > or misquote that some "know - it - all" has to throw in. of course the
> > person, who is asking the the question, gets forgotten about cause the rest
> > of the answer from some person walking by is then debated for the rest of
> > the day.
> > HMMMM. seems to me that anyone under 55 yrs old is more interested in
> > "knowing more" than the guy next to him, and i find these rocket scientist
> > to be more anoying than helpful.
> > if everyone was as interested in furthering their "knowledge base" and
> > help the less experienced operators further their's, nobody would have to
> > worry about the loss of ham bands to others.
> >
> > to prove my point, i ask all of you to scan the postings of this
> > group and see how many legit questions asking for help or opinions on
> > equipment are responded to.
> > then look at all the general comments and debates posted with their
> > responces.
> > in one day i saw a ratio of 10:1 in favor of the debates.
> >
> > IN THE 1960'S JFK SAID " ASK NOT WHAT YOUR COUNTRY CAN DO FOR YOU, ASK
> > WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR YOUR COUNTRY"
> >
> > i'm 34, never learned that quote from school, but i practice it every day.
> > anyone else care to give it a try. we just might save ham radio for our
> > children
> >
> > DAN0

40. Re: Repeater desense, what□s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: &miker <&miker@ethergate.com>
Message-ID: <34B9651A.25B3@ethergate.com>
Source record: 2067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

gambino wrote:
>
Now do you honestly think that this guy or the general populous is
gonna
under stand this shit?
This is another example of nerds going overboard on a question...
Get to the point!!!
If he doesn't know what Desense is, he aint gonnna what all that extra
shit your talking about is, Einstein.
Look, why can't we just adopt the KISS rule for the less knowledegable
guys, Keep It Simple Stupid & more will benefit from the information
shared!.
Your answers may be right, but you are going WAY overboard for a SIMPLE
&
COMMON problem.
When I (was a tech) went onsite to service commercial repeaters
suffering
from desense, I never had to go through that shit, you have to be out
of
your mind to think I was gonna sit their with my Svc.Monitor and
measure
half the levels you mentioned, I might as well build a new repeater
form
scratch!
>
I can't sit here & prescribe a remedy to fix your repeater, cuz i aint
lookin at it, but you can start by making sure the simple things are
verified OK, like:
TX/RX lines & connectors.
Is your Duplexor tuned properly? (use a tracking generator not the Mr.
einstein method).
Do a 'general' check on the Recv'r, is it to spec?

Most of the time, desense could be eliminated by just making sure all
your
connections were solid & good & the radios were properly shielded...
Occasional tune ups on the Rcv'r were not uncommon, just not necessary
to
get so in-depth...
However if you wanna break out the Atomic ion Calculator, be my guest
dude.

STUFF SNIPPED

I gotta tell you, "DUDE", that I very much appreciated Paul's response.
I wish others had added their in-depth knowledge of the subject.

Yes, I did understand what he was saying.
Yes, I am building a new repeater from scratch; including the duplexer.
Yes, I do have double-shielded cables everywhere.
Yes, I do use a tracking generator to tweak the cavities.
If you have the commercial version of an Atomic ion Calculator, it's probably my design.

Don't assume that other people are dummies. There are no dumb questions,
just...well, you know.

Sit back, take a deep breath...and a midol...and quit abusing knowledgeable people for being helpful.

Thanks again, Paul.

miker

41. New Listserv for "Tornado Alley" SKYWARN.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Brown <r_brown@getonthe.net>
Message-ID: <01bd1f08$3846b7c0$49068ed0@r_brown.getonthe.net>
Source record: 2068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,alt.talk.weather,alt.disasters.misc,alt.disasters.planning,rec.ham-radio

Howdy! It's time to start thinking about severe weather in Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, and Missouri. To that end, I would like to announce the creation of a new Listserv for folks who are interested in, or already participate in, the SKYWARN program in the states of Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, and Missouri. One does _not_ have to be a licensed amateur radio operator to participate in SKYWARN, although "ham" radio does play a major role in getting information to and from the various National Weather Service offices.

This Listserv will _NOT_ be used for issuing any watches or warnings, but rather to discuss what SKYWARN does, information about training classes, and related matters (including discussion of ARES and REACT). Anyone is welcome to participate (subscribe), but this Listserv will concentrate on the 4 central States that make up "Tornado Alley".

To participate, contact the Administrator for the Listserv - me! :-) Send a message to r_brown@getonthe.net and I'll send you the URL information.

Thanks, and 73 (Best Regards)!

Ray Brown, KB0STN
Assistant EC, Jasper Co, MO ARES

42. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nq9220700-Ostrowski <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <34BA5026.31DFF4F5@lucent.com>
Source record: 2069
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Gambino,

You story echoes my own, except you made your move quicker than I did!

#12

43. ICOM 775DSP Gen. Coverage Mod ???

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Nicholson <gunsrus@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <34BADEE9.F87E58E7@tiac.net>
Source record: 2070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Anyone know the mod for Gen. Coverage on ICOM 775DSP or where I can find it????

Tom Nicholson
W1ALZ
gunsrus@tiac.net

44. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Adam B.Repsher" <abrep@csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <34BAE05C.5AD4264C@csrlink.net>
Source record: 2071
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Tom Repstad wrote:
>
> hmm... an awfull lot of protesting and generalization here... it is just as bad to
> chastise an entire group (i.e. old farts"... as it is for one of them to chastize a
> newbie for asking a "stupid" questions (the only stupid question is the one that doesn't
> get asked). Perhaps, you should consider treating everyone as an individual... After
> all, they are individuals. All the message below will do is create animosity, and
> further the "arrogant young twit" stereotype.
>
> Hey.. lighten up... :)
>
> Tom
> KB1CGA

Thank you Tom,

I think that we have to realize that people will be people. None of us are perfect and we have to take the good with the bad. Sounds like these people have found the wrong souls to listen to . It is sad that there are enough bad eggs out there to spoil a the fun for a few of us. I think that you need to go back and look again.

In the four years that I have been in this hobby (HAM radio) I have had contact with those "bad HAMs." I have also had patience with these people. You can learn much from anyone, even the ones that make fun and talk big. In the last two months though, I have spent time learning CW. During this time, I have only had support and help through what I thought was going to be the most excruciating task of my life. Everyone has been positive, even my no-code-tech and tech-plus friends. We have a healthy "race" going on. There are many that I know that will not go to the HF bands. They have that right. I want them to enjoy what they do and they want me to enjoy it too. Some of those "no-code people" know the code much better than I. They support me, and I them.

No, you're not inferior, or a non-CW person, you are a Ham. Please try again. Maybe you are trying too hard, or not trying at all.

I will be a friend to the tech or novice, even when I get my general ticket. Look for me, or anyone like me.

73 or whatever you like...

N3RJY
--Adam

45. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don McPherson <bjmcpher@usit.net>
Message-ID: <34BBFF66.B4061663@usit.net>
Source record: 2072
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Try being nice. dont waste your life fretting away at old farts and young impertinate assholes!

gambino wrote:

> Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
> Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it
> alls.
> When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast &
> wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree
> (still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
> I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger
> generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say
> 73's, etc...
> Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think,
> every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of
> which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply
> because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly
> harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced"
> guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I
> was only 25.
> I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed
> repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz
> I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I
> was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech
> questions on related topics...
> It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel
> bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
> I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch
> of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part
> of the same "old boys club"...
> Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
> work around assholes I can't stand?
> I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and
> I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties
> to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck
> fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a
> better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old
> assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate
> it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to
> spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I
> learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
> Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
> Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....

> You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
> It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
>
> DANO wrote:
>
> > as someone making my way into the word of ham radio, i've noticed a common
> > thread betweem the type of people involved in club's,ng's, and even the
> > crowd at hamfest's.
> > when a conversation is started by a newbie asking a question about
> > anything, the initial question gets lost in the disagreement over a phrase
> > or misquote that some "know - it - all" has to throw in. of course the
> > person, who is asking the the question, gets forgotten about cause the rest
> > of the answer from some person walking by is then debated for the rest of
> > the day.
> > HMMMM. seems to me that anyone under 55 yrs old is more interested in
> > "knowing more" than the guy next to him, and i find these rocket scientist
> > to be more anoying than helpful.
> > if everyone was as interested in furthering their "knowledge base" and
> > help the less experienced operators further their's, nobody would have to
> > worry about the loss of ham bands to others.
> >
> > to prove my point, i ask all of you to scan the postings of this
> > group and see how many legit questions asking for help or opinions on
> > equipment are responded to.
> > then look at all the general comments and debates posted with their
> > responces.
> > in one day i saw a ratio of 10:1 in favor of the debates.
> >
> > IN THE 1960'S JFK SAID " ASK NOT WHAT YOUR COUNTRY CAN DO FOR YOU, ASK
> > WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR YOUR COUNTRY"
> >
> > i'm 34, never learned that quote from school, but i practice it every day.
> > anyone else care to give it a try. we just might save ham radio for our
> > children
> >
> > DAN0

46. Re: GE Master 2 HELP PLEASE!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ifr@dlcwest.com
Message-ID: <34bff66e.105571726@news.dlcwest.com>
Source record: 2073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

On Sat, 10 Jan 1998 12:10:29 -0600, "Christopher W. Boone"
<cboone@earthlink.net> wrote:

>>Cole and Kathy wrote:
>>>
>>> Would anyone advise me on the pin out of a GE master 2 control cable
>>> plug<at the radio>. I don't have a cable and need to know where i need
>>> to put positive and negative connections to the front of the radio as i
>>> am using an internal repeater controller <nhrc-3 mii-90> and have
>>> deleted the control head.
>>>
>>> I realize the two large pins are + and -, but the smaller pins must have
>>> a pos and neg. pin in there somewhere.
>>>
>>> Thanks for any help!!
>>> please e-mail responses....
>>> 73
>>> Cole
>>> KC5SWI@flash.net
>>
>>Where are you located at?? Get with me in email and I'll have the info
>>you need by then...yes there is a +DC input for the exciter and
>>the rcvr (the 7amp fused feed to the control head) that uses the
>>smaller pins...the large pins are for the PA only
>>
>>Chris
>>WB5ITT

Could someone be so kind as to share the info with the rest of us too?

Garnet

47. Kenwood 599D Twins: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy/DeeDee/Robin <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <34BCC40A.39C6A9A6@citynet.net>
Source record: 2074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

Hi, probably looking for a needle in a haystack here, but I recently
aquired a set of 599D twins and need some help. Seems the transmitter
doesn't want to work.... funny thing is, I have located two more sets of
radios locally, and those transmitters don't work, either... the relays
kick and IP and HV voltage are there, the tubes are glowing, but no
dice. Same exact thing with others I found! Some bug in the radio??
seems to be a popular bug. the previous owner has had the radio 20 yrs
(in the closet) with the transmitter broken, so it apparantly broke very
early on.. what could be the problem?? Any help appreciated.
Would also be nice to have the 2m and 6m boards for the receiver,
too, if anyone has them around!
thanks,
Randy

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

48. Looking for Kenwood 599D boards

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy/DeeDee/Robin <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <34BCC496.DCA68768@citynet.net>
Source record: 2075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

Looking for 2m and 6m boards for the kenwood 599D twins. please email if u can help! thanks! remove the dot between city and net to make citynet.net for reply!
Randy

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

49. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Metcalf <pmetcalf@xyplex.com>
Message-ID: <01bd2114$30aabbc0$17acb38c@pmetcalf.xyplex.com>
Source record: 2076
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

```
It is most likely Intermodulation that is causing you to hear the ambulance
service. Intermodulation is the mixing of 2 or more frequencies resulting
in the creation of another frequency. This frequency may fall within your
bandpass and sound right on.

-> Phil
```

Graham VE1FC <ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in article
<34adb5a9.0@198.164.187.20>...
> I have both a 202 and a 242.....
> The 202 is GREAT.. excellent receive..
> The 242 is just too dam broadband on receive...
> I receive the local ambulance on the 147.165 machine here in town.. I
wish
> the 242 was narrow band like the 202.... Also sensitivity is poor on the
> 144-148 mhz area... Hell I got the 3 year contract... Might as well slap
it
> on the counter for them to look at....
>
> All said and done the Radio Shack radios are good.. as far as I am
concerned
> ..
> Graham
> ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca
> http://www.surfcity.nb.ca/~ve1fc
>
> Cutie Boy wrote in message <34abc5f8.164334@news.total.net>...
> >On Mon, 29 Dec 1997 01:25:09 -0800, "Ted Lee"
> ><amway@nospam.rogers.wave.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>I first spend $400 Cdn to purchase that radio.
> >
> >I bought it for $299.
> >
> >>Next month it was on sale for $329, I should of waited,
> >
> >RS reimburses you a price difference if within 30 days (I always buy
> >a stuff when it's on sale. It's usual to see $100-$150 discount on
> >certain products).
> >
> >RS Canada sells a card that gives you a 10% discount on anything from
> >regular price at $15 I think. If you buy something over $100, you

> >will get the card free.
> >
> >If something is priced $400, you will able to get it $360 instead.
> >
> >Many people don't know this... just a tip from "cutie boy" :)
> >
> >
> >>Brought it in again for repairs. This time the warranty ran out. So it
> cost
> >>me $120 to fix.
> >
> >>Waited four months. Replace more chips, at my cost, no labour cost
since
> >>under repair 90 day warranty. Cost me an other $90.
> >
> >>I listen about 200 minutes for every minute transmitted!!
> >
> >>My old 2nd hand Kenwood TR-7800 works great, never had a program.
> >
> >I realize some of the RS stuffs don't work after a couple of days.
> >It happened to me a couple of times... but usually these things
> >happen in first 30 days and can be replaced very easily.
> >
> >
> >Make sure you buy an extend warranty on high priced products.
> >My HTX-202 went to repair for a couple of times and I got a new one.
> >If I had no extended warranty, my HTX-202 just became a garbage (202
> >comes only 90 day warranty).
> >
> >>Here my brand new HTX-212 spending more time in the shop that actual
> usage!
> >
> >I am using HTX-242. Seems it's working OK.
> >
> >
> >>I have a HTX-202, works great, along with my ICOM IC-02AT which I've
been
> >>using 320 days a year since 1989.
> >
> >>no problems with voltage, or swr.
> >
> >
> >> So why did the HTX-212 fail me twice?
> >
> >you didn't have a luck.
> >
> >
> >>So next time, buy the extended warranty for Radio Shack radios.
> >

> >depends on what you purchase though...
> >
> >I don't buy any extended warranties on scanners... but I do buy it
> >on amateur stuffs.
> >
> >
> >>never again to buy a Radio Shack mobile.
> >
> >
> >My 242 is working OK. My 202 wasn't working OK.
> >kinda opposite eh ??
> >
> >
> >_____
> >
> >Gar�on Mignon (�galement connu comme M@S@)
> >Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
> >�tudient @ Informatique et Math�matiques d'Universit� McGill
> >Montr�al, Qu�bec, Canada
> >Mail-Electronique: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
> >URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> > http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
> > http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (Universit�
McGill)
> >_____
> >***Enlevez l' " .ham " pour r�pondre.***
> >***Please remove "ham" to reply.***
> >
> >ATTENTION: Je n'ach�terai pas ou r�ponse aucun produit des C�S non
> sollicit�s!!
>
>
>

50. Re: radio shacks 2-meter rigs

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: whisper@igs.net
Message-ID: <34bda02e.15909245@news.igs.net>
Source record: 2077
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

My HTX 202 has performed under very tough conditions for over 4 years now, flawlessly.
There seemed to be a group of them however, roughly two to three years ago that had some serious problems with the finals and bias.Also some modulation problems were reported.
I think when they tried to speed up production to meet demand, quality control went out the window.
On the subject of intermod, I have found the HTX 202 superior to most portables. If you modify them for wide band receive however, there are serious problems with rejection.
Just remember it is a portable, and any comparison must be with other portables.

Just my thoughts.

Peter DeWolf
VE3YYY

On 14 Jan 1998 17:44:06 GMT, "Phil Metcalf" <pmetcalf@xyplex.com> wrote:

>
>
>It is most likely Intermodulation that is causing you to hear the ambulance
>service. Intermodulation is the mixing of 2 or more frequencies resulting
>in the creation of another frequency. This frequency may fall within your
>bandpass and sound right on.
>
>-> Phil
>
>Graham VE1FC <ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in article
><34adb5a9.0@198.164.187.20>...
>> I have both a 202 and a 242.....
>> The 202 is GREAT.. excellent receive..
>> The 242 is just too dam broadband on receive...
>> I receive the local ambulance on the 147.165 machine here in town.. I
>wish
>> the 242 was narrow band like the 202.... Also sensitivity is poor on the
>> 144-148 mhz area... Hell I got the 3 year contract... Might as well slap
>it
>> on the counter for them to look at....
>>
>> All said and done the Radio Shack radios are good.. as far as I am

>concerned
>> ..
>> Graham
>> ve1fc@nbnet.nb.ca
>> http://www.surfcity.nb.ca/~ve1fc
>>
>> Cutie Boy wrote in message <34abc5f8.164334@news.total.net>...
>> >On Mon, 29 Dec 1997 01:25:09 -0800, "Ted Lee"
>> ><amway@nospam.rogers.wave.ca> wrote:
>> >
>> >>I first spend $400 Cdn to purchase that radio.
>> >
>> >I bought it for $299.
>> >
>> >>Next month it was on sale for $329, I should of waited,
>> >
>> >RS reimburses you a price difference if within 30 days (I always buy
>> >a stuff when it's on sale. It's usual to see $100-$150 discount on
>> >certain products).
>> >
>> >RS Canada sells a card that gives you a 10% discount on anything from
>> >regular price at $15 I think. If you buy something over $100, you
>> >will get the card free.
>> >
>> >If something is priced $400, you will able to get it $360 instead.
>> >
>> >Many people don't know this... just a tip from "cutie boy" :)
>> >
>> >
>> >>Brought it in again for repairs. This time the warranty ran out. So it
>> cost
>> >>me $120 to fix.
>> >
>> >>Waited four months. Replace more chips, at my cost, no labour cost
>since
>> >>under repair 90 day warranty. Cost me an other $90.
>> >
>> >>I listen about 200 minutes for every minute transmitted!!
>> >
>> >>My old 2nd hand Kenwood TR-7800 works great, never had a program.
>> >
>> >I realize some of the RS stuffs don't work after a couple of days.
>> >It happened to me a couple of times... but usually these things
>> >happen in first 30 days and can be replaced very easily.
>> >
>> >
>> >Make sure you buy an extend warranty on high priced products.
>> >My HTX-202 went to repair for a couple of times and I got a new one.
>> >If I had no extended warranty, my HTX-202 just became a garbage (202

>> >comes only 90 day warranty).
>> >
>> >>Here my brand new HTX-212 spending more time in the shop that actual
>> usage!
>> >
>> >I am using HTX-242. Seems it's working OK.
>> >
>> >
>> >>I have a HTX-202, works great, along with my ICOM IC-02AT which I've
>been
>> >>using 320 days a year since 1989.
>> >
>> >>no problems with voltage, or swr.
>> >
>> >
>> >> So why did the HTX-212 fail me twice?
>> >
>> >you didn't have a luck.
>> >
>> >
>> >>So next time, buy the extended warranty for Radio Shack radios.
>> >
>> >depends on what you purchase though...
>> >
>> >I don't buy any extended warranties on scanners... but I do buy it
>> >on amateur stuffs.
>> >
>> >
>> >>never again to buy a Radio Shack mobile.
>> >
>> >
>> >My 242 is working OK. My 202 wasn't working OK.
>> >kinda opposite eh ??
>> >
>> >
>> >_____
>> >
>> >Gar�on Mignon (�galement connu comme M@S@)
>> >Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>> >�tudient @ Informatique et Math�matiques d'Universit� McGill
>> >Montr�al, Qu�bec, Canada
>> >Mail-Electronique: cute@radiotelephone.net.ham
>> >URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
>> > http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
>> > http://www.geocities.com/~masataka/mcgill.htm (Universit�
>McGill)
>> >_____
>> >***Enlevez l' " .ham " pour r�pondre.***
>> >***Please remove "ham" to reply.***

>> >
>> >ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non
>> sollicit&#65533;s!!
>>
>>
>>

51. Re: GE Master 2 HELP PLEASE!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Stadtherr <bstadtherr@tcsn.net>
Message-ID: <34BE56D8.6708@tcsn.net>
Source record: 2078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Well, OK... here we go

1 DC CONV CONT
2 MIC LO
3 RX MUTE
4 MIC HI
5 SPARE
6 CG DISABLE
7 SPARE
8 F1
9 F2
10 F3
11 F4
12 F5
13 F6
14 F7
15 F8
16 CAS
17 SQ ARM
18 VOL/SQ LO
19 VOL ARM
20 VOL/SQ HI
21 CONTROL A-
22 RX PA
23 SQ DISABLE
24** IGN SWITCH
25 PTT
26 BLKR DISABLE
27 SPKR LO
28 SPKR HI
29** A+
30** A-
31 F9
32 F10
33 SPARE
34 SPARE
35 F11
36 F12
37 SPARE
38 SPARE
39 TX A+
40 TX A-

Have a blast,
Bob KI6WO

52. Re: Repeater desense, what&#65533;s the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John M. Domblewski" <wendysue@global2000.net>
Message-ID: <34BEBC0C.69BE5380@global2000.net>
Source record: 2079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Boy, am I impressed with your mastery of the english language!

John

&miker wrote:

> gambino wrote:

53. WTD:Compaq Presario 200Mhz Motherboard for

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <69p66i$f49$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2080
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

What I have is a Compaq Presario 200mhz Motherboard - No CPU - No Memory -
Just a NEW 200 Mhz Motherboard - RETAIL $995 just for the motherboard the
way it is..
Retails over $900 just for the motherboard

Looking for more Amateur Radio Gear .. Email me with what you have to offer
I am OPEN to just about anything dealing with ham radio
73 Daniel KC4OXP
KC4OXP@QSL.NET

54. Re: WTD:Compaq Presario 200Mhz Motherboard for

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anthony Dercole <adercole@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <34C0893C.8BEFCF1B@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2081
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Daniel,
I hate to burst your bubble but what you have is worthless. I suggest you check out some on line motherboard suppliers and you'll see you can get a motherboard for around $80.00 but unfortunately because what you have is a coimpaq they made special connector and such. Its just not supportable.

tony

J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP wrote:

> What I have is a Compaq Presario 200mhz Motherboard - No CPU - No Memory -
> Just a NEW 200 Mhz Motherboard - RETAIL $995 just for the motherboard the
> way it is..
> Retails over $900 just for the motherboard
>
> Looking for more Amateur Radio Gear .. Email me with what you have to offer
> I am OPEN to just about anything dealing with ham radio
> 73 Daniel KC4OXP
> KC4OXP@QSL.NET

55. Re: Kenwood 599D Twins: HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Avishay Dinar <avishay.d@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <69snpfjlo1@news.NetVision.net.il>
Source record: 2082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Richard Kerr wrote in message ...
>Try dropping a line to JA9MAT .
>js9mat@nsknet.or.jp
>
>I think he use to run the Kenwood (Trio) Twins at one time.
>
>73
>Rick-KA8EGS
>
>
>

Can you explain yourself please? I used to have the same problem. Turns out
to be wrong voltage currency or something...

AD - 4X89CX
```

56. Transverting with a Kenwood TS 450 ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilly@camtech.net.au
Message-ID: <34c1dfd6.50653415@news.camtech.net.au>
Source record: 2083
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Hi to all on the News Group !

My old TS 180 (and apparently a lot of other transceivers)
have a connection for interfacing a transverter.

I cant see any reference in my manual for such
an interface on my TS 450.

Can anyone enlighten me ?

Thanks Alan VK5BWG
Alan gilly@camtech.net.au

57. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <willee@interconnect.net>
Message-ID: <69ttadmcc1@usenet76.supernews.com>
Source record: 2084
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

gambino wrote in message <34B892D7.931A4F87@yahoo.com>...
>Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
>Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts &
Know it
>alls.
>When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic
enthusiast &
>wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest
degree
>(still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
>I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other
"younger
>generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know
code, or say
>73's, etc...
>Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you
would think,
>every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people,
many of
>which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams,
simply
>because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was
constantly
>harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more
experienced"
>guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop.
Mind you, I
>was only 25.
>I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run
closed
>repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think
simply cuz
>I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the
same time I
>was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to
answer tech
>questions on related topics...
>It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know
everything & feel
>bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
>I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area ,
Ca.), bunch
>of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are

all part
>of the same "old boys club"...
>Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
>work around assholes I can't stand?
>I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and
>I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties
>to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck
>fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a
>better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old
>assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate
>it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to
>spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I
>learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
>Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
>Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
>You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
>It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
>
Damm sounds like another know it all.....

58. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Costas Hazimanolis <costas@pathway.net>
Message-ID: <69u53spsr0@207.76.141.37>
Source record: 2085
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Don McPherson wrote:
>
> Try being nice. dont waste your life fretting away at old farts and young impertinate
> assholes!
>
> gambino wrote:
>
> > Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
> > Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it
> > alls.
> > When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast &
> > wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree
> > (still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
> > I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger
> > generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say
> > 73's, etc...
> > Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think,
> > every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of
> > which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply
> > because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly
> > harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced"
> > guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I
> > was only 25.
> > I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed
> > repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz
> > I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I
> > was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech
> > questions on related topics...
> > It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel
> > bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
> > I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch
> > of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part
> > of the same "old boys club"...
> > Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
> > work around assholes I can't stand?
> > I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and
> > I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties
> > to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck
> > fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a
> > better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old
> > assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate
> > it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to
> > spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I

> > learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
> > Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
> > Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
> > You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
> > It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
> >
> > DANO wrote:
> >
> > > as someone making my way into the word of ham radio, i've noticed a common
> > > thread betweem the type of people involved in club's,ng's, and even the
> > > crowd at hamfest's.
> > > when a conversation is started by a newbie asking a question about
> > > anything, the initial question gets lost in the disagreement over a phrase
> > > or misquote that some "know - it - all" has to throw in. of course the
> > > person, who is asking the the question, gets forgotten about cause the rest
> > > of the answer from some person walking by is then debated for the rest of
> > > the day.
> > > HMMMM. seems to me that anyone under 55 yrs old is more interested in
> > > "knowing more" than the guy next to him, and i find these rocket scientist
> > > to be more anoying than helpful.
> > > if everyone was as interested in furthering their "knowledge base" and
> > > help the less experienced operators further their's, nobody would have to
> > > worry about the loss of ham bands to others.
> > >
> > > to prove my point, i ask all of you to scan the postings of this
> > > group and see how many legit questions asking for help or opinions on
> > > equipment are responded to.
> > > then look at all the general comments and debates posted with their
> > > responces.
> > > in one day i saw a ratio of 10:1 in favor of the debates.
> > >
> > > IN THE 1960'S JFK SAID " ASK NOT WHAT YOUR COUNTRY CAN DO FOR YOU, ASK
> > > WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR YOUR COUNTRY"
> > >
> > > i'm 34, never learned that quote from school, but i practice it every day.
> > > anyone else care to give it a try. we just might save ham radio for our
> > > children
> > >
> > > DAN0

Let's try to "talk" on the ng as though we were on the air.
Clean language and no foul metaphores.
K3KKI

59. Re: Repeater desense, what's the mechanism?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Costas Hazimanolis <costas@pathway.net>
Message-ID: <69u794psr1@207.76.141.37>
Source record: 2086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

John M. Domblewski wrote:
>
> Boy, am I impressed with your mastery of the english language!
>
> John
>
> &miker wrote:
>
> gambino wrote:

Fellow Hams
I can't understand the advantage of the foul language on these
postings. Let's all get together and see if we can stop this.
Hate to see ham radio go the way of the "citizen's band".
K3KKI

60. WTB dECIBEL db224e Repeater Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cole and Kathy <kc5swi@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34C82044.B48B8BF@flash.net>
Source record: 2087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

```
Looking for a used or reasonable priced decibel db224E OR DB228 REPEATER
TO USE WITH A 2 METER REPEATER.

PLEASE EMAIL PRICE AND CONDITION TO kcswi@flash.net

THANKS
73
coLE
KC5SWI
```

61. Re: Transverting with a Kenwood TS 450 ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <34ce04c8.490296166@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2088
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

On Mon, 26 Jan 1998 19:41:18 GMT, andrewd@ozemail.com.au (Andrew Davis)
wrote:
>
>I can't speak for Kenwood but it seems they don't believe we need
>transverters any more. Why? Probably because they would prefer us to
>buy a new radio that includes a "VHF" band. 6m is now a standard
>inclusion in many "HF" radios, reducing the potential need for
>transverters by a huge number. Now ICOM have announced the 746 with
>100 watts on HF, 6m and 2m. So the only people needing a transverter
>now are the very small number who want to use linear modes on bands
>above 148. So probably it just isn't worth complicating an HF rig
>with something a small minority is interested in. Those buyers will
>have to use an older radio instead!

The reality is that there has never been a large demand for, or use
of, transverters with HF radios in the first place. And now there is
even less demand and reason for them thanks to the popularity of
VHF+ multimodes and the expansion of most HF rigs to include
6m. The transverter jacks (and associated internals) have become
an unnecessary expense in a market where price competition has
become increasingly cutthroat.

Now I'm not happy about that, because I'm in that small minority
who use transverters, but that's the reality of the situation. To
most purchasers of HF rigs, transverter capability is about as
useful as tits on a boar hog. Those of us who do use transverters
likely have the expertise to modify a radio to work with them in
any event, so the issue is really moot.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

62. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jerome M. Kutche" <n9lya@tima.com>
Message-ID: <34CE60B5.41A54B47@tima.com>
Source record: 2089
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Maybe he is now a know it all... I went the same route... I know he is right!!!

William Lee wrote:

> gambino wrote in message <34B892D7.931A4F87@yahoo.com>...
> >Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
> >Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts &
> Know it
> >alls.
> >When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic
> enthusiast &
> >wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest
> degree
> >(still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
> >I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other
> "younger
> >generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know
> code, or say
> >73's, etc...
> >Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you
> would think,
> >every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people,
> many of
> >which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams,
> simply
> >because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was
> constantly
> >harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more
> experienced"
> >guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop.
> Mind you, I
> >was only 25.
> >I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run
> closed
> >repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think
> simply cuz
> >I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the
> same time I
> >was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to
> answer tech
> >questions on related topics...
> >It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know
> everything & feel
> >bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...

> >I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area ,
> Ca.), bunch
> >of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are
> all part
> >of the same "old boys club"...
> >Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own,
> but why
> >work around assholes I can't stand?
> >I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the
> salary, and
> >I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still
> have ties
> >to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I
> have a truck
> >fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that
> doesn't make a
> >better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these
> old
> >assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or
> debate
> >it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am
> willing to
> >spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe
> me I
> >learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share
> them..
> >Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
> >Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
> >You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
> >It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
> >
> Damm sounds like another know it all.....

63. New Listserv for "Tornado Alley" SKYWARN.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Brown <r_brown@getonthe.net>
Message-ID: <01bd2add$9b26eb40$adaf32cf@r_brown.getonthe.net>
Source record: 2090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,alt.talk.weather,alt.disasters.misc,alt.disasters.planning,rec.ham-radio

Howdy! It's time to start thinking about severe weather in Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, and Missouri. To that end, I would like to announce the creation of a new Listserv for folks who are interested in, or already participate in, the SKYWARN program in the states of Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, and Missouri. One does _not_ have to be a licensed amateur radio operator to participate in SKYWARN, although "ham" radio does play a major role in getting information to and from the various National Weather Service offices.

This Listserv will _NOT_ be used for issuing any watches or warnings, but rather to discuss what SKYWARN does, information about training classes, and related matters (including discussion of ARES and REACT). Anyone is welcome to participate (subscribe), but this Listserv will concentrate on the 4 central States that make up "Tornado Alley".

To participate, contact the Administrator for the Listserv - me! :-) Send a message to r_brown@getonthe.net and I'll send you the URL information.

Thanks, and 73 (Best Regards)!

Ray Brown, KB0STN
Assistant EC, Jasper Co, MO ARES

64. FS Drake & A&A Products

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <01bd2c02$22b3b000$d6d271d1@francer.gis.net>
Source record: 2091
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

CONTACT Dave - ka1dpw@erols.com
A & A Engineering 30 meter CW, 5 watt QRP transceiver.AGC, VFO,
single m conversion, sidetone, and
S-meter. Fully built, tested, and calibrated, never used. $100. Contact
Dave. Ka1dpw@erols.com

Drake SW-2 IR Remote Control and carry handle, brand new, got in
anticipation of buying SW-2 and never bought radio. Paid $55 for both,
will sell for $40. Contact Dave ka1dpw@erols.com

65. Re: Transverting with a Kenwood TS 450 ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: LA8akc@online.no
Message-ID: <34cf7801.8760043@news.sol.no>
Source record: 2092
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

On Tue, 27 Jan 1998 16:06:12 GMT, ke4zv@bellsouth.net (Gary Coffman)
wrote:

>On Mon, 26 Jan 1998 19:41:18 GMT, andrewd@ozemail.com.au (Andrew Davis)
>wrote:
>>
>>I can't speak for Kenwood but it seems they don't believe we need
>>transverters any more. Why? Probably because they would prefer us to
>>buy a new radio that includes a "VHF" band. 6m is now a standard
>>inclusion in many "HF" radios, reducing the potential need for
>>transverters by a huge number. Now ICOM have announced the 746 with
>>100 watts on HF, 6m and 2m. So the only people needing a transverter
>>now are the very small number who want to use linear modes on bands
>>above 148. So probably it just isn't worth complicating an HF rig
>>with something a small minority is interested in. Those buyers will
>>have to use an older radio instead!
>
>The reality is that there has never been a large demand for, or use
>of, transverters with HF radios in the first place. And now there is
>even less demand and reason for them thanks to the popularity of
>VHF+ multimodes and the expansion of most HF rigs to include
>6m. The transverter jacks (and associated internals) have become
>an unnecessary expense in a market where price competition has
>become increasingly cutthroat.
>
>Now I'm not happy about that, because I'm in that small minority
>who use transverters, but that's the reality of the situation. To
>most purchasers of HF rigs, transverter capability is about as
>useful as tits on a boar hog. Those of us who do use transverters
>likely have the expertise to modify a radio to work with them in
>any event, so the issue is really moot.
>
>Gary
>Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net

VHF DX'er often seem to prefer using transverters since VHF
transceivers may have low dynamic range and few facilities for DX'ing.
I use FT-902DM with transverters for 6m/2m/70cm/23cm and IC202 for
13/6/3cm SSB/CW.

In DUBUS info nr 2/92 (17 pages) DJ9BV writes:
Kenwood TS-850S Product Preview, bilingual article (German/English)
covering the following subjects:

1.Requirements
2.Evaluation
2.1Good points, RX, IF selectivity, variable bandwidth control,
IF-noise, IF-intermodulation, Attenuator, CW operation,
2.1.2 TX, output power, spurious, keying, Speech processor, ALC,
Monitor,
2.1.3 LO, tuning, spurious, phase noise,
2.1.4 General Handling, Transverter operation, Frequency display,
analog S-meter,
2.1.5 Production quality,
2.1.6 Documentation,
2.2 Rough points
DSP-100 digital signal processor
2.3 Possible improvements
Input-IP, Noise blanker
Filter on 1st IF, Filter selection, SSB filter on 455kHz, Notch
filter, S-meter, Gain normalisation,
Frequency display for transverters,
3. Modifications
3.1 Connecting transverter
3.2 Keying speeds for CW MS
3.3 TX Power control
3.4 Conclusion

tables/figures:
Measurements TS850 on 28MHz
1. Input IP (DL1BU)
2. IF-stopband (G3SJX)
3. Selectivity of 250/455kHz filter (DL1BU)
4. IF intermodulation (DL1BU)
x) RX Sideband/phasenoise on 28MHz in dB/Hz
5) TX spurious output signal (28MHz)
6) S-meter Linearity(DL1BU)
7)Modification for transverter connection (circuit)
8. position of C248/C249 on RF unit
9. Position of C202 on IF unit
10) Adjustment points on RF units (TC1)

If you are interested to buy a copy of this magazine, send a note to
dubus01@ibm.net (I hope this email addr still applies)

73

Jan-Martin N&#65533;ding -
email: LA8AK@online.no

66. WTB: 2 METER HT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6bdafk$da6$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2093
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,tnn.radio.amateur

What I am looking for now is a 2 meter ht. Would perfer ht with accessories and in good working and cosmetic condition. Here is what I have for trade.

What I do have for trade is the following
items...

NEW * Only used 1 month Connectix COLOR Camera w/software - Hooks up to your printer port.

NEW * Less than 3 months old - CCT Color Security Camera - value over $350
i can send a digital picture
of camera if interested)

NEW * Only used 2 months - Color TV Computer Card, works perfectly, used either for cable tv or your outside tv antenna * Comes with Win 3.1 and Win 95 Disk .. Cost me $250

If you would be interested in a trade, please contact via email at KC4OXP@QSL.NET

73 Daniel KC4OXP

67. WTB: Decibel 224 or 228 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Cole , Kathy, Claire" <kc5swi@flash.net>
Message-ID: <34DE8224.8AE89DB8@flash.net>
Source record: 2094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Still looking for a db 224 or db228 in the 2 meter band, for a repeater antenna.

Please e-mail responses.....oh, and if you want to sell one, but don't want to help arrange shipping, don't respond! I had several responses before like "its at the location, don't know how you would get it to a shipper"!!
Cole
kc5swi@flash.net

68. Repeater Modifications by Information Sources

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: rbw <rbw@testengeer.com>
Message-ID: <01bd3737$3535f720$4c78f3cf@primary>
Source record: 2095
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

REPEATER MODIFICATIONS FOR POPULAR MOBILE RADIOS!

Information Sources has compiled an impressive collection of well written instructions for converting many popular commercial mobile radios into full repeaters. Some of the files, such as the MASTER2.TXT file (included below) which describes how to wire a Mastr II mobile radio for use without a control head, are original works and are copyrighted by Information Sources. The information may not be reproduced or republished via Internet, CD-ROM, or any other media without the express written consent of Information Sources.

The repeater modifications are available for sale on 3.5" HD floppy disk, IBM PC format only. All information files are ASCII text. The following files are currently offered on the Information Sources Repeater Modifications disk:

MICORDUP.MOD Converting UHF MICOR mobile radio into a repeater
MICORUHF.MOD Converting UHF MICOR mobile radio into a repeater
MICORVHF.MOD Converting VHF MICOR mobile radio into a repeater
GE_EXEC2.MOD Converting GE Exec II radio for repeater & 9600 pkt
GE_MVP.MOD Converting GE Custom MVP radio into a repeater
GE_PACKET.TXT 9600 and 1200 Baud packet on GE EXEC II and MVP
GE_MVP.TXT Basic MVP information and 1200 baud packet use
GE_MVPEX.MOD Another 9600 mod for GE_MVP (Complicated!)
MAXTRAC.MOD Converting the MAXTRAC for operaion on 900 ham band
MITREK.MOD Converting MITREK mobile for 9600 duplex packet
MITREK2.MOD Converting MITREK mobile radio into a repeater
MITREK.9K6 Converting MITREK mobile radio for 9600 packet
MASTRPRO.MOD Using GE Master Pro receiver strips for repeaters
MASTER2.TXT Wiring the Master II for use without Control Head
MASTER2.MOD Converting GE MASTER 2 mobile radio into a repeater
MOTOSPKR.TXT Adapting and wiring Motorola Amplified Speakers
WILSON.TXT Programming Wilson/Regency synthesized mobile rigs
BR.COM A simple DOS file viewing program for handy use
BABYRPTR.TXT Convert a Fisher-Price baby monitor into a repeater
LIST.COM Another easy to use file viewing utility program
PKUNZIP.EXE Dearchiving utility program to use with *.ZIP files
PD-203.ZIP Shareware program for POCSAG (pagers) monitoring
PE-205.ZIP Shareware program for POCSAG encoding(transmitting)
\DECODE*.* PD-203.ZIP file de-archived - POCSAG decoding
\ENCODE*.* PE-205.ZIP file de-archived - POCSAG encoding

The following is a reproduction of the MASTER2.TXT file which is included on the Repeater Modifications disk described below offered by Information Sources. The MASTER2.TXT file describes how to wire the GE Mastr II mobile radio for operation without the control head and control cable.

Wiring the GE Mastr II without Control Head

I'm sure many of you out there already use Mastr IIs without control heads but there are probably many who are not too familiar with this radio. There was a recent article about using the Mastr II mobile as an emergency intermittent duty repeater by Terry W. Ellis in the September 1993 issue of Mobile Radio Technology Magazine. The article did not elaborate on wiring the Mastr II without a control head. It can be done. I will not go into the modifications needed for repeater operation. Rather, I will just explain how to wire the Mastr II radio for operation on a single frequency without the control head.

1. Ground (called A- by GE) comes out to pin 30 or pin 21 on J901 the Main control plug on the front panel of the radio.

2. 13.5 volt DC must be connected to pin 29 (called A+ by GE) and pin 24 (Ignition Switch) of J901.

3. The Tx PA supply is separately wired to the big red and black wires at pin 39 (TX+) and pin 40 (TX-) of J901.

(NOTE: Be sure to use fuses for both the PA and the low current Rx and Tx exciter even when testing and wiring on your bench. I was lucky and only smoked a few small traces on the Mastr II front panel board which I was able to bridge with new wire. The damage could have been much worse. Reverse polarity diode protection (like the PA has) would also be a good safety practice for the rest of the radio unless you like the smell of burning radio parts!) (I'd suggest 15 or 20 amp (for lower power testing) on the PA and 2 or 3 amp maximum (for testing) on the Rx and Tx exciter.)

4. The volume and squelch controls can be made with internally mounted 10k trimpots. High side on both vol and sq connect to pin 11 of J904 RX/IF/AUDIO/SQ board. (GE conveniently labels all the pins connecting the major sub-assemblies to the front panel and system board of the Mastr II.) Low side vol and sq connect to pin 12 of J904. Sq center control arm is connected to pin 10 of pin J904. Volume center control arm is connected to pin 13 of J904.

5. The frequency or channel is selected by taking the F# pin to ground. Grounding either the Tx or the Rx F# pin also grounds the corresponding F# channel on the other Tx or Rx. (I guess you could rig the radio for multi-channel use by making a multi-select switch for each channel to ground.)

6. Audio (Speaker) output is taken from J904 pin 19. Pin 18 of J904 (labeled Speaker Low) is connected to ground. Connect an appropriate loading resistor (8 to 20 ohm 1 or 2 watt minimum) to prevent audio amp oscillation if you do not have a monitor speaker connected as with packet, etc. Speaker audio could also be taken from the red test jack as explained in the next step.

7. PTT and mike connections can be taken from the red test set jack, J905. Here is the pinout:

Pin 1: Speaker high (Audio out) Pin 6: A- (Ground)
Pin 2: Speaker low (ground) Pin 7: Microphone high
Pin 3: Regulated 10 volt Pin 8: Microphone low
Pin 4: A+ (13.5v) Pin 9: A- (Ground)
Pin 5: PTT Pin

Note: The radio keys by taking pin 8 on U901 (10 volt regulator) to ground. If you operate the Mastr II WITHOUT the CHANNEL GUARD strip installed you will need to jumper pins 6 and 8 on J908, the Channel Guard plug in card. If you try to key the radio at the meter jack without the Channel Guard board installed you won't get anything except frustration. I was dumb-fuzzled for almost a week before I noticed this one little bridge on the schematic diagram of the front panel board. Lesson: If you intend to use Channel Guard keep the card installed when you test the radio. If you do not use Channel guard, jumper these two pins so the PTT will work properly from the red metering jack, J905.

To kill Channel Guard (e.g. for tuning or testing the receiver) take either Pin 6 of J901 (front panel plug) or Pin 3 of P908 (Channel Guard plug) to ground.

Having a schematic diagram for the Front Panel & System board for the Mastr II is certainly a great aid. It is very difficult to trace out the connections without a schematic since the board has circuit traces on both sides and uses a number of holes to pass circuits on both sides of the board.

I have found an easy place to drill holes for wiring access is on the black plastic front panel main wiring connector. There is an area on outer side of the two big pins to the Tx PA which can be drilled out to pass control wires. I tried to solder directly to the pins on the main connector without much success. I like the internal wiring better. (Note: Molex pins slide very nicely over the pins on the Mastr II front plug. Use heat shrink over the connectors to avoid shorts and add a neat appearance. Also, you can solder directly to the large pins for TX PA by scrapping off the plating and soldering directly to the bare brass pin.)

All the information on the disk is believed to be accurate, however some errors may inadvertently appear and the user assumes full responsibility for the use and application of all the information contained on this disk. No warranties of any sort are expressed or implied. Referral to the appropriate service manuals for the various radios discussed is certainly recommended in every case. The information on the disk presumes the user already has familiarity with the radios discussed, and hopefully, a copy of the service manuals for the radios.

In case service manuals for the radios of interest are not available to the user this distributor has prepared concise written and illustrated operating guides for the General Electric Executive II radios, Master II radios, and Custom MVP radios. Each guide runs about 20 to 25 pages in length and contains necessary schematic diagrams, exploded parts diagrams, tune-up instructions for VHF and UHF radios, and repeater modification instructions with diagrams, as needed. The guides are available for $15.00 postage paid when purchased separately, or for $12.50 when purchased with the repeater modifications disk. (See special pricing offers following the order form, below.) Much of the information on the disk is included in the GE operating guides, but the guides additionally include tune-up instructions, schematic diagrams, and additional helpful hints which are not included on the disk.

The Repeater Modifications disk is available by mail by using the order form below:

Name:_____ Callsign:_____

Mailing Address:_____

City, State, Zip:_____

Phone number (optional):_____

E-mail (optional):_____

Qty. Description Price Total

_____ Repeater Modification disk, 3.5" PC $12.50 _____

_____ GE Master II operating guide $15.00 _____

_____ GE Executive II operating guide $15.00 _____

_____ GE Custom MVP operating guide $15.00 _____

(please note special pricing below!) TOTAL _____

All products are shipped first class mail postage paid.

Special!! Any 2 products are only $25.00 total.
Any 3 products are only $35.00 total.
All 4 products are only $40.00 total.

Please make check or money order payable to: Information Sources.

Mail payment to:

Information Sources
Internet Sales Desk
P.O. Box 1266
Victoria, Texas 77902-1266

For further information and e-mail: rbw@tisd.net

69. Looking for Live Realaudio Servers

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Mclaughlin <mcjason@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <34e6495f.0@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 2096
Cross-posted to:
alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I was wondering if anyone knew of some Realaudio sites which I can listen to
HF radio transmissions on. Thanks!

--
Windows 95 is a 32 bit extension for a 16 bit patch for a
operating system which was originally coded for a 4 bit
microprocessor :)
Here's how you can contact me...
Jason Mclaughlin <mcjason@idirect.com>
http://webhome.idirect.com/~mcjason/index.htm

70. FS: Kenwood TM-221A 2mtr 50watt Mobile Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Penguin@thepentagon.com
Message-ID: <34e73172.0@news6.kcdata.com>
Source record: 2097
Cross-posted to: alt.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,uwisc.forsale,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I have forsale a Kenwood TM-221A 2 meter 50/10 watt Ham Radio Mobile for sale.
DTMF Mic
extended receive
scan mode
ctcss encode
backlit display

$160.00 + shipping
email: penguin@thepentagon.com

--Talin

For you spamers...
****
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, ï¿½227,
any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.
****

71. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: lar01@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <34e735a5.6144371@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 2098
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I read your message sometime ago and just read it again.
I am not saying that you are right or wrong but I would like to tell
you a story that happen to me.
I am guess on the time/day but I think it was the early 70's. To a
great extend things were somewhat the same as now, just the technology
has changed.
I used to go to a club meeting and felt very much out of place. My
station was not the same as the going stations. And most of the
fellows had money to burn, I was just about fresh out of college.
One day, as I enter the club room, I decided that I was going to
change my attitude. As the members came in I greeted them, rather
than expecting them to greet me.
I found a significant change in ther attitude toward me. Soon I
became quite a respected member of the club.
I got involved in club projects and showed them my talent without
pushing me out. Plus I allowed them to show me their talent.
Even though we came from different age groups, them about 20 years
older than I, we soon got along very well.
I am now at the age where you might call me one of the old f....ts.
But I am still trying to learn from the newer groups. I do have my
opinions but i try to listen to them as well as talik to them.
Some other the younger fellows are surprised at my willingness to
still learn.
I still consider amateur raido a two way street as long as they keep
it that way.
larry ve3fxq

On Sun, 11 Jan 1998 04:37:27 -0500, gambino <da_gambino@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
>Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it
>alls.
>When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast &
>wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree
>(still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
>I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger
>generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say
>73's, etc...
>Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think,
>every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of
>which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply
>because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly
>harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced"

>guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I
>was only 25.
>I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed
>repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz
>I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I
>was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech
>questions on related topics...
>It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel
>bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
>I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch
>of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part
>of the same "old boys club"...
>Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
>work around assholes I can't stand?
>I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and
>I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties
>to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck
>fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a
>better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old
>assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate
>it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to
>spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I
>learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
>Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
>Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
>You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
>It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
>
>
>DANO wrote:
>
>> as someone making my way into the word of ham radio, i've noticed a common
>> thread betweem the type of people involved in club's,ng's, and even the
>> crowd at hamfest's.
>> when a conversation is started by a newbie asking a question about
>> anything, the initial question gets lost in the disagreement over a phrase
>> or misquote that some "know - it - all" has to throw in. of course the
>> person, who is asking the the question, gets forgotten about cause the rest
>> of the answer from some person walking by is then debated for the rest of
>> the day.
>> HMMMM. seems to me that anyone under 55 yrs old is more interested in
>> "knowing more" than the guy next to him, and i find these rocket scientist
>> to be more anoying than helpful.
>> if everyone was as interested in furthering their "knowledge base" and
>> help the less experienced operators further their's, nobody would have to
>> worry about the loss of ham bands to others.
>>
>> to prove my point, i ask all of you to scan the postings of this
>> group and see how many legit questions asking for help or opinions on
>> equipment are responded to.

>> then look at all the general comments and debates posted with their
>> responces.
>> in one day i saw a ratio of 10:1 in favor of the debates.
>>
>> IN THE 1960'S JFK SAID " ASK NOT WHAT YOUR COUNTRY CAN DO FOR YOU, ASK
>> WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR YOUR COUNTRY"
>>
>> i'm 34, never learned that quote from school, but i practice it every day.
>> anyone else care to give it a try. we just might save ham radio for our
>> children
>>
>> DAN0
>
>
>

72. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <6c9i5e$ss3$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 2099
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Yes, they are all they same... just like on the air... fight fight fight.

--

Professor @ Telstar Electronics
http://www.megsinet.com/bgriffey/docs/index.htm

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

73. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Costas Hazimanolis <costas@pathway.net>
Message-ID: <6c9u2m$la5$0@207.76.141.80>
Source record: 2100
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

larry wrote:
>
> I read your message sometime ago and just read it again.
> I am not saying that you are right or wrong but I would like to tell
> you a story that happen to me.
> I am guess on the time/day but I think it was the early 70's. To a
> great extend things were somewhat the same as now, just the technology
> has changed.
> I used to go to a club meeting and felt very much out of place. My
> station was not the same as the going stations. And most of the
> fellows had money to burn, I was just about fresh out of college.
> One day, as I enter the club room, I decided that I was going to
> change my attitude. As the members came in I greeted them, rather
> than expecting them to greet me.
> I found a significant change in ther attitude toward me. Soon I
> became quite a respected member of the club.
> I got involved in club projects and showed them my talent without
> pushing me out. Plus I allowed them to show me their talent.
> Even though we came from different age groups, them about 20 years
> older than I, we soon got along very well.
> I am now at the age where you might call me one of the old f....ts.
> But I am still trying to learn from the newer groups. I do have my
> opinions but i try to listen to them as well as talik to them.
> Some other the younger fellows are surprised at my willingness to
> still learn.
> I still consider amateur raido a two way street as long as they keep
> it that way.
> larry ve3fxq
>
> On Sun, 11 Jan 1998 04:37:27 -0500, gambino <da_gambino@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
> >Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it
> >alls.
> >When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast &
> >wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree
> >(still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
> >I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger
> >generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say
> >73's, etc...
> >Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think,
> >every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of

> >which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply
> >because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly
> >harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced"
> >guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I
> >was only 25.
> >I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed
> >repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz
> >I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I
> >was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech
> >questions on related topics...
> >It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel
> >bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
> >I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch
> >of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part
> >of the same "old boys club"...
> >Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
> >work around assholes I can't stand?
> >I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and
> >I'm still only 26... I also run my own Paging & Email service. so I still have ties
> >to the wireless industry both commercially & as a hobby of course.... I have a truck
> >fully decked out for communications, with all the top gear, but that doesn't make a
> >better ham than anyone else though, what does make me way better than these old
> >assholes, is I won't chew your ass if you ask a "dumb" newbie question, or debate
> >it, nor will I run you off a repeater, because it's "Private".... I am willing to
> >spread the knowledge & help other guys who don't know what I know, believe me I
> >learned a lot of secrets working in the business & never hesitate to share them..
> >Face it Old Timers, the days of the secret handshake are over....
> >Who gives a damn if we dont't know about about tubes, crystals & code....
> >You can't hold back NEWER Technology or the knowledge thereof...
> >It's alright dano, I'm on your side.
> >
> >
> >DANO wrote:
> >
> >> as someone making my way into the word of ham radio, i've noticed a common
> >> thread betweem the type of people involved in club's,ng's, and even the
> >> crowd at hamfest's.
> >> when a conversation is started by a newbie asking a question about
> >> anything, the initial question gets lost in the disagreement over a phrase
> >> or misquote that some "know - it - all" has to throw in. of course the
> >> person, who is asking the the question, gets forgotten about cause the rest
> >> of the answer from some person walking by is then debated for the rest of
> >> the day.
> >> HMMMM. seems to me that anyone under 55 yrs old is more interested in
> >> "knowing more" than the guy next to him, and i find these rocket scientist
> >> to be more anoying than helpful.
> >> if everyone was as interested in furthering their "knowledge base" and
> >> help the less experienced operators further their's, nobody would have to
> >> worry about the loss of ham bands to others.
> >>

> >> to prove my point, i ask all of you to scan the postings of this
> >> group and see how many legit questions asking for help or opinions on
> >> equipment are responded to.
> >> then look at all the general comments and debates posted with their
> >> responces.
> >> in one day i saw a ratio of 10:1 in favor of the debates.
> >>
> >> IN THE 1960'S JFK SAID " ASK NOT WHAT YOUR COUNTRY CAN DO FOR YOU, ASK
> >> WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR YOUR COUNTRY"
> >>
> >> i'm 34, never learned that quote from school, but i practice it every day.
> >> anyone else care to give it a try. we just might save ham radio for our
> >> children
> >>
> >> DAN0
> >
> >
> >

Gentlemen:
To me you seem to be knowledgeable with good jobs. Your post can be debated very nicely and with a measure of dignity. Why use fowl language to put your point across? The same can be accomplished without it. We really urge all hams on the groups to "speak" as if we were on the ham bands. We don't want government regulations on the internet as we have on the air with the FCC. Please do not be offended by what I have said, for ham radio is still the best hobby one can indulge. Thanks.
Costas-rp

74. Re: HAM CLUBS & NG's ALL THE SAME

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis M LaFon <n5hdq@wf.quik.com>
Message-ID: <34E8B3EE.70A59A92@wf.quik.com>
Source record: 2101
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: vcard.vcf

Costas Hazimanolis wrote:And Curtis snipped quite a bit:

> > On Sun, 11 Jan 1998 04:37:27 -0500, gambino <da_gambino@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Don't feel alone, your observation is very much the truth...
> > >Radio in general is stale because of these , pardon my jargon, Old Farts & Know it
> > >alls.
> > >When I got into Ham rdaio (bout 6yrs ago) I was an avid electronic enthusiast &
> > >wanted more, more, more knowledge... I was also a hacker of the highest degree
> > >(still am) & ended up teaching myself most of what I know...
> > >I found it annoying to have to listen to these A**holes chastise other "younger
> > >generation" Hams, because we didn't have 9 mile high HF towers or, know code, or say
> > >73's, etc...
> > >Well I eventually got so into radio I ended up a Radio Technician, you would think,
> > >every Ham's dream job, right? WRONG. I met the most dispicable people, many of
> > >which were Hams, The commercial guys always felt they were above Hams, simply
> > >because, they got paid to do it & use commercial equipment.... I was constantly
> > >harrased being a ham working in commercial radio, by the older "more experienced"
> > >guys, I didn't give a shit, I excelled & eventually started my own shop. Mind you, I
> > >was only 25.
> > >I got so fed up though with the assholes in the business, many of which run closed
> > >repeaters & the ones whop think they are so much smarter than me & think simply cuz
> > >I was 23, 24,25 yrs old, I didn't know a damn about radio, while at the same time I
> > >was getting paid to fix their radios & being Emailed up the wazooo to answer tech
> > >questions on related topics...
> > >It is PURE arrogance on the part of these guys who think they know everything & feel
> > >bestowed to treat other guys like shit cuz they are new to the hobby...
> > >I absolutely am turned off by the 'radio crowd' in my parts (Bay Area , Ca.), bunch
> > >of old effer's who have a monopoly on freq pairs cuz they have money & are all part
> > >of the same "old boys club"...
> > >Screw those guys, I could of continued to make money doing radio on my own, but why
> > >work around assholes I can't stand?
> > >I switched careers & am now a Network Engineer making almost twice the salary, and

I infer from this that he could not cut it as a radio repair tech.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

75. FS:IC-T22A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6cdlmuddn1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2017
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,tnn.radio.amateur
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf

```
Icom IC-T22A 2 meter ht for sale all mods performed. Radio in excellent
cosmetic condition, comes with battery , wart charger, beltclip, and case.
First $180 plus shipping gets it.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf]

end
```

76. Yaesu FT 8100R. Mods wanted

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kirkner <billrk@desupernet.net>
Message-ID: <01bd3b7c$aa74b280$0254f6cd@hp-customer>
Source record: 2102
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Does any one have the Mods for the Yaesu ft 8100R If so please e-mail or post..

Thanks

73 N3PZA
Bill Kirkner
billrk@desupernet.net

77. WTB:SM-220

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6ceng5$js2$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2018
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,tnn.radio.amateur
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf

Wanted SM-220 With Pan Adapter (BS-8). Best deal gets my money! Side note here - I have a FT-50R that I would be willing to trade for the SM-220 if your interested. Excellent condition, includes 5 watt battery and battery case for 2 AA batteries.

E-mail with price and condition.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf]

end

78. FS:TS-940S/AT MINT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6co6u7$5nu$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2019
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf

I have a near perfect TS-940S/AT for sale. Includes hand mic, manuals &
original boxes (inner & outer). Also included is the SP-940 Speaker, And
PC-1A Phone Patch. This radio is a 9.8 on appearance and 10 on performance.
I've got 'new radio' fever but I don't 'HAVE' to sell this radio so PLEASE
don't waste either of our time with low-ball offers. $1350 (and worth it!).
Prefer local sale so I can demo the radio but will ship at your expense.

E-mail if interested
73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf]

end

79. TH-G71A MOD, I HAVE IT!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <not@public.com>
Message-ID: <34f1f1e1.0@heather.surfsouth.com>
Source record: 2020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Hi,

I have the mod for the TH-G71A. The specs are:

AFTER MOD RX Capability:

136.000 - 173.995 400.000 - 469.995
320.000 - 399.99375 800.000 - 823.9875
849.000 - 868.9875 894.000 - 949.9875

If you want a copy of the mod sheet you can do 1 of 2 things:

Send an SASE to: Graham Crosby
2506 Devon Drive
Albany, GA 31707

OR 2:

Log back on tonight about 9:00 PM. I will scan and post it in BMP format .

73,
Graham
K4XA

"Mr. President, your proctologist called, they found your head."

80. Mods for Standard C510

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cartoony <Cartoony@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hFnI.111n6.163210@news1.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2021
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If anyone has mods for the Standard C 510 A, I would appreciate it if you would e-mail them to me. It is a new radio and I don't know if anyone has even tried any mods to this radio or if it IS modifiable.
Thanks, Jamie
KE4SLX

81. TH-G71A Mods Now Online.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bb <bblackburn@puc.edu>
Message-ID: <34F41CF0.DFF115D8@puc.edu>
Source record: 2022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

You can now find the mods for the Kenwood TH-G71A HT, On my site,
http://www.angelfire.com/ca/ke6gwf/
Note; Currently I only have the wide band Receive mods. If any of you have,
or know were I can find, the full Transmit mods, please let me know.
I will post them, as well pictures that I took with the radio apart, as soon as I get them.
Thank you, Ben (KE6GWF)

P.S. I did the receive mods on mine last night, and everything seems to be
working all right.
After mod Rx capability.
136.000MHz- 173.995MHz, 400.000MHz- 469.995Mhz
320.000MHz- 399.99375Mhz, 800.000MHz- 823.9875Mhz
849.000MHz -868.9875Mhz 894.000MHz- 949.9875MHz

82. FS:IC-T21A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6d2kp0$6p6$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2023
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,tnn.radio.amateur
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf

```
Super Compact 2M HH W/ 440MHz & Modified for Cellular Receive
Excellent Condition, Modified RX/TX
5 Watt Battery
Carrying Case for Smaller Battery
Charger (14 hour)
Manual
$185 Plus Shipping

Great HT!!

Email kc4oxp@flash.net
73 Daniel KC4OXP

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Daniel Bell, Jr..vcf]

end
```

83. DB25 Design Criteria

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Tanner <mtanner@cinergy.com>
Message-ID: <6d9g10$s80$1@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 2024
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I am writing a paper for school and I need the design notes around the manufactuing of a DB25 male connector. Any help would be appreciated.

mtanner@cinergy.com

84. Excellent condition TH-78A with accessories $350

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wd4sgu@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <6dcm34qsm1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 2025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

I have a like-new Kenwood TH-78A with all original equipment (box, manual, antenna, wrist strap, charger, and battery in excellent condition), and the following accessories:

ME-1 200-memory expansion chip (total of 250 memories)
SMC-34 Remote-control programmable speaker/mic with volume control.
SC-35 Soft case for standard batteries
SC-36 Soft case for large batteries
KLF-3 Filtered cigarette lighter power cord.

The radio is opened up for full tx/rx capabilities and crossband repeat. It has seen only minor use, and works superbly. It is the most full-featured dualband ht available, and will give you good service. I have all of the original packaging and documentation for the accessories
as well.

Price is $350. Reply here or email me at one of these addresses, after removing NOSPAM-:

NOSPAM-wd4sgu@juno.com
NOSPAM-wd4sgu@prodigy.net

Thanks.

Mike - WD4SGU

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

85. FOR SALE: Yaesu FT-50R Dual Band Handheld (Fully modified)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Steven <jsteven@sirris.com>
Message-ID: <6dctdoijs1@usenet53.supernews.com>
Source record: 2026
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR SALE: Yaesu FT-50R Dual Band Handheld (Fully modified)

$225 obo (see what's included free below)

This radio has had the Ultraband mod performed allowing for amazing Rx and
Tx ranges, the best of any Yaesu modification.
Visit http://www.yaesu.com/ft50.gif for a picture of this radio.

Features:
-Fully modified!!!
-Great condition, rarely used
-Wide Multi-band Receive for the scanning enthusiasts 76-520, 540-999 MHz
(including Cellular)
-3-Selectable Rx modes (AM, FM-Narrow, FM-Standard)
-Rugged MIL-STD 810 Rated for Shock and Vibration
-Auto Range Transpond SystemTM (ARTS) uses DCS to automatically poll other
stations, indicating if they are within simplex range
-Digital Coded Squelch (DCS) is used in the commercial industry, DCS
provides 113 unique codes in addition to the standard CTCSS tones
-112 Memory Channels each stores offset, CTCSS/DCS & power level
-Alpha-Numeric Display up to 4 characters may be used to name each memory
channel
-Digital DC Voltage Display monitors current battery voltage
-Full 5 Watts of Power Output with 4 selectable power levels
-Automatic Tone Search (ATS) scans for DCS and CTCSS tones
-Receive and Transmit Battery Savers built-in
-ADMS-1C® Windows® PC Programmable (see below)
-Keyboard and Menu Programming allows for simple FT-50R operation

Included FREE:
-AC Adaptor
-Screen and keypad protector case
-All original documentation, labels, and box (including warrenty)

Also for Sale for use with radio:
-ADMS Software Package (includes cable and software) ($100 obo)
I would suggest this addition to your radio. It allows for the greatest
flexibility.

Contact James Steven:
via e-mail jsteven@sirris.com
via telephone (206) 302-7256

86. Motorola Spectra Scan Ques. - Limitations

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dr. Radio" <drradio@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6dmgtu$h90$1@nnrp2.crl.com>
Source record: 2027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

I have a UHF A5 Spectra and although the unit has 128 possible modes, apparently, you can only have 16 channels at a time defined in the scan list....major problem. If one sets up zones, then you can have 16 per zone, but only one zone can be scanned at a time.

Anyone know if the A7 and A9 models have the same limitations?

Mot. glossy spec sheets "forgot" to mention these limitations (an honest mistake????). No other radio I have ever used has such limitations - especially when one pays the price they want for these units.

About ready to go back to my commercial Kenwood equipment...
--

The Doctor
DrRadio@NOSPAMhotmail.com
Remove the NOSPAM when replying

87. Kenwood TH-G71A TX Mods now online!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bb <bblackburn@puc.edu>
Message-ID: <34FF4D0D.62FF2F93@puc.edu>
Source record: 2028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

You can now find the TX mods for the Kenwood TH-G71A HT, On my site,
http://www.angelfire.com/ca/ke6gwf/ (Finally!)
Ben (KE6GWF)

P.S. I did the mods on mine, and everything seems to be working all right.
After mod TX capability.

136.000MHz- 173.995MHz, 400.000MHz- 469.995Mhz

RX
136.000MHz- 173.995MHz, 400.000MHz- 469.995Mh
320.000MHz- 399.99375Mhz, 800.000MHz- 823.9875Mhz
849.000MHz -868.9875Mhz 894.000MHz- 949.9875MHz

88. Re: Motorola Spectra Scan Ques. - Limitations

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Christensen <radiopro@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6doa2u$7jh$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 2029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

You have to remember that this is a _REAL_ WORLD_ 2_way_ radio, not a scanner as such! If you are a working radio user, be it public safety-taxi driver-lineman-delivery driver- et al.... can you do your primary job AND monitor more than 16 separate channels at the same time? I can't! Now if my job is to LISTEN to the radio, I can do maybe 25-50 depending on what the normal traffic is per channel, but I cannot do that well with ONE radio..... there will ALWAYS be a situation that requires "locking" on one channel or more for a reason... and there is NO scan priority system that can ever be dynamic enough to deal with that...!

Sure, maybe the Kenwood can have a bigger scanlist... but see which one you will find in the majority of fire apparatii and patrol cruisers....

--
Keith Christensen .... radiopro@BAMBIgte.net [309093]
(Kill ..(delete..<g>) BAMBI for email.... the spammers are wise to NOSPAM!)

A: Hi Tech is a Pain in the)(!
B: I deal with Fast Electrons,
call a lineman for problems with the slow ones!

Dr. Radio wrote in message <6dmgtu$h90$1@nnrp2.crl.com>...
|I have a UHF A5 Spectra and although the unit has 128 possible modes,
|apparently, you can only have 16 channels at a time defined in the scan
|list....major problem. If one sets up zones, then you can have 16 per
|zone, but only one zone can be scanned at a time.
|
|Anyone know if the A7 and A9 models have the same limitations?
|
|Mot. glossy spec sheets "forgot" to mention these limitations (an honest
|mistake????). No other radio I have ever used has such limitations -
|especially when one pays the price they want for these units.
|
|About ready to go back to my commercial Kenwood equipment...
|--
|_____________________________
|The Doctor
|DrRadio@NOSPAMhotmail.com
|Remove the NOSPAM when replying
|
|

89. Re: Motorola Spectra Scan Ques. - Limitations

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lidstrom <jlidstrom@accessone.com>
Message-ID: <350067A9.6EA84F1D@accessone.com>
Source record: 2030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

Spectra radios allow several different types of scanning.First, of course, each frequency needs
to be loaded into a mode location. You then have the following options: operator or mode slaved
scanning. If you set up for operator scanning, you only get sixteen scanable frequencies. If you
set up for mode slaved scanning, EACH MODE gets a different group of 16 scannable frequencies.
Ditto for zones. The A7 and A9 seem to use the same firmware, and vary in power, features,
and control head. Unless you are doing your own programming, which requires a RadioInterfaceBox,
the Spectra.com program from motorola, etc.., using this wonderfully complex two-way as a scanner
is.....well, it's not very....appropriate. Buy a scanner.
sorry. John

Dr. Radio wrote:

> I have a UHF A5 Spectra and although the unit has 128 possible modes,
> apparently, you can only have 16 channels at a time defined in the scan
> list....major problem. If one sets up zones, then you can have 16 per
> zone, but only one zone can be scanned at a time.
>
> Anyone know if the A7 and A9 models have the same limitations?
>
> Mot. glossy spec sheets "forgot" to mention these limitations (an honest
> mistake????). No other radio I have ever used has such limitations -
> especially when one pays the price they want for these units.
>
> About ready to go back to my commercial Kenwood equipment...
> --
> _____________________________
> The Doctor
> DrRadio@NOSPAMhotmail.com
> Remove the NOSPAM when replying

90. FORSALE/TRADE TS-570D

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6e412q$1o3$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2031
Cross-posted to:
alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Thank to those that have already replied. I have now lowered the price of the NEW TS-570D. I will sell the TS-570D for $1,085.00 + Shipping OR will TRADE for a ICOM IC-756 plus some $$ too boot. If you are interested email me at kc4oxp@flash.net The TS-570D is NEW as of March 07, 1998 FULL ONE YEAR WARRANTY!

73 Daniel KC4OXP

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!

91. MOTO AP50???

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: TINY2NZ <tiny2nz@mailhost.nospamjorsm.com>
Message-ID: <3508435F.4620AE4D@mailhost.nospamjorsm.com>
Source record: 2032
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Has anybody out there ever heard of a Motorola Radius AP 50 portable???
Is it any good??? any info could help
thanks
Bryan W9ZRO
tiny2nz@jorsm.com

92. FORSALE/TRADE TS-570D

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6ea618$bq7$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2033
Cross-posted to:
alabama.birmingham.general,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for reading my message.

Here is what I have -

Kenwood TS-570D *NEW* Bought March 07, 1998 No extra's just a wonderful radio!

ICOM IC-T21A, 2 meter ht, 440 RECEIVE, Excellent condition, manual, wall wart charger, complete history documented on the radio including original bill of sale.

Alinco DR-110 2 meter mobile, extended receive/transmit, even receives 300mhz and 800mhz (cellular included!) Includes mounting bracket, manual.

What I am looking to TRADE FOR, WILL CONSIDER adding a few $$ to trade.

ICOM IC-756
Kenwood TS-870S

Will of course consider CASH OFFERS, all items are in excellent condition.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
email kc4oxp@flash.net

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!

93. Re: MOTO AP50???

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael May <r39031@email.sps.mot.com>
Message-ID: <350911D2.6C8994B3@email.sps.mot.com>
Source record: 2034
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Yes it is a fully programmable from the keypad version, IE just like your ham ht, unfortunately the radio can only be set for one CTCSS tone at a time IE it is not programmable by memory, although you can program any of the usual CTCSS tones the radio does not remember the tone by memory channels it only remembers a global tone that applies to all aspects of the radio IE it must be changed by the user if you use different tones for different freq's. The CP50 however is fully programmable from the keypad and this includes the CTCSS tones IE you have the same capability as your standard ham HT.

Mike May
WB8VLC

94. Quick Sale Needed IC-T21A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6egrpfssk1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 2035
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
Excellent Condition - 2 meter ht - 440 Receive ONLY - extended
receive/transmit, comes with manual, wall charger, and all paperwork even
ORIGINAL bill of sale.

Quick sale price $150 PLUS shipping

email KC4OXP@FLASH.NET
73 Daniel KC4OXP

--
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP
$13.95/Month* Internet Service
Independent FlashNet Distributor
http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp/index.html
*One Year PrePaid @ $167.40 Other Plans Available!
```

95. New! Press Release - Repeater Location Guide

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: World Express Network <kamikazi@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <350CD742.8880EA01@geocities.com>
Source record: 2036
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hello,

Thanks for reading our "Press Release". We are excited to
announce the Release of "The Original Southern California Repeater
Location Guide". This exciting and most up to date guide available is
now on the Net. With the most complete listings of all Open and Closed
repeaters for 2-meters, 220 MHz, 440 MHz, 1.2 GHz, 6 & 10 Meters in
Southern California, you will see why this guide is one of the most
sought after directory available. Find out more at...

http://www.rotd.com/worldxn

Jennifer R
Vice President - World Express Network
http://www.rotd.com/worldxn

96. Ham Radio Directories - Press Release!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: World Express Network <kamikazi@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <350F356C.D9EA1138@geocities.com>
Source record: 2037
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hello,

Thanks for responding to our Press Release. We our excited to
announce the all new 1998 line of Ham Radio Repeater Directories. They
can be found at...

http://www.rotd.com/worldxn/

These exciting directories are great for Amateurs on the
move, or those who would like to take advantage of the many Repeaters in
their Area. We encourage you to visit our site and would appreciate any
feedback you offer.

Jennifer R
World Express Network
http://www.rotd.com/worldxn/

97. FS: Icom Mint Dual Band IC-2350H

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <01bd52d5$a8212ca0$23d471d1@francer>
Source record: 2038
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I am forced to sell my ICOM IC2350H Dual Band rig. Never Mobile and purchased less than a year ago. Included are Multi Purpose Remote Mike, Mobile Bracket, Manual, Original Box and warranty. $325 NON-Smoker. Shipped con. US.

Charlie W1CMF

98. Yaesu FT-980

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <just4fun@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <6euhprjbg1@quasar.dimensional.com>
Source record: 2039
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Greetings all, I recently acquired an FT-980 CAT xcvr. I was wondering if anyone out there knows which (if any) of the available software will work with the Yaesu 232 (FIF232 ??) interface to control a 980. I know it all controls the 1000 series the 990 and assorted other Yaesu's, but none mention the FT-980.
Any info is greatly appreciated, and replys can be sent to me here or preferably by email (tmedlin@dimensional.com).
Thanks in advance and 73,
Tim KB0OGD

99. Re: Is $1 or one IRC enough for QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: DavidC <davidc@bit-net.com>
Message-ID: <01bd5482$13cc9c20$5f8a92d0@davidc.bit-net.com>
Source record: 2040
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The advice I have always received is to get the right postage, dollars are
too valuable elsewhere and thus highly likely to be stolen.

> Is it still OK to send one dollar or one IRC for a QSL card or has the
> rate gone up? Is it better to buy the foreign stamps and affix them
> to the return SAE? Thanks, John - N2HNT <caylor@concentric.net>

100. Re: Is $1 or one IRC enough for QSL?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Jacobson <n6ng@sj.bigger.net>
Message-ID: <35140847.6F18@sj.bigger.net>
Source record: 2041
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx

My plan depends on how bad I want the card.

1. Desperatly.... Send stamps of the country on the envelope
2. Need it but not badly... Send 1 IRC with SAE
3. Want to take the chance I'll never hear from them again. $1 cash
4. All else via bureau.

Don't use 1 and 3 often and seem to get pretty good returns with item 2.

Good luck... Remember, the anticipation of receiving the card is the
best part of QSLing... (At least that is what they say).

Dennis N6NG

--

73... Dennis N6NG INTERNET: n6ng@sj.bigger.net
Retired: Just another road kill on the Information Highway.

101. FS/FT:Ten-Tec Omni A/accys/$400

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6f4hkh$2bs$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 2042
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi all,

I have for a friend the following radios:

You may email me or call him at (304) 855-4678.

Ten-Tec Omni A HF rig with matching external VFO, Vintage hand mic :) and operators manual for each item. Radio is solid state with analog dial (NO TUBES!!) Sent to Factory in 1990 for a checkup and tune-up (have documentation on that) Radio was purchased new in 1979 and has worked like a champ. 160-10 meters, 3 cw filters, ssb filter, full break-in QSK. VFO allows rx of 2 freqs. simultaneously, tx/rx from radio or vfo or vice/versa. Also in vfo are 4 crystal slots for fixed channels. runs 100 watts on 12vdc using 16amps for full output! Radio is a 9 out of 10..
PRICE IS $400 SHIPPING INCLUDED.
Will trade for the following:
Prefer solid state radio!!
Yaesu 757GX
Kenwood TS820/TS830/TS530, or similar. (looking for something with DIGITAL DISPLAY, IF SHIFT and NOISE BLANKER) with vfo or speaker or whatever... let me know what u have (excellent condition, please!!)
thanks,
Randy

102. FS/FT: Icom IC-W21AT dualband HT $125

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6f4iql$2ts$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 2043
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi again,
Have for sale for a friend the following radio:

IC-W21AT handheld dualbander. Includes speaker/mic, veh. power cord, hi and low cap. batteries. HAS INTERMITTENT TX AUDIO PROBLEM.. it transmits fine, but no audio sometimes. Audio cuts out with no rhyme or reason, but we suspect the speaker/mic jack as the culprit. this is the radio with the 'telephone' whisper mode so u can hold radio like handheld cellular phone. it has a mic in the radio and another mic in the battery pack for this purpose. the radio works great with dual in-band rx, cross band retransmit and rx, lots-o-features for Mr. Fix-it. PRICE IS $125 INCLUDING SHIPPING

Will also use this as 'boot' for the other radio trade (see ten tec ad)
will also trade for a 1kw (rated at more than 600 watts) antenna tuner, prefer roller inductor tuner like a MFJ tuner or a Dentron Supertuner.
Wish list: prefer Drake Model MN-2700 tuner.
please email me or call Slade Sowers at (304) 855-4678
thanks,
Randy

103. FS/FT: KENWOOD TS520 HF $200

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6feclb$192$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 2044
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have a TS520 hf rig I would like to sell or trade for a good HT... would
like an older dual-band, but will take newer 2m. An MT1000 would be perfect
as well (VHF, complete).
the 520 works great, face in good cond., case fair (cosmetic, no dents)
Will sell outright for $200.
email for details or phone number!
thanks,
Randy

104. Re: multiple radio transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <351AEE94.36ED@netdoor.com>
Source record: 2045
Cross-posted to: rec.models.rc.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Radio controll airplanes and cars and boats don't seem to have any problems with interference, but I bet the modelors get their heads together BEFORE the contest to make sure of sufficient distance from each other frequency wise. I bet one of them will probably help you out right here.

Butch KF5DE

105. Modulation makes a difference

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <umina@ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <6ff3c0fos1@strato.ultra.net>
Source record: 2046
Cross-posted to: rec.models.rc.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Peter,

What will the transmitters be sending and how much power? If the control parameters permit you may want to try some kind of packet transmission or sequencing. Running the transmitters at the same time will work as long as the receivers are able to discriminate between the signals.

For example if the bandwidth is small - pulses (on off) at a low data rate it would be quite easy to fit 4 transmitters into that band. On the other hand if it were video you would have a problem.

/Len

106. Re: multiple radio transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Cason <br549@hom.net>
Message-ID: <351B2EEC.88F95FCA@hom.net>
Source record: 2047
Cross-posted to: rec.models.rc.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Butch Magee wrote:
>
> Radio controll airplanes and cars and boats don't seem to have any
> problems with interference, but I bet the modelors get their heads
> together BEFORE the contest to make sure of sufficient distance from
> each other frequency wise. I bet one of them will probably help you out
> right here.

RC stuff usually only operates on one frequency but modulates the
frequency to tell the plane to move the rudder or ailerons or to tell
the car to turn left or right.

--
rgds,
Scott
WPGA AM/FM/TV

"You can't teach a gay dog straight tricks"

- Chef

107. multiple radio transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Peter H. Gregson" <gregson@tuns.ca>
Message-ID: <351B3C70.10BE171D@tuns.ca>
Source record: 2048
Cross-posted to: rec.models.rc.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Has anyone tried operating 4 radio transmitters in close proximity to one another? I need to do this for a robot contest, and wish to select the frequencies to avoid interference. There will be 4 robots operating simultaneously, each on a separate frequency. The transmitters must all be in the range of 75 MHz to 76 MHz (surface model control band).

Please reply by e-mail to peter.gregson@dal.ca.

Thanks in advance,

Peter Gregson

108. Re: multiple radio transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stauffer <stauffer@htc.honeywell.com>
Message-ID: <351BB9D1.26D8@htc.honeywell.com>
Source record: 2049
Cross-posted to: rec.models.rc.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Butch Magee wrote:
>
> Radio controll airplanes and cars and boats don't seem to have any
> problems with interference, but I bet the modelors get their heads
> together BEFORE the contest to make sure of sufficient distance from
> each other frequency wise. I bet one of them will probably help you out
> right here.
>
> Butch KF5DE

yes indeed. In fact even during non-contest casual flying the
transmitters are impounded, and only one transmitter on each frequency
is allowed out of the impound at a time.

--
Don Stauffer in Minneapolis
home web site- http://home1.gte.net/stauffer/
home email- stauffer@gte.net
work email- stauffer@htc.honeywell.com

109. Re: FS/FT: KENWOOD TS520 HF $200

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Huff <dhuff@popmail1.vcd.hp.com>
Message-ID: <351C085B.78E4@popmail1.vcd.hp.com>
Source record: 2050
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

The Crew wrote:
>
> I have a TS520 hf rig I would like to sell or trade for a good HT... would
> like an older dual-band, but will take newer 2m. An MT1000 would be perfect
> as well (VHF, complete).
> the 520 works great, face in good cond., case fair (cosmetic, no dents)
> Will sell outright for $200.
> email for details or phone number!
> thanks,
> Randy

Randy OM,

That is an EXCELLENT price on your TS520. Those rigs are well built
and can last a lifetime. Perfect rig for anyone to get started on HF.

73,
Don, W6JL

110. 2m/200 mobiles

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5bcu@qsl.net
Message-ID: <6fhh1athp1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 2051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

I am looking for info on a 2m/220 mobile radio. So far the only ones I have found anything on are the TM-631A and TM-642A (both from Kenwood). Are there any others out there, and why aren't they more common?

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

111. fs Suoer Tuner by Dentron

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <01bd5bdb$74a0c2a0$4ed371d1@francer>
Source record: 2052
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Dentron Super Tuner (real nice condition!) Full Power, HF.
*Asking $125.Plus Shipping. REASONABLE
OFFERS WELCOMED! Contact: Charles Francer -- E-mail: w1cmf@amsat.org or
w1cmf@hotmail.com

112. FS: KLM 160W VHF RF Power Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-03-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbtech@geocities.com
Message-ID: <6forh7$t0t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 2053
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Amplifier is in fair condition,works great. Will take the first $100.00 for it. IF interested e-mail cbtech@geocities.com.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

113. Antenna problems...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Rea <gcs@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <3522BB38.9B565052@netacc.net>
Source record: 2054
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I'm a ham radio operator and a first responder for my local ambulance corps, and am trying to attach a couple of trunk-lip antennas to my 1995 Ford Taurus SE, but I'm having problems getting the cables from the trunk into the passenger cabin.

Does anyone know how I might snake the cables (about 1/4 inch in diameter) under the rear seat, or have any alternative routes? The seats are partial-leather, so they may be attached differently from all-cloth seats.

I'd like to keep the wires as close to the floor as possible, to keep things looking nice, but I'll go through the rear speaker hole and over the rear deck if I have to...I'd prefer an alternative...

--David Rea
KB2YQE
NYSCFR-IT

114. Re: Antenna problems...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darin <reidNOSPAM@whidbey.com>
Message-ID: <6fvke0$sp3$1@news.whidbey.com>
Source record: 2055
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Can't say that I have any experience with installing ant. on a Taurus, but I have installed a few hide-a-way strobes here & there.I have found that sometimes it's just plain easier and more aesthetic to just punch cables through the floorboard and run the cable up the frame, and then come up under the carpet where you want them. Sometimes you have to drill holes, but you would be surprised at how many plugs are already in place by the manufacturer. Use lotsa silicone at the holes if you don't have rubber grommets.

--
Darin
reidNOSPAM@whidbey.com
http://www.whidbey.net/reid
David Rea wrote in message <3522BB38.9B565052@netacc.net>...
>I'm a ham radio operator and a first responder for my local ambulance
>corps, and am trying to attach a couple of trunk-lip antennas to my 1995
>Ford Taurus SE, but I'm having problems getting the cables from the
>trunk into the passenger cabin.
>
>Does anyone know how I might snake the cables (about 1/4 inch in
>diameter) under the rear seat, or have any alternative routes? The seats
>are partial-leather, so they may be attached differently from all-cloth
>seats.
>
>I'd like to keep the wires as close to the floor as possible, to keep
>things looking nice, but I'll go through the rear speaker hole and over
>the rear deck if I have to...I'd prefer an alternative...
>
>--David Rea
>KB2YQE
>NYSCFR-IT
>
>

115. FS: 2 MC-50 mics $50

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6g0g5m$al8$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 2056
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Not sure if I posted this already, but... I have 2 MC-50 desk mics for sale at $50/both. One mic is in great condition, works great, other mic looks rough, base is great, works ok but has hum... inside base is evidence of many rewirings, and I believe the audio leads being reversed is the only problem. Please email if interested! Buyer ships COD/CONUS or prepay.
thanks,
Randy

116. Re: Antenna problems...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: CSC <chris.cahill@stpaul.gov>
Message-ID: <3523E1F0.B29CD8F4@stpaul.gov>
Source record: 2057
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

David Rea wrote:

> I'm a ham radio operator and a first responder for my local ambulance
> corps, and am trying to attach a couple of trunk-lip antennas to my
> 1995
> Ford Taurus SE, but I'm having problems getting the cables from the
> trunk into the passenger cabin.

I have run wire under the carpet and followed the edge around the molding by the doors. If there is a 'hump' in the middle you could follow it under the carpet. Once your get to the back I think you should be able to find enough room between the seat bottom and the side panel to get to the trunk. Most seat bottoms should come off if that helps. You might even be able to under the seat once it's removed. I've never had a Taurus so I don't know details.

Hope this helps,
Chris

117. FS:Motorola Headset/mic

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6g0tqrgss1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 2058
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Posted this in a prev. msg... but it was kinda lost in the questions..
Have Motorola headset/mic, behind the head for use with cap, etc, like the 'Nascar' guys use except black. Dynamic mic element. Could use earpads, these are a bit too mushy.. has top velcro adj. strap and coiled cord. Boom adjusts in/out, hinged halfway and mic pivots. works great! it's a 4pin plug now, but have the factory barrel plug (4contact) desoldered, not cut. It's model NMN6064A SP04 if u wud like to look it up at Motorola's website or elsewhere. List new: $500 Priced at $90/firm/uship Please email if interested!
thanks!
Randy

118. Re: Antenna problems...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Rowell <mrowell@ridgecrest.ca.us>
Message-ID: <35258ACF.99CA70D7@ridgecrest.ca.us>
Source record: 1971
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

David Rea wrote:

> I'm a ham radio operator and a first responder for my local ambulance
> corps, and am trying to attach a couple of trunk-lip antennas to my 1995
> Ford Taurus SE, but I'm having problems getting the cables from the
> trunk into the passenger cabin.
>
> Does anyone know how I might snake the cables (about 1/4 inch in
> diameter) under the rear seat, or have any alternative routes? The seats
> are partial-leather, so they may be attached differently from all-cloth
> seats.
>
> I'd like to keep the wires as close to the floor as possible, to keep
> things looking nice, but I'll go through the rear speaker hole and over
> the rear deck if I have to...I'd prefer an alternative...
>
> --David Rea
> KB2YQE
> NYSCFR-IT

I have done several mobile installations both for myself and for the Sheriff's Search and Rescue Group. In passanger vehicles, I prefer to run the antenna cable under the carpet to either side of the drive shaft hump. My second choice is to unscrew the kick plates on the door opening and use the channel that has always been present, no matter what the make or model car.

I avoid going through the floor boards (as suggested by another) because we often do creek crossing under adverse conditions (can you say very high water?) and regardless of the amount of silicon/rubber sealant, I have alway found that they leak eventually. You also have to deal with aforded your cable with proper chaif protection and you expose your antenna lead to foreign object damage from stuff kicked up off the road.

119. Re: Antenna coax routing in taurus

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kovar <kovar@ia.net>
Message-ID: <01bd5e94$440e4ac0$2a6b78c7@kovar.inav.net>
Source record: 2059
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Damn!

I hate to see people led down the wrong path!
Routing Antenna Coax is a simple procedure in almost any
car. THE COMMENT OF DRILLING A HOLE THREW THE FLOOR IS
UNCALLED FOR. I can not believe he said that.
Routing the coax under the rear seat is so simple and fast.
Routing: Crawl into trunk and pull the felt like liner away from vertical
part of rear seat. You will now see the lower corners of the seat have
room to
push many cables threw them.
From the back seat lift the corner of the horizontal bench seat.
Lift up any felt like material and reach upward for a routing path
determined
by trunk inspection.
I still can not believe he said that! Brake lines, gas lines, and the
possible
snagging of the feedline under the car are not what I consider correct
methods.

Hope I saved him in time,
Jack

--
Jack Kovar
k0var
Iowa

Darin <reidNOSPAM@whidbey.com> wrote in article
<6fvke0$sp3$1@news.whidbey.com>...
> Can't say that I have any experience with installing ant. on a Taurus,
but I
> have installed a few hide-a-way strobes here & there.I have found that
> sometimes it's just plain easier and more aesthetic to just punch cables
> through the floorboard and run the cable up the frame, and then come up
> under the carpet where you want them. Sometimes you have to drill holes,
> but you would be surprised at how many plugs are already in place by the
> manufacturer. Use lotsa silicone at the holes if you don't have rubber
> grommets.
>
> --
> Darin
> reidNOSPAM@whidbey.com
> http://www.whidbey.net/reid

> David Rea wrote in message <3522BB38.9B565052@netacc.net>...
> >I'm a ham radio operator and a first responder for my local ambulance
> >corps, and am trying to attach a couple of trunk-lip antennas to my 1995
> >Ford Taurus SE, but I'm having problems getting the cables from the
> >trunk into the passenger cabin.
> >
> >Does anyone know how I might snake the cables (about 1/4 inch in
> >diameter) under the rear seat, or have any alternative routes? The seats
> >are partial-leather, so they may be attached differently from all-cloth
> >seats.
> >
> >I'd like to keep the wires as close to the floor as possible, to keep
> >things looking nice, but I'll go through the rear speaker hole and over
> >the rear deck if I have to...I'd prefer an alternative...
> >
> >--David Rea
> >KB2YQE
> >NYSCFR-IT
> >
> >
>
>
>

120. Re: Antenna problems...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: brianntx@e-tex.com
Message-ID: <6g47v0$7ks@news.e-tex.com>
Source record: 1972
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Well, I install for a living and I have found that the best and easiest way is to come in at the driver side and usually you can pull the seat forward just enough to grab the coaxal, run it down the side of the seat, tucking it as you go, pull the pannels at the floor (most screw in some just clip) and run the cable under them careful not to screw into them when you replace the pannels,you will get to the frount seatbelt, the coax should be able to tuck under there also now you can either go from there under the seat and up the middle if you are using a console or you can go under the frount pannel just like you did in the back and run it under the carpet at the peddle.

121. Re: WTB: SONY radios 6800,CRF320,CRF1

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bell System <westernmobiletel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6g6vsn$aeu@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 1973
Cross-posted to: fj.rec.ham,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

=?iso-2022-jp?B?GyRCRiNAbiEhPjxJVxsoSg==?= wrote:
>
> Wanted buy: sony radio ICF-6800(A)(W)
> $B!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!(J sony$B!!!!!!!!!!!!(J CRF-1
> $B!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!(J sony$B!!!!!!!!!!!!(J CRF-320
>
> If you have information, please e-mail
> akio fujikawa in KYOTO,JAPAN
>
> e-mail: fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
> $B!!(J
> $B!!(J
>
> $B!!(J
> $B!!(J
> $B!!(J

HI O$B! I have a SONY 330-CFR 1. It is the same as the
320-CFR1 except it has a tape recorder on the bottom of the
radio. I have the covers and all of the manuals for it. I bought
it about 20 years ago. Where are you?
--
Very Best Regards, ARTHUR 73 KC6KUK ANAHEIM CA.
http://www.bellsystem.net
http://www.911radio.com
http://www.motorola8000.com

122. FS: Kenwood TM-255 and TM-455A All-Modes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kostas Kitsios <kostas1@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6g785r$n1b$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 1974
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale two Kenwood all-mode mobile transceivers. A TM-255 2 meter rig and a matching TM-455A 440 rig. Both rigs come with separation kits, mikes, mounting brackets, power cables, manuals, and original boxes. The TM-255 is a 55 watt rig, the TM-455A is a 45 watt rig. Both are in excellent physical and electrical condition, a 9+.

I am asking $500.00 for the TM-255 and $400.00 for the TM-455A. If you buy them both you can have them for $850.00 and I'll throw in the factory service manuals too.

Prices are US Dollars, and do not include shipping costs.

Thanks and 73,

Dino Kitsios, KF6ECO
(562) 421-7582 evenings
(310) 534-3548 days
kf6eco@amsat.org

123. WTB: SONY radios 6800,CRF320,CRF1

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: 藤川 昭夫 <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <3526C292.BF477213@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 1975
Cross-posted to: fj.rec.ham,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Wanted buy: sony radio ICF-6800(A)(W)
sony CRF-1
sony CRF-320

If you have information, please e-mail
akio fujikawa in KYOTO,JAPAN

e-mail: fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

124. LOOKING FOR THE LATEST HAM TEST ELEMENT 2 & 3A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: write2me@inside.net
Message-ID: <6gem9sdcf1@nnrp1.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 1976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,relcom.radio.ham,in.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,sbay.hams,slac.rec.ham_radio,su.org.ham-radio

LOOKING FOR THE LATEST HAM TEST

I'm looking for the latest HAM test for written Element 2
and written element 3A.

My friend wants to get his ham licence but the program I have
might be a little out dated. If someone could send a copy to me
I would appreciate it.

My email address is:

barretta@mci2000.com

Thanks

James
barretta@mci2000.com

125. AEA PK-232 MBX problems

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom O'Brion <n1zpc@maine.rr.com>
Message-ID: <01bd6337$c11df900$7e4bd2cc@hammer>
Source record: 1977
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,att.ham-radio,fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Was hoping maybe someone could give me some wordsof wisdom I am trying to hook up a PK-232 to a Kenwood TH-205AT 2M HT. Pretty straight forward stuff but I cannot seem to get it to transmit. I raceive great. But the radio just will not transmit. I have attach the URL that I am going by to setup the circuit. Any help on this would be much appreciated. I realize that the diagram shows a HTX-202 HT. But the radios are very simuliar and thought they would have the same hook up. I also have attach the link that I use for showing what the PK-232MBX. THANKS Again in advance for any help.

Radio Setup: http://prairie.lakes.com/~medcalf/ztx/wire/y727.html
PK-232 setup: http://prairie.lakes.com/~medcalf/ztx/wire/aea.html

73's

Tom - N1ZPC

126. Re: 6 metre Kenwood TS 60

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: berlin@solaris1.mysolution.com
Message-ID: <352cb886.1137300@207.87.184.6>
Source record: 1978
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.radio.internet,hamilton.buysell,phl.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Would you be interested in a trade?

On Wed, 1 Apr 1998 21:45:11 -0500, "mirage" <mirage@eclipse.net>
wrote:

>TS 60 100 watts
>bracket,mike,pw cord, box,book
>$675
>Doug
>
>
>

127. FS IC-706 & TUNER

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael J. Smith" <mjs@now-online.com>
Message-ID: <csgX.44$56.609010@reader1.cleveland.iagnet.net>
Source record: 1979
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale a IC-706 and AT-180. Includes items below:

IC-706 MKI
FL-101 CW filter 250Hz
OPC-581 11.5' separation cable
MB-63 Mounting bracket for control panel
Icom service manual (this is from icom)
All boxes and manuals

AT-180 (auto tuner HF+6M)

This radio was only mobile once for a road trip. Was used as a base by a non-smoker. I would like $1150.00 for everything. I will pay shipping lower 48 states. For another $150.00 I will include a Timewave DSP 59+ (latest software). Unless it is sold first. I can be reached by E-mail or my phone number is 216-741-4717.

I will think about spliting up the 706 and the tuner.
Mike

mjs@now-online.com

128. FS IC-706

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael J. Smith" <mjs@now-online.com>
Message-ID: <TaBX.30$mo5.489565@reader1.cleveland.iagnet.net>
Source record: 1980
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale a IC-706. Includes items below:

IC-706 MKI
FL-100 CW filter 500Hz
OPC-581 11.5' separation cable
MB-63 Mounting bracket for control panel
Icom service manual (this is from icom)
All boxes and manuals

This radio was only mobile once for a road trip. Was used as a base by a non-smoker. I would like $825.00 for everything. I will pay shipping lower 48 states. I can be reached by E-mail or my phone number is 216-741-4717.

Mike

mjs@now-online.com

129. Kenwood TS 60 6 meters

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mirage <mirage@eclipse.net>
Message-ID: <6gnou7$1qe$1@news.eclipse.net>
Source record: 1981
Cross-posted to:
alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

```
Kenwood TS 60
100 watts
am/fm/usb/lsb
rx 40-60mhz
tx 49-54 mhz.
selectable power
pl encode
Dual VFO
split button
menu system.
So many features.
radio is in mint condition w/bracket/mike/pwr cord/box/book
$625 obo
Doug
```

130. vfh, uhf and Microwave Items on ebay for sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6gns2h$c56$1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1982
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,fido.su.hamradio,fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

903 amplifier
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=10700120

dow key relays
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=10698034

903 preamp
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=10698952

1296 preamp
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=10699323

50watt dummy load
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=10702121

75 watt dummy load
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=10702461

For pictures and bidding go to the url listed above.
Thank you for reading.

131. Re: FS IC-706 & TUNER

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hobbes <hobbestg@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <352FD691.7083@voicenet.com>
Source record: 1983
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Michael J. Smith wrote:
>
> I have for sale a IC-706 and AT-180. Includes items below:
>
> IC-706 MKI
> FL-101 CW filter 250Hz
> OPC-581 11.5' separation cable
> MB-63 Mounting bracket for control panel
> Icom service manual (this is from icom)
> All boxes and manuals
>
> AT-180 (auto tuner HF+6M)
>
> This radio was only mobile once for a road trip. Was used as a base by a
> non-smoker. I would like $1150.00 for everything. I will pay shipping
> lower 48 states. For another $150.00 I will include a Timewave DSP 59+
> (latest software). Unless it is sold first. I can be reached by E-mail or
> my phone number is 216-741-4717.
>
> I will think about spliting up the 706 and the tuner.
> Mike
>
> mjs@now-online.com
Mike,

I'm interested in your AT-180 tuner if you split up the radio.
Please advise as to price. Thanks and 73 Ed K2SNK

132. WIARC Auction & Fleamarket / Encan et March&#65533; aux Puces

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: WIARC <ve2cwi@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <352FE0B3.D853EBBE@rac.ca>
Source record: 1984
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap

WEST-ISLAND AMATEUR RADIO CLUB AUCTION AND FLEA MARKET

WHEN: Saturday May 9th, 1998
Opens 7h30 for vendors
General admission at 8h30
Auction at 9h30
WHERE: Ste-Suzanne Church
9501 Gouin Blvd West, Pierrefonds
ADMISSION: $5, free if under 12 years
TABLES: $15, includes one entry, for reservations
E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca

There will be radio related door prizes.
There will be a raffle of a Kenwood TH-235A from Atlantic Ham Radio

For information:
E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca
or visit the club website at: http://www.pubnix.net/wiarc

Talk-in starts at 7h00 on VE2RWI 146.910- and 443.050+
Food and drinks available on the premises

Auction details:
Items sold for less than $2, 100% of sale to WIARC
Items sold between $2 and less than $5, 50% to WIARC
Items $5 and over, 10% to WIARC

Come and join us for the fun!

WEST-ISLAND AMATEUR RADIO CLUB ENCAN ET MARCHï¿½ AUX PUCES

QUAND: Samedi le 9 mai 1998
Ouvert ï¿½ 7h30 pour les vendeurs
Admission gï¿½nï¿½rale ï¿½ 8h30
Encan ï¿½ 9h30
Oï¿½: ï¿½glise Ste-Suzanne
9501 Boul Gouin O., Pierrefonds
ADMISSION: $5, gratuit pour les moins de 12 ans
TABLES: $15, pour rï¿½servations E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca

Il y aura des prix de prï¿½sence reliï¿½s ï¿½ la radio.
Il y aura tirage d'un portatif Kenwood TH-235A de Atlantic Ham Radio

Pour information contactez:
E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca
Ou visitez le page web du club ï¿½ http://www.pubnix.net/wiarc

Auto-guidage commenï¿½ant ï¿½ 7h00 sur VE2RWI 146.910- et 443.050+
Nourriture et breuvages disponible sur le site

Dï¿½tails de l'encan:
Items vendus pour moins de $2, 100% remis au WIARC
Items vendus entre $2 et moins que $5, 50% remis au WIARC
Items vendus $5 et plus, 10% remis au WIARC

Venez vous amuser!

133. ATTN: KE2LO-Mezgie

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: nogateslapdog@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <nogateslapdog-1204980450290001@modem1-28.mo-net.com>
Source record: 1985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,

Hey Mezgie

That was quite a bar room brawl on 3950 tonight, no??? The Field Mice got their tails caught in the mouse trap.

Now it's 4.30 AM and guess who shows up on 3950????

None other than W5VVI, playing suck-butt with Side Car Sidney and Knothead Don Smith. Lying as he usually does, he's claiming he missed everything on the radio tonight. Says he just got up, and doesn't tape anything on 3950 anymore.

Hey, if you believe that, there's a replica of the Brooklyn Bridge right out here in Southwest Missouri you can buy real cheap.

Norm just finished blaming me for the current state of affairs on 3950, that I started it all. Everything was fine and dandy on 3950 until I showed up and caused all the trouble. Never mind I've bee off the air for nearly 2 years, I'M STILL RESPONSIBLE.

What a bunch of crap. Well, if hams are bored with what they're doing on their usual frequency, they can alwasy tune 3950kc's, 10PM Eastern time every Saturday night for hysterical entertainment, nonstop jamming, nonstop finger-pointing, and limitless foolishness from the Blowhard of New Mexico, K5BLB.

I didn't get around to my post for Kevin Alfred. No big deal. He's ok, but he's just got too fat a head is all. A little dash of humility would go a long way. If he helps tie Sidney's tail in a knot, then that's good. Do you remember when Vic and the Girls used to play footsie with Dave and Norm?? They thought they could appease the Devil but, as MUR said, ""IT JUST DOESN'T WORK THAT WAY"".(got that on tape, by the way). Gee, who used to say that all the time """I GOT IT ON TAPE"". Right you are, none other than W5VVI (vivacious vindictive idiot), colleague of WA9KJI, King of the Jammers. Yessiree, W5VVI, now on 3950 as I write this, bitching about jammers, whereas for ten years, he has defended the worst jammer throughout the entire history of ham radio, WA9KJI. Sort of sounds like the pot calling the kettle black, no??? Yessiree, WA9KJI, who has jammed 3950, 7250 and who knows what other frequencies with his tape collection, probably a lot of it made by none other than W5VVI. And they want to bitch about jammers, that everyone that disagrees with them are Nazis. N5OUG says tonight that you're all Nazis. You're not supposed to criticize the government for running us into a 4 trillion dollar debt, causing numerous wars, etc. I guess the public should just be happy for all this crap and

enjoy it. If you complain about anything, YOU'RE A NAZI.

If you recall, I tried to explain a few things to you back in 95 or 94 about W5VVI. If you think this guy is benign, think again. What's going on right now proves otherwise.

ah yes, isn't the world interesting?

Catch ya later mezgie dog.

NXOT
Missouri

134. FS Amplifier Helical Receive 2Mtr Radio Shack - NEW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <6gqfqe$19$1@usenet52.supernews.com>
Source record: 1986
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hello All:

Thankyou for reading this item. I am asking for $115.00 plus a few dollars for shipping and insurance depending upon shiping mode and location.

I am selling a new Radio Shack Power Amplifier. Perfect for mobile and stationary use. Catl. No. 19-1122. Some Features:

o 30 watts output
o Low Noise GaAs Field Effect Transister (FET) pre-amp
o Accepts 0.5-5 watts input
o Double Tuned Helical Band Pass Filter cuts intermodulation distortion - This is a must
o 13.8 Volts Direct Current

Originally, I purchased 2 amplifiers for 2 cars and only used one. This one is brand new, Never Used.

135. WTB Icom IC-207H Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <6gqhj4$5um$1@usenet53.supernews.com>
Source record: 1987
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hello To All:

I am willing to purchase or trade for IC-207H accessories. Please send
details to:

e-mail to: W1CMF@amsat.org.

Thanyyou....

136. Re: FS Amplifier Helical Receive 2Mtr Radio Shack - NEW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <6gqhf4$7qb$1@usenet51.supernews.com>
Source record: 1988
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
If you have items to trade please be sure to post them here or kindly send
e-mail to: francer@gis.net

Charles Francer, W1CMF
Charles Francer wrote in message <6gqfqe$19$1@usenet52.supernews.com>...
>Hello All:
>
>Thankyou for reading this item. I am asking for $115.00 plus a few dollars
>for shipping and insurance depending upon shiping mode and location.
>
>I am selling a new Radio Shack Power Amplifier. Perfect for mobile and
>stationary use. Catl. No. 19-1122. Some Features:
>
>o 30 watts output
>o Low Noise GaAs Field Effect Transister (FET) pre-amp
>o Accepts 0.5-5 watts input
>o Double Tuned Helical Band Pass Filter cuts intermodulation
> distortion - This is a must
>o 13.8 Volts Direct Current
>
>Originally, I purchased 2 amplifiers for 2 cars and only used one. This one
>is brand new, Never Used.
>
>
>
```

137. Re: FS Amplifier Helical Receive 2Mtr Radio Shack - NEW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <3538cd7a.61441799@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1989
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 12 Apr 1998 09:32:34 -0400, "Charles Francer"
<francer@gis.net> wrote:

>Hello All:
>
>Thankyou for reading this item. I am asking for $115.00 plus a few dollars
>for shipping and insurance depending upon shiping mode and location.
>
>I am selling a new Radio Shack Power Amplifier. Perfect for mobile and
>stationary use. Catl. No. 19-1122. Some Features:
>
>o 30 watts output
>o Low Noise GaAs Field Effect Transister (FET) pre-amp
>o Accepts 0.5-5 watts input
>o Double Tuned Helical Band Pass Filter cuts intermodulation
> distortion - This is a must
>o 13.8 Volts Direct Current
>
>Originally, I purchased 2 amplifiers for 2 cars and only used one. This one
>is brand new, Never Used.
>
>
>
they are on sale here for 69.99

138. For Sale: MPAC-----UHF Repeater by Aerotronp + manuals, Amplifier, Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6gr1qdimf1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1990
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,fido.su.hamradio,fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale

MPAC-----UHF Repeater by Aerotron

This commercial repeater has been retuned to 444.350 transmit 449.350 receive with preamp. Transmitter is 40 watts out, this repeater has been in service in Hatfield, Arkansas for the past 5 years. The repeater has its own power supply 110volt also has CTCSS multiple tone boards. Repeater can be used in PL mode or non PL mode. Also has a complete set of manuals. Repeater does not include duplexers.

I am asking $800.00 for the repeater and I have a 90 watt amplifier that I will sell for $100.00 with the repeater.

I also have a DB 440 8 bay repeater antenna which I am asking $150.00 for with or without the repeater.

Will sell the total package for $975.00.

I will be at the Little Rock, Ar hamfest on 4-24 and 4-25 and will deliver there or you pick up in Hatfield, Ar.
Or call me at 870-389-6516
Thank you George N0EOQ

139. Re: FS Amplifier Helical Receive 2Mtr Radio Shack - NEW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: todd <tboyer@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3531576B.2FAF@erols.com>
Source record: 1991
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

kd5amz@flash.net wrote:
>
> On Sun, 12 Apr 1998 09:32:34 -0400, "Charles Francer"
> <francer@gis.net> wrote:
>
> >Hello All:
> >
> >Thankyou for reading this item. I am asking for $115.00 plus a few dollars
> >for shipping and insurance depending upon shiping mode and location.
> >
> >I am selling a new Radio Shack Power Amplifier. Perfect for mobile and
> >stationary use. Catl. No. 19-1122. Some Features:
> >
> >o 30 watts output
> >o Low Noise GaAs Field Effect Transister (FET) pre-amp
> >o Accepts 0.5-5 watts input
> >o Double Tuned Helical Band Pass Filter cuts intermodulation
> > distortion - This is a must
> >o 13.8 Volts Direct Current
> >
> >Originally, I purchased 2 amplifiers for 2 cars and only used one. This one
> >is brand new, Never Used.
> >
> >
> >
> they are on sale here for 69.99
$49.99 in Pennsylvania

140. Taurus Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Rea <gcs@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <353160DD.6EAA3FE9@netacc.net>
Source record: 1992
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.autos.misc,rec.autos.tech,rec.autos

Recently, I posted a message requesting help in routing antenna cables for Ham and Public Safety radios in my '95 Ford Taurus. The results were great, and my thanks go out to everyone who helped me break the "backseat barrier".

Now, I have a slightly more cosmetic problem...I don't want to do any damage to the finish on the trunk lid of the car. I'm using trunk-lip antenna mounts, and the kind I'll get probably have plastic or rubber feet. Is there any way to prevent the buildup of water (and the resulting rust) in these mounts?

Over this past summer, I was priveliged enough to run my 4 mag mount antennas (and their respective radios) on the roof of a Mazda SE-5. Placing squares of moslum cloth between the magnet of each antenna and the roof seemed to work fairly well, but it still left some wierd, dull-looking marks. I thought that maybe a heavy coat of wax or 3M glaze might work better in this situation.

This car will be with me for a while, and I'd like to keep it looking mint. Thanks for your help! Also, if you know any really good trunk lip mount or mobile antenna manufacturers out there, let me know. I also wouldn't mind knowing who to stay away from... thanks again!

--

To reply to this message, be sure to remove "---nospam" from the reply-to address. This is insterted to prevent automated mail-spammer "bots" from getting my address and adding me to bulk e-mail lists. Thank you!!!

141. HF Amp For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <starman@thenetcat.com>
Message-ID: <3531FD38.7A73@thenetcat.com>
Source record: 1993
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

```
Swan 1200W HF amp for sale.
MINT condition.
110vac operation
80,40,20,15 and 10 meters

$500

Techtronics 7313 Osciliscope for sale.
Working condition with probes.

$200

e-mail starman@thenetcat.com

--
"Understanding is a three edged sword,
your side, their side, and the truth."
- John Sheridan, Babylon 5
```

142. Re: WIARC Auction & Fleamarket / Encan et March&#65533; aux Puces

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: WIARC <ve2cwi@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <35323BBE.C4C58A04@rac.ca>
Source record: 1994
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for your reply.

The post was a copy of an email sent to various WIARC club members, as such the general location needed not be specified, however this will be corrected in future postings

As for the date, there were no hamfests scheduled during that weekend when WIARC picked its date. It was only later that we were informed that the Hosstraders were having their hamfest in NH that same weekend. Nevertheless it is fairly distant from the Montreal area and takes place during 2 days so we hope this will not greatly affect the number of hams coming to our hamfest.

We're glad you are enjoying the return of the auction to the WIARC fleamarket, and hope that if this auction is successful and gains enough support that it will remain a part of fleamarkets for years to come.

WIARC

Michael Crestohl wrote:

> Hello:
>
> You might want to mention that the WIARC auction is in the Montreal Quebec
> area otherwise people won't know if it's close to them. Also your choice
> of the date was poor - its the same day as the Hosstraders in Rochester NH
> which draws many of the more serious flea market players from Quebec.
>
> Anyways, glad to see that the auction is back. For years I never missed
> one of the West Island club's auctions and many of the fine items in my
> shack were obtained there.
>
> 73,
>
> Michael Crestohl, W1RC/VE2XZ
> mc@shore.net

143. Re: FS IC-706

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Love <vk4xd@quasar.apana.org.au>
Message-ID: <6gsrmb$i5q$1@quasar.apana.org.au>
Source record: 1995
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

In aus.radio.amateur.misc Michael J. Smith <mjs@now-online.com> wrote:
> I have for sale a IC-706. Includes items below:

> IC-706 MKI
> FL-100 CW filter 500Hz
> OPC-581 11.5' separation cable
> MB-63 Mounting bracket for control panel
> Icom service manual (this is from icom)
> All boxes and manuals

> This radio was only mobile once for a road trip. Was used as a base by a
> non-smoker. I would like $825.00 for everything. I will pay shipping
> lower 48 states. I can be reached by E-mail or my phone number is
> 216-741-4717.

> Mike

> mjs@now-online.com

Why do yanks keep cross-posting advertisements in aus.amateur.groups ??

--
O-------- Paul-D.-Love --O
O-------- Email-pdlove@quasar.apana.org.au----------------------O
O-------- Email-vk4xd@quasar.apana.org.au----------------------O
O-------- AMATEUR-RADIO-OPERATOR--VK4XD---ICQ-8869291-----------O

144. Re: HF Amp For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: law@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3532AA26.26F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 1996
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

JB wrote:
>
> Swan 1200W HF amp for sale.
> MINT condition.
> 110vac operation
> 80,40,20,15 and 10 meters
>
> $500
>
> Techtronics 7313 Osciliscope for sale.
> Working condition with probes.
>
> $200
>
> e-mail starman@thenetcat.com
>
> --
> "Understanding is a three edged sword,
> your side, their side, and the truth."
> - John Sheridan, Babylon 5

You are full of shit you have a $200 at the most amp

145. Re: HF Amp For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JDM <jmul@iland.net>
Message-ID: <3532AEAD.1FB1@iland.net>
Source record: 1997
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

To a ham that is too much, but there are plenty of CB'ers that will gladly pay that for an amp that will match their Siltronix (Swan) 1011's. You probably didn't think of that before you opened your trap did you?

146. FS 2 MTR AMP -- Correction

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Francer <francer@gis.net>
Message-ID: <6gu8of$hj0$1@usenet48.supernews.com>
Source record: 1998
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
Hello All:
>> >
>> >Thankyou for reading this item. I am asking for $40.00 plus a few
dollars
>> >for shipping and insurance depending upon shiping mode and location.
>> >
>> >I am selling a new Radio Shack Power Amplifier. Perfect for mobile and
>> >stationary use. Catl. No. 19-1122. Some Features:
>> >
>> >o 30 watts output
>> >o Low Noise GaAs Field Effect Transister (FET) pre-amp
>> >o Accepts 0.5-5 watts input
>> >o Double Tuned Helical Band Pass Filter cuts intermodulation
>> > distortion - This is a must
>> >o 13.8 Volts Direct Current
>> >
>> >Originally, I purchased 2 amplifiers for 2 cars and only used one. This
>one
>> >is brand new, Never Used

e-mail
Charlie
w1cmf@amsat.org
```

147. Re: Motorola Spectra Scan Ques. - Limitations

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mal Alexander <malexand2@fastlink.com.au>
Message-ID: <6gu7da$58u@inferno.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 1999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

The 16 channel limitation is is most Motorola radios - I am familiar with the MCS-2000, it has the same <problem> but pay attention to Keith, below, he has a point.

Keith Christensen wrote in message <6doa2u$7jh$1@gte2.gte.net>...
>You have to remember that this is a _REAL_ WORLD_ 2_way_ radio, not a
>scanner as such! If you are a working radio user, be it public safety-taxi
>driver-lineman-delivery driver- et al.... can you do your primary job AND
>monitor more than 16 separate channels at the same time?

>Dr. Radio wrote in message <6dmgtu$h90$1@nnrp2.crl.com>...
>|I have a UHF A5 Spectra and although the unit has 128 possible modes,
>|apparently, you can only have 16 channels at a time defined in the scan
>|list....major problem. If one sets up zones, then you can have 16 per
>|zone, but only one zone can be scanned at a time.

148. Re: FS IC-706

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tamarack Greenman <tamarack@cei.net>
Message-ID: <35350843.95129101@cei.net>
Source record: 2000
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Paul Love wrote:
<snippes>

> Why do yanks keep cross-posting advertisements in aus.amateur.groups ??
> --
> O-------- Paul-D.-Love --O
> O-------- Email-pdlove@quasar.apana.org.au----------------------O
> O-------- Email-vk4xd@quasar.apana.org.au----------------------O
> O-------- AMATEUR-RADIO-OPERATOR--VK4XD---ICQ-8869291-----------O

Caues we figure that even the hams down under sometimes spend money on radios. I know I have send stuff there....

tam

149. Re: Motorola Spectra Scan Ques. - Limitations

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lidstrom <jlidstrom@accessone.com>
Message-ID: <35355144.43E41BA3@accessone.com>
Source record: 2001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

the limitations only apply to "operator select" scanning. If you set your scan groups using the
motorola program as "mode slaved", you can have a different set of 16 scanned modes for each
of the 128 available modes in the radio. It requires a lot of forethought, but does open the range of
scannable modes to 128 X 16 (ok, less a bit of fiddling around).
John

Mal Alexander wrote:

> The 16 channel limitation is is most Motorola radios - I am familiar with
> the MCS-2000, it has the same <problem> but pay attention to Keith, below,
> he has a point.
>
> Keith Christensen wrote in message <6doa2u$7jh$1@gte2.gte.net>...
> >You have to remember that this is a _REAL_ WORLD_ 2_way_ radio, not a
> >scanner as such! If you are a working radio user, be it public safety-taxi
> >driver-lineman-delivery driver- et al.... can you do your primary job AND
> >monitor more than 16 separate channels at the same time?
>
> >Dr. Radio wrote in message <6dmgtu$h90$1@nnrp2.crl.com>...
> >|I have a UHF A5 Spectra and although the unit has 128 possible modes,
> >|apparently, you can only have 16 channels at a time defined in the scan
> >|list....major problem. If one sets up zones, then you can have 16 per
> >|zone, but only one zone can be scanned at a time.

150. Re: FS IC-706

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: petez69@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3535deb7.11963781@news.stl.dec.com>
Source record: 2002
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Well I wonder if our American friends think we are dumb. Well I was surprised that when I decided to send out a followup usenet message, I was asked if I want to send it to all 9, yes all 9 usenet groups that the original message was sent to..

You'd think that these people would work out that USENET has groups to place relative information and you don't have to SPAM 18,000 groups to get a message across.

If I wanted a flaming RADIO FROM THE US, I would check out the REC radio newsgroups. YANKS, don't treat the world like idiots because we can search usenet ourselves and we do appreciate that you just don't want us to miss such an incredible offer !

Pete..vk2yx

On Wed, 15 Apr 1998 14:19:31 -0500, Tamarack Greenman
<tamarack@cei.net> wrote:

>Paul Love wrote:
><snippes>
>
>> Why do yanks keep cross-posting advertisements in aus.amateur.groups ??
>> --
>> O-------- Paul-D.-Love --O
>> O-------- Email-pdlove@quasar.apana.org.au----------------------O
>> O-------- Email-vk4xd@quasar.apana.org.au-----------------------O
>> O-------- AMATEUR-RADIO-OPERATOR--VK4XD---ICQ-8869291-----------O
>
> Caues we figure that even the hams down under sometimes spend money on
>radios. I know I have send stuff there....
>
>tam

151. Re: FS IC-706

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Kelly <mkelly@powerup.com.au>
Message-ID: <35377E4C.1510@powerup.com.au>
Source record: 2003
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Pete - you have wasted more bandwidth than the original post.
Please shut up.

Pete - VK2YX wrote:
>
> Well I wonder if our American friends think we are dumb. Well I was
> surprised that when I decided to send out a followup usenet message, I
> was asked if I want to send it to all 9, yes all 9 usenet groups that
> the original message was sent to..

****
* Murray Kelly vk4aok mkelly@powerup.com.au *
* 29 Molonga Ter. / Graceville/ QLD. 4075/ Australia *
* ph/fax Intl+ 61 7 3379 3307 *
****

152. Montreal Hamfest

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ICQ QuestionMaster <icquestions@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <35382F8D.1CDE9127@hotmail.com>
Source record: 2004
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap

WEST-ISLAND AMATEUR RADIO CLUB AUCTION AND FLEA MARKET

WHEN: Saturday May 9th, 1998
Opens 7h30am for vendors
General admission at 8h30am
Auction at 9h30am
WHERE: Ste-Suzanne Church
9501 Gouin Blvd West, Pierrefonds
Quï¿½bec, Canada
ADMISSION: $5, free if under 12 years
TABLES: $15, includes one entry, for reservations
E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca

There will be radio related door prizes.
There will be a raffle of a Kenwood TH-235A from Atlantic Ham Radio

For information:
E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca
or visit the club website at: http://www.pubnix.net/wiarc

Talk-in starts at 7h00 on VE2RWI 146.910- and 443.050+
Food and drinks available on the premises

Auction details:
Items sold for less than $2, 100% of sale to WIARC
Items sold between $2 and less than $5, 50% to WIARC
Items $5 and over, 10% to WIARC

Come and join us for the fun!

WEST-ISLAND AMATEUR RADIO CLUB ENCAN ET MARCHï¿½ AUX PUCES

QUAND: Samedi le 9 mai 1998
Ouvert ï¿½ 7h30 pour les vendeurs
Admission gï¿½nï¿½rale ï¿½ 8h30
Encan ï¿½ 9h30
Oï¿½: ï¿½glise Ste-Suzanne
9501 Boul Gouin O., Pierrefonds
Quï¿½bec, Canada
ADMISSION: $5, gratuit pour les moins de 12 ans
TABLES: $15, pour rï¿½servations E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca

Il y aura des prix de prï¿½sence reliï¿½s ï¿½ la radio.
Il y aura tirage d'un portatif Kenwood TH-235A de Atlantic Ham Radio

Pour information contactez:
E-mailto:ve2cwi@rac.ca
Ou visitez le page web du club ï¿½ http://www.pubnix.net/wiarc

Auto-guidage commenï¿½ant ï¿½ 7h00 sur VE2RWI 146.910- et 443.050+
Nourriture et breuvages disponible sur le site

Dï¿½tails de l'encan:
Items vendus pour moins de $2, 100% remis au WIARC
Items vendus entre $2 et moins que $5, 50% remis au WIARC
Items vendus $5 et plus, 10% remis au WIARC

Venez vous amuser!

153. SEE ME, FEEL ME, TOUCH ME..

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H.P. Vermeulen" <PE1RVK@_VRZA.ORG>
Message-ID: <6hghh0$r0p$1@news.worldonline.nl>
Source record: 2005
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,slac.rec.ham_radio,uk.radio.amateur

Hey.. HAM-SURFER.. you're surfing and got no place to go?..

Check the pages of Dutch Radio Amateur PE1RVK. Lot's of nice info, some pictures, some questions (HELP!) and FREE Ham-Ads (for Europe). Just starting the site but I'm very curious about your feedback. Just a nice site to browse around.

http://home.worldonline.nl/~pvermeul
OR
http://huizen.dds.nl/~pe1rvk

The pages are identical at both addresses, sometimes one's faster than the other, check it out. By the way, there are NO FRAMES, NO JAVA APPLETS, NO MOVIES, NO SOUNDS !! Just colorful text and some lo-size pictures, you can see it with *ANY* browser and it doesn't take ages to load!

Planning: for-idiots-like-myself-PE1RVK-pages (simple technical projects), simple PCB layouts (help me Wim "EIK"!), FAQ.

Goal: want to become an interactive meetingpoint for Europe. ANY meaningfull info YOU want to be published on the site will be there.. so drop me a line at PE1RVK@VRZA.ORG

--
! PSE REMOVE UNDERSCORE FROM MAIL ADDRESS WHEN REPLYING !

154. The Magic Of Amateur Radio - http://www.gate.net/~ke4jcd

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob - KE4JCD <ke4jcd@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <01bd6cd7$24a985e0$1431e3c7@ke4jcd>
Source record: 2006
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

For complete information on this fine hobby - Amateur Radio - Check out The Magic Of Amateur Radio Web Site! http://www.gate.net/~ke4jcd
--

If you have a page that will help out, send all URL's to ke4jcd@bigfoot.com

--

73's
Bob - KE4JCD
ke4jcd@bigfoot.com
ICQ 9040243

The Magic Of Amateur Radio Web Site
http://www.gate.net/~ke4jcd

155. 80-10 meter vertical antennas...opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wade Massengill <wmasseng@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <353C191A.26CA@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

Hams...

After a long absence from HF I have fired up the HW-101 (I said LONG absence 8^)) and the thing cracked and zapped and spit at me. But I fixed it with some black vinyl tape. (ain't tubes wonderful?)

Having the rig operational again I am thinking of putting up a 80-10 meter vertical.

What's out there, what works, what would you do over again if you had the chance?

Discussion, please.

Wade
KU4OJ

156. Re: 80-10 meter vertical antennas...opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4nti@cannet.com
Message-ID: <6hj0ub$lh0$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 2008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

In article <353C191A.26CA@bellsouth.net>,
wmasseng@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> Hams...
>
> After a long absence from HF I have fired up the HW-101
> (I said LONG absence 8^)) and the thing cracked and
> zapped and spit at me. But I fixed it with some black
> vinyl tape. (ain't tubes wonderful?)
>
> Having the rig operational again I am thinking of putting
> up a 80-10 meter vertical.
>
> What's out there, what works, what would you do over
> again if you had the chance?
>
> Discussion, please.
>
> Wade
> KU4OJ
>
As you probably already know, a vertical (short) is a severe compromise on 80
especially. Id recommend saving ur money and just hang a wire for that band.
As for the others I hightly recommend GAP verticals. Good mechanically and
an excellent performer.
Dan/W4NTI

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

157. Great new Amateur Radio WEB Page

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beth Kaiser <ederinger@iop.com>
Message-ID: <353E833B.F056B798@iop.com>
Source record: 2009
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Come by and say hello. New ham radio web page.

Amateur Radio KX2S
http://www.qsl.net/kx2s

Pix of old ham gear. Some nice ham links. Complete Ham Radio WEB RING
Page.
73 Ed

158. !! BIAS ELECTRONICS VHF 160 INFO/HELP !!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H.P. Vermeulen" <PE1_RVK@VRZA.ORG>
Message-ID: <6hlpf6$6e0$1@news.worldonline.nl>
Source record: 2010
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,japan.ham-radio,nl.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

I desperately need some info (schematic layout, manufacturer) about a Ham Radio 2m VHF linear amp: Brand=Bias Electronics (urbania, Italy), Model=VHF 160

It's a black metal box with cooling frame on top, One switch (middle position off, down=SSB, up=FM) on front right, and two red LED's on the left ("on" and "tx"). There a double side PCB inside that looks a bit "home-brew" (maybe it's a KIT???).

The amp is based on a MRF 245 that should be able to do 250 watts (absolute max). 160 watts (see model) will probably what it's supposed to give me. It's doing now only 50 watts and switching (the relais) and vox is not working properly. While it looks quite simple on the inside, I'd like to give it a go and let the thing do it's normal job (QRO!).

For Dutch HAM's: Ik heb 'm gekocht van PA3FRD (Friesland?) uit nalatenschap van PA3EQU (silent key) op de markt in Meppel 1997. Misschien is daar nog wat info te vinden?

CAN ANYONE HELP PSE? PSE EMAIL TO: PE1RVK@VRZA.ORG

Tnx very much de PE1RVK (JO21WT)

--
! PSE REMOVE UNDERSCORE FROM MAIL ADDRESS WHEN REPLYING !

159. Re: 80-10 meter vertical antennas...opinions please

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <ac4aq@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6hmibp$h74$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 2011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

Wade Massengill wrote:
>
> Hams...
>
> After a long absence from HF I have fired up the HW-101
> (I said LONG absence 8^)) and the thing cracked and
> zapped and spit at me. But I fixed it with some black
> vinyl tape. (ain't tubes wonderful?)
>
> Having the rig operational again I am thinking of putting
> up a 80-10 meter vertical.
>
> What's out there, what works, what would you do over
> again if you had the chance?
>
> Discussion, please.
>
> Wade
> KU4OJ

A vertical is an excellent choice for 80 meter DX but isn't all that great for short-haul work, such as a state-wide net. They can be built for relatively low cost and don't take up a great deal of acreage.

A good ground screen is essential for high transmitting efficiency, especially for a loaded (shorter than 1/4 wave) vertical. As a rule of thumb, you should put twice as much "sweat equity" into your ground system as the antenna itself.

I recommend avoiding commercially manufactured verticals due to their high price and "do all bands" design philosophy. There are a number of dealers (among them, Texas Towers) will sell aluminum tubing in variety of sizes. From one of these dealers, you could purchase enough tubing to make a full-size (1/4 wave) vertical at a lower cost than a short commercially made antenna. Tubing is available in sizes such that the OD of one size is slightly smaller than the ID of the next bigger size, which allows a telescoping or collapsible design.

If you decide to use a shortened antenna, place the loading coil about 1/3 of the way from the top, and use a capacitive hat. Also, solder all of the radial wires together, as it is desirable to maintain high conductance near the base and use aluminum anti-corrosion grease on all mechanical connections.

Good luck and good DX,

jEFF

160. FS:A few item for sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Somebody@home.com" <Somebody@home.com>
Message-ID: <353F8BA9.975B5830@home.com>
Source record: 2012
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur.club.clarc,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The following is a list of radio gear that I am offering for sale. All equipment is being made available on a first come, first serve, basis. E-mail offer to me, and all reasonable offers will get a reply if the item is still available.

Icom 706 with remote cable and mounting bracket for face

SG-231 SmartTuner - antennr coupler 1.8 to 60 MHz.

SOLDKenwood TM331A 220 MHz mobile radio. Excellent shape. No bracket or manual.

Yaesu Vertex 2011 commerical VHF radio - Brand new condition
Yaesu Vertex 7011 commerical UHF radio - Excellent condition
These radios are based on the Yaesu 2500M chasis. I have the programming cable and software. Each radio has 99 channels that can be placed into 10 groups.

MFJ 1276 packet/pactor tnc - Brand new condition

DX-390 shortwave radio. - Brand new condition

PRO-39 scanner - restored cellular excellent condition

Icom IC-W31A duel band handie talkie with 800 MHz RX includes cellular 3 batteries - Tucker fast charger (incomplete needs cup)

Uniden Bearcat 895XLT - Includes home made computer interface cable and some collected
software. Brand new condition

3 element 6 meter beam

6 meter Ringo Ranger

Buyer is responsible for all packaging costs, handling and shipping charges/expenses.
E-mail your offer to dwc@mallsurfer.com

Donald W. Chapman (N5RVR)

161. Problem with power switch

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6hp4mu$v02$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 2013
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ok, this is kinda stupid, but..... Have a friend with dual band radio, remote head. Not sure, but think it's an Alinco (about 8 yrs old) if not, it's kenwood, either way, this should be a generic question. Concerning the 'new' push and hold for what I suppose is relayed power on/off like most newer radios are, what would make this radio refuse to turn off?? It had to be wired thru ignition switch so it will turn off and on with the vehicle. Radio works perfectly, but it just won't turn off! Ohm meter says the switch itself on the control head is fine. any ideas??
thanks,
Randy

162. FS: 74th Anniversary Edition: RADIO AMATEUR CALL BOOK

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pubnetix@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6hqi61$o2b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 2014
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

FOR SALE=
RADIO AMATEUR CALL BOOK (FOR USE THROUGHOUT 1996). 74TH Anniversary Edition.
2,112 pages. Over two inches thick, the size of a large metro phone book.
Paperback, 8-1/2 x 11 inch format. Original Cover Price: $35.00.

Selling Price: $15.00, plus shipping (Weighs approx. 5+ pounds)

Note: This is the North American Listings edition, includes Hawaii,
and U.S. possessions.

Listings of 752,000 licensed radio amateurs, call letter changes,
6 & 10 meter beacon lists, radio amateur prefixes of the world, table
of call sign allocations, DXCC countries list, world census of U.S.
amateur radio licenses, world wide QSL bureaus, plus much more.

Payment via money order preferred; personal check must clear before
shipment.

Dan Stoicheff
605 Montgomery Street
Laurel, Maryland 20707-4001

One copy only, so hurry, if interested! Thanks.

==========
==========

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

163. Looking for SONY radio CRF-1 CRF-320

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: AKIO FUJIKAWA <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <3540B598.6B317B44@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 2015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello!
I am looking for SONY radio, CRF-1,CRF-320.

Please your information.

AKIO FUJIKAWA
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp

--

AKIO FUJIKAWA...JG3GOG

50,Nishino Yousumi-cho,
Yamashina-ku,KYOTO,
607-8342 JAPAN

G.L...PM74VX

TEL/FAX...81-075-581-5669
E-MAIL...fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
---

164. Packet on a Palmtop Computer

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radimus <radimus@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <35420e7d.0@news3.paonline.com>
Source record: 2016
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am seeking advice on how I might use my Sharp Zaurus ZR5800 as a VHF/UHF packet terminal. The Zaurus has an RS232 compaitble serial port and a terminal emulator program the can handle TTY and VT100 emulations. I don't know very much about TNC's. Would it be possible to use a TNC or Baypac modem with the terminal emulator in my Zaurus? Thanks for you time.

Conrad Weiser
N3XNV

165. Looking for SONY CRF-1

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: AKIO FUJIKAWA <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <3542C70F.FA01A170@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 1925
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Hello!
I am looking for SONY radio CRF-1.
If you have information, please send e-mail.

Best regards,
73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
```

166. FS Radio Equipment

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Benny Martinez <bamartz@iglobal.net>
Message-ID: <35433F75.9EB27EF4@iglobal.net>
Source record: 1926
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Regency 25 watt repeater, power supply, community tone
panel, decibel duplexer, cavity, circulator, in 19 in equip
rack..... $700.00 or trade for mobile hf radio 706 or ts50

Fujitsu Ten mobile radio uhf, 2 handheld radios, programmer,
programming chip, 1 charger

Regency 25 watt 10 channel programmable radio

Regency 1 watt handheld oty 3 $50.00 each

Benny Martinez
bamartz@iglobal.net
KA5OMI

167. Re: Looking for SONY CRF-1

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Titan <titangh@hooked.net>
Message-ID: <35435DEA.5315@hooked.net>
Source record: 1927
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

AKIO FUJIKAWA wrote:
>
> Hello!
> I am looking for SONY radio CRF-1.
> If you have information, please send e-mail.
>
> Best regards,
> 73
> akio fujikawa
> fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
> $B!!(J

What is a CRF-1? I have a CRF 5080 that I bought in 1975 and like very much. I have not been able to find any information about these older radios on the web.

168. For Sale: Connect Systems CS-700

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6hvpbahhm1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1928
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Connect Systems CS-700 Auto Phone Patch

The CS-700 is for simplex operation and offers selectable VOX enhanced VOX controlled sampling. Complete with 9 memory speed dialer. 12-15 VDC
Used 4 months includes original box, manual and Ps.

Asking $125.00 plus S/H
If interested contact
George n0eoq at
n0eoq@6mt.com or call
870-389-6516

169. moving sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donald W. Chapman" <dwc@mallsurfer.com>
Message-ID: <354374B5.F2149990@mallsurfer.com>
Source record: 1929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur.club.clarc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap

The following is a list of radio gear that I am offering for sale. All equipment is being made available on a first come, first serve, basis. E-mail offer to me, and all reasonable offers will get a reply if the item is still available.

Icom 706 with remote cable and mounting bracket for face

SG-231 SmartTuner - antennr coupler 1.8 to 60 MHz.

SOLD Kenwood TM331A 220 MHz mobile radio. Excellent shape. No bracket or manual.

Yaesu Vertex 2011 commerical VHF radio - Brand new condition
Yaesu Vertex 7011 commerical UHF radio - Excellent condition
These radios are based on the Yaesu 2500M chasis. I have the programming cable and software. Each radio has 99 channels that can be placed into 10 groups.

MFJ 1276 packet/pactor tnc - Brand new condition

DX-390 shortwave radio. - Brand new condition

PRO-39 scanner - restored cellular excellent condition

Icom IC-W31A duel band handie talkie with 800 MHz RX includes cellular
3 batteries - Tucker fast charger (incomplete needs cup)

Uniden Bearcat 895XLT - Includes home made computer interface cable and some collected
software. Brand new condition

3 element 6 meter beam

6 meter Ringo Ranger

Buyer is responsible for all packaging costs, handling and shipping charges/expenses.

** E-mail your offer to billybob@mallsurfer.com **

Donald W. Chapman
dwc@mallsurfer.com

170. Ex-South African QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kiszka-Kanowitz <robin.kiszka@frederiksbrg.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <01bd713f86622820LocalHost@d00007998>
Source record: 1930
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am intersted in making QSO with ex-South Africans living in Europe, Scandinavia and the Middle East (due to my limited antenna cndx). If you are interested then please send e-mail to rkk@ascom.dk and we can arrange a sched. The more the merrier ... perhaps we can arange a bring and braai ?

73's
Robin
OZ6ABM ex. Pretoria, South Africa.

171. ADMS Yaesu VX-1 Problems

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: lou albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <35468312.2AC230F5@uakron.edu>
Source record: 1931
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

You might note that COM2: and COM4: use the same IRQ -- that often
causes
problems with other communications software... I suggest removing the
MODEM
for a test, that is the only way to quickly tell if the rig, software
and
cable are OK.

I suspect the cable, rig and software are OK, just a bit touchy!

You will also want to upgrade the software, V1.03 has bugs download the
patch
from RT's site: http://www.rtsars.com

I just purchased the software and cable ... after some start up
problems, and
the bugs in the version 1.03, I finally have it up and running.

Wayne
WS8RM

from
--
Lou Albert (WA8PHD), Voice - 330-864-0650

http://lek.net/~byron/lou/
http://www.f-stopcamera.com/

172. For Sale: Connect Systems CS-700

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6ia1molil1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1932
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,fido.su.hamradio,fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Connect Systems CS-700 Auto Phone Patch

The CS-700 is for simplex operation and offers selectable VOX enhanced VOX controlled sampling. Complete with 9 memory speed dialer. 12-15 VDC
Used 4 months includes original box, manual and Ps.

Asking $125.00 plus S/H
If interested contact
George n0eoq at
n0eoq@6mt.com or call
870-389-6516

173. FS: ICOM 250A (HT)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-04-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ian F. Fritzsche" <abc@rose.net>
Message-ID: <35493D0D.2FCA@rose.net>
Source record: 1933
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
For Sale:
Icom 250A HT
Battery was recently rebuilt by Mr. Nicad
Has Charger and Antenna
Asking $100
(Buyer pays shipping)

Please Reply via E-Mail (news replys will not be read):
ae4rz@juno.com
mailto:ae4rz@juno.com

(this is **NOT** my radio I am just posting this for a neighbor who
doesn't have full internet access)

The radio belongs to:
Jim Hale
AE4RZ
ae4rz@juno.com
mailto:ae4rz@juno.com

I am:
Ian Fritzsche
KF4AON
abc@rose.net
mailto:abc@rose.net
http://home.rose.net/~abc/
```

174. Looking for CRF-320,CRF-1

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AKIO FUJIKAWA <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <3549CA26.E7F3E2DE@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 1934
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Looking for SONY radio CRF-1,CRF-320(330)
Please e-mail your information.

akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
RXQ01364@niftyserve.or.jp
```

175. Re: HF Amp For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bry@mnsinc.com
Message-ID: <354a28ad.2640337@news.mnsinc.com>
Source record: 1935
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

My oh my!

law@earthlink.net (JB) wrote:

> Swan 1200W HF amp for sale.
> MINT condition.
> 110vac operation
> 80,40,20,15 and 10 meters

> $500

> e-mail starman@thenetcat.com

> "Understanding is a three edged sword,
> your side, their side, and the truth."
> - John Sheridan, Babylon 5

>>You are full of shit you have a $200 at the most amp

and then recently, JDM <jmul@iland.net> wrote:

>To a ham that is too much, but there are plenty of CB'ers that will
>gladly pay that for an amp that will match their Siltronix (Swan)
>1011's. You probably didn't think of that before you opened your trap
>did you?

Wow fellas, did we both forget our WHEATIES that day. huh?

176. FS: 10 KW Generator

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@spam.allowed
Message-ID: <MPG.fba07f57b9ada68989703@news.wwa.com>
Source record: 1936
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

10 Kw military surplus generator. 220/110 vac. 4-cyl gas engine.
Totally Self-contained. Engine runs like a clock! Gen set regulation
needs heavy load to flash the field coils but runs well otherwise. Could
probably use a cleaning of the relay contacts.

Set is on an 'un-sprung' two wheel trailer which is ok for short distance
towing... would recommend it for a long trip...

Price $750 obo. Will trade for small hf mobile.

Please e-mail responses

73's

--
Robert Lieberman
kg9us@automate.net

177. Web Site = Resources, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dt@accessnow.com
Message-ID: <355524c4.69610357@news.halcyon.com>
Source record: 1937
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.morse,alt.ham-radio.ssb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Howdy, folks. I just launched an Amateur Radio website that is
focused on HF radio. It is at http://hfradio.org - come by and visit.
It is very new. Feedback is most welcomed - what is it you most want
on an HF Radio Amateur website?

Current features at http://hfradio.org include

+ Propagational data, forcasts, and related information.
+ Online discussion forums (Elmer, DX, and more coming)
+ AZ_PROJ server (under development to bring propagation overlays)
+ and more...

Please stop by http://hfradio.org

Thanks.

73 de N7PMS

(the address I am using is an anti-sp*m address. My true address can
be found at the site)

178. For sale: Yaesu FR-101DD RECIEVER

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: AKIO FUJIKAWA <fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp>
Message-ID: <35558EF2.FFA103DD@nk.rim.or.jp>
Source record: 1938
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
It is FR-101SD+6M unit+2M unit+FM unit+FM detect unit+
Broadcast X'tal.(same FR-101DD).
Ja model.

It works. Cosmetics is very good.

I hope $600+shipping.

Best regards,
73
akio fujikawa
fujikawa@nk.rim.or.jp
```

179. For Sale: CELLWAVE 903- 915 AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6jdc61isc1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1939
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,fido.su.hamradio,fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

CELLWAVE 903- 915 AMPLIFIER / 2ml watts in gets you 54 watts out at 903. It is 24 volts 8 amps required for power.

$75.00 plus shipping

If interested please contact George n0eoq at: n0eoq@6mt.com

or call at 870-389-6516

180. Six Club Sprint this weekend

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6jemma$u3p$1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1940
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,fido.su.hamradio,fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

SIX CLUB'S FIRST SPRINT
MAY 16th and 17th 1998
IN CONJUNCTION WITH ARRL 50MHZ SPRINT

The Six Club Sprint will be from 2300Z Saturday of the following week until
0300Z Sunday (May 16-17, 1998) .
THIS WILL BE THE FIRST AND ONLY SPRINT THAT WILL BE IN CONJUNCTION WITH ANY
OTHER SPRINT.
SPRINT RULES
The Six Club rules are simple, score one point for all qso contacts and the
grids are the multiplier. Explanation: If you have 10 qso's and 25 grids
your score would be total grids times total qso's. Other words 25 times 10.
ROVERS ARE WELCOME TO JOIN IN ON THE FUN. ROVER RULES ARE THE SAME AS THE
OLD STYLE ARRL.
HAVE FUN

For further information on forms and instructions to email your results
please go to:
http://6mt.com/contest.htm

Thank you.
73'S George and Lisa
N0EOQ KA0NNO
001 002
SIX CLUB MEMBERS
http://6mt.com
six meters world wide

181. WTB:BIRD CARRYING CASE (CC-1)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd8045$c17c75e0$8c58cccf@default>
Source record: 1941
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you have one to sell please reply with delivered price and condition of unit.
73, Glenn

182. Motorola Instruction manuals for Mitrek and Consolette Base Radio

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: REGIS7 <REGIS7@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6jojoh$6g6e$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 1942
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have one Motorola Solid State CONSOLETTE Base Station Instruction manual for the 136-174 MHz radio. Part # 68P81018E45 Issue-C.

I have one Motorola Mitrek Instruction manual for the 406-420 MHz and 450-512 MHz two-way FM radio.
Part # 68P81045E75-A

Consolette Manual can be bid on at: www.eBAY.com
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=14240467

While at eBay search for Item #14240095 for Mitrek Manual.

183. Book Review: ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs, 1998

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: orville@weyrich.com
Message-ID: <19980518002639.0-orville@weyrich.com>
Source record: 1943
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,sci.electronics

TITLE: ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs, 1998: 75th Anniversary Edition
ABSTRACT: The best compendium of radio communications technology
that I have found in over 25 years.

Full review is at:

http://www.weyrich.com/book_reviews/arrl_handbook.html

I am open to suggestions of other books which I might review.

Orville R. Weyrich, Jr. Weyrich Computer Consulting
mailto:orville@weyrich.com http://www.weyrich.com

Visit our book reviews web site:

http://www.weyrich.com/book_reviews/

---

184. NYC TAXIS ABANDON 10M

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd827e$278bb780$ab58cccf@default>
Source record: 1944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

See QST June 1998 issue pages 66 and 67 for details.Action is finally taken!

185. WTB: BIRD CARRYING CASE (CC-1)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd835b$f9768a80$a658cccf@default>
Source record: 1945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

I am looking for a CC-1 carrying case for the Bird 43 wattmeter. Please reply with delivered price and condition of unit.
73 es tnx,
Glenn

186. FS: Communications and Electronics books

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8EK@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6k1krlgcn1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,alt.marketplace.books,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I have the following books (all in excellent to new condition) available:

Practical Electronics Troubleshooting by Perozzo
Good information on troubleshooting all sorts of electrical
and electronic devices $ 15

Understanding Data Communications by Friend
The title says it all! $ 15

Understanding Communications Systems by Cannon
This one covers all sorts of communication systems -- not
just the data communications like the one above. $ 15

An Introduction to Fiber Optics by Shotwell
Not in great depth, but an easy to understand book $ 15

Introduction to Electronics and Electronic Shop Practices
by Worden - Mainly a "Shop Techniques" book, with lots
of reference charts, etc., but some theory included $ 18

Digital Electronics by Uffenbeck - Technician Level text
Covers from number systems to shift registers to Programmable
devices to interfacing $ 25

Electricity - Principles and Applications by Fowler
Part of McGraw Hill's "Basic Skills in Electricity and Electronics"
group of texts. Mainly theory, in easy to understand
explanations. $ 20

Electrical Measurements by Smith & Wiedenbeck
Classical measurements book - Engineer level $ 15

Principles of Electronic Communications by Mandl
Includes modulation, amplifiers, noise, transmission lines,
antennas, etc. $ 18

I also have Boy Scout books:

Exploring Handbook - 1958 - close to new condition $ 20

Handbook for Patrol Leaders - 1950 copyright - 1959 printing $ 18

1986 American Red Cross "Expect the Unexpected" $ 2

If you would like more information about any book, please e-mail to
W8EK@usa.net. All books are in good shape.

Prices do not include shipping from NE Ohio.

Ken Simpson
E-Mail to W8EK@usa.net or KenMar.Ind@Juno.com
Voice phone (330) 499-7388

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

187. FS: Electronic Data books

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8EK@usa.net
Message-ID: <6k1lrkhqf1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1947
Cross-posted to: alt.marketplace.books,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

I have the following available:

1987 Samsung High Performance CMOS logic $ 2

1995 Logic Devices Static Random Access Memory $ 2

1996 Raychem Circuit Protection Databook $ 2

Texas Instruments Data Books - $ 2 each

1995 Op amps and comparators - Book A
1995 " " " " Book B
1995 Intelligent Optosensors $ 1

1996 Power Supply Circuits
1996 Low Voltage Logic
1996 High Performance FIFO Memory - Data
1996 " " " " - Design
1996 AHC / AHCT Logic
1996 Wireless and Telecommunications Products
1996 PCM codec / filter combo family $ 1

1997 Design consideration for logic products - application
1997 AHC / AHCT Logic

Andrews (Coax Cable, etc) Catalogs $ 4 each
1978 Catalog 30
1985 Catalog 32
1986 Catalog 33
1991 Catalog 35
1988 Coax Cable $ 2

Prices do not include shipping from NE Ohio.

Ken Simpson
E-Mail to W8EK@usa.net or KenMar.Ind@Juno.com
Voice phone (330) 499-7388

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

188. SOFTWARE FRAUD ALERT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: billieweenie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <billieweenie-2205980032560001@modem1-00.mo-net.com>
Source record: 1948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:

***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****

%%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%

HERE'S A TIP TO HELP YOU AVOID SOME FUTURE GRIEF (AND SAVE SOME OF YOUR HARD EARNED MONEY)

PC World Magazine, in their February issue made reference to a particular software title as

'THE SOFTWARE FROM HELL'.

I've never used the product they were referring to, so I can't comment about it.

Nevertheless, if PC World had been talking about software from

COREL CORPORATION (Word Perfect, etc), it would have been MOST APPROPRIATE.

COREL SOFTWARE PRODUCTS, IN ALL THEIR FORMS, TRULY DESERVE THE TITLE

****** S O F T W A R E F R O M H E L L ******

because they are buggy (and dysfunctional) beyond belief. Corel products make Microsoft look like the knight in shinning armor, even including Windoze 95.

YOU CAN'T READ INFO LIKE THIS IN THE COMPUTER MAGAZINES BECAUSE COREL SPENDS FAR TOO MUCH MONEY VIA ADVERTISING REVENUE WITH ALL THE COMPUTER PUBLICATIONS, AND THEY DON'T DARE EXPOSE ONE OF THEIR BIGGEST ADVERTISERS, FOR REASONS WHICH SHOULD BE OBVIOUS. THEREFORE, WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO PASS THE WORD VIA NEWS GROUPS AND SIMILAR OUTLETS.

Corel Corporation, a Canadian firm, based in Ottawa, Ontario, has zero regard and zero respect for their customers, and is probably the best example of why Ziff Davis (publishers of dozens of computer magazines, both on the PC and Mac platforms), are now calling for a mandatory (and legally binding) 30, 60 or 90 day software money back guarantee.

Using data from Corel's own website, the following is more than sufficient proof to demonstrate the dysfunctional character of Corel's Word Perfect product:

THE FOLLOWING BUG LIST IS TAKEN FROM COREL'S WORLD WIDE WEBSITE:
==========

ftp://ftp.corel.com/pub/WordPerfect/wpmac/-WPMac ftp Index.html

(scroll way down, almost to the bottom)

What follows is the list of bug fixes initiated by Corel in an attempt to repair their cockroach infested product known as Word Perfect, version 3.5, which can easily be construed as a clear admission of bugs which existed with version 3.5 as shipped to customers on CD ROM. What makes this issue all the more criminal is the fact that Corel refused to inform customers who sent in their warranty registration cards that bug fixes had been released for this product. Corel Corporation refused to send warranty/registered customers the patches on floppy disk, and if this was too expensive, Corel Corporation even refused to send registered customers a postcard informing their customers where they could find the patches on the Internet. Customers were simply left to struggle with a totally dysfunctional product. And customers like myself who finally figured out Corel Word Perfect could NOT be made to function properly, and who first requested assistance, were ignored, and who later requested a refund, were also ignored.

TO THIS DAY, 17 MONTHS AFTER THE PURCHASE OF THE DYSFUNCTION AND COCKROACH INFESTED COREL WORD PERFECT PROGRAM, THIS COMPANY CONTINUES TO IGNORE ALL INTERNET E-MAIL MESSGES AND ALL UNITED STATES AND CANADIAN POSTAL SERVICES LETTERS.

==========

Corel Document ID: 10339

The information in this document applies to:
WordPerfect 3.5 for Macintosh

This Updater works with all versions of Corel WordPerfect 3.5. Including: Novell WordPerfect 3.5, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.1, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 and Corel WordPerfect in the CorelDraw Suite.

The Corel WordPerfect 3.5.3 patch replaces all previous patches for WordPerfect 3.5, including the Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 patch shipped in October of 1996.

Fixes in 3.5.3 patch
==========

- Conversions should now function normally under System 7.6.
- A bug was fixed that repairs some display problems.
- Sporadic warnings that the common library could not be found will no longer occur.

Fixes in 3.5.2 patch
==========

- Text Selection now works properly.

- The Print Envelope feature will print addresses in the correct position on the LaserWriter 8.4 printer driver.

- Deleting to the right at the beginning of a line will no longer cause the cursor to reposition incorrectly in the text above.

- Deleting just before a Style no longer causes the cursor to reposition incorrectly and delete text within the Style.

- Editing a document in ?Show Codes? no longer causes the cursor to reposition incorrectly.

- Headers/Footers with text attributes (bold, underline...) are no longer affected by text attributes that cross a page break.

- Deleting addresses in the Print Envelope delivery or return address window now functions properly.

- The German Dictionary no longer stops and marks incorrect every word that contains a ? (esset).

- The French Dictionary on a Power Macintosh no longer stops and marks incorrect words that contain a ? (acute).

- Delivery Point of a bar code is no longer automatically calculated.

- Import of tables from various document types has been improved.

- The title of the ?WP6.x Export? now reads ?WP6.x,7.x Export? in both the patched and resale CD product from Corel.

- HTML export now saves Corel WordPerfect graphics or .wpg formatted images as JPEG?s.

Fixes in 3.5.1 patch
====================

- Editing some long blocks of Japanese characters will not crash the computer.

- Screen display is faster after scrolling through large documents or tables, or making editing changes.

- Captions can now be positioned above a graphic or text box.

- Return and delivery addresses in Print Envelope will now allow 255 characters (including line breaks).

- Dictionaries are now listed under the Dictionary menu instead of the Check menu.

- Ruler Bar display problems with PCI Power Macintosh computers have been fixed.

- WP 6.x and WP 7.x conversions can now be canceled.

- While working in subdocuments?such as headers or footnotes on black and white screens, Corel WordPerfect does not quit unexpectedly when clicking in the ruler area of the subdocument.

- Zip codes beginning with zero which did not have extended zip code digits now function properly in the Print Envelope feature.

- Font menus in Preferences and Print Envelopes now work properly on small monitors.

- Cleared tabs will no longer unexpectedly reappear on the ruler.

- Hyperlink copy and paste are now working.

- Cell Protection now disables the deletion of the contents of a cell.

- The bottom border of a table which crosses a page break is now visible.

- Patterns in a cell will no longer disappear when performing a Split or Join.

- Joining with the first cell of a table now functions properly.

- Row selection of tables in a text box is now available.

- Scroll bar does not stick when scrolling.

- Corel WordPerfect will use the Language Resource file designed for your system if present, or default to English (USA).

- Page Setup is now enabled in the Print Envelope feature (this allows the selection of paper sizes and envelope trays).

- Changing QuickCorrect preferences with no documents open will no longer crash the computer.

- Tables and Page Borders now work together.

- The PowerTalk Mailer can exceed 4096 characters.

- In the new Corel WordPerfect 3.5 CD-ROM, Norton Utilities will no longer report an error in the WordPerfect Installer Read Me. This fix is not available in the patch, but if you use Norton Utilities, we suggest you delete the WordPerfect Installer Read Me since it is not required for proper operation of the software.

- Corel WordPerfect Dictionaries and QuickCorrect word lists will no longer be replaced during installation.

==========

Postscript:

The above represents the bug list for Word Perfect 3.5, as I purchased this product, these revelations coming directly from Corel's website. Considering all these problems, it is little wonder that Ziff Davis Publishers are now suggesting we have a SOFTWARE LEMON LAW to protect computer consumers from future issues of this sort of software larceny.

If the reader will examine the websites of PC Week, PC World, and a few other leading PC-platform computer magazines, he will discover that Corel's Word Perfect, Suite 8 (the latest offering from Corel) is likewise

full of bugs. Don't take my word for it--- check it out for yourself.

MORAL OF STORY::::

SAVE YOUR MONEY--- DO NOT BUY ANYTHING WITH ���COREL��� ON THE LABEL, WHETHER IT BE FOR IBM COMPATIBLES OR THE MACINTOSH !!!

189. Re: SOFTWARE FRAUD ALERT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich M." <right@home.com>
Message-ID: <PqC91.90$wk2.236476@news.randori.com>
Source record: 1949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

Not sure What this has to do with the subjects of any of the ng's that you posted it to but, as for me, I have been using Corel Word Perfect Suite 7 for 2 years now and am very satisfied.

73 Dick N7JPO

J. Wiesenmeyer wrote in message ...
>Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:
>
>
>***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****
>
>%%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%

190. Re: SOFTWARE FRAUD ALERT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf4mqf <x_mlussier@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6k7quubof1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 1950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

Any Moron stupid enough to own a Mac , should get software that sucks , It
your own stupidity to even go out and buy such a machine most software
manufacturers are pulling away from Mac because they are a dying machine.
get a life and buy a real computer !!!

Just a note about problems with IBM machines . Corel does work on them !!!
there maybe bugs in it but I haven't found any yet and I use it every day
ver 5 , 6, 7, and 8.0

Before you shout FRAUD THINK ABOUT SLANDER SUITS THAT CAN ARRISE AGAINST YOU
!!!

J. Wiesenmeyer wrote in message ...
>Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:
>
>
>***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****
>
>%%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%
>
>
>HERE&#65533;S A TIP TO HELP YOU AVOID SOME FUTURE GRIEF (AND SAVE SOME OF YOUR
>HARD EARNED MONEY)
>
>PC World Magazine, in their February issue made reference to a particular
>software title as
>
>&#65533;THE SOFTWARE FROM HELL&#65533;.
>
>I&#65533;ve never used the product they were referring to, so I can&#65533;t comment
about it.
>
>Nevertheless, if PC World had been talking about software from
>
>
>COREL CORPORATION (Word Perfect, etc), it would have been MOST APPROPRIATE.
>
>COREL SOFTWARE PRODUCTS, IN ALL THEIR FORMS, TRULY DESERVE THE TITLE
>
>
>****** S O F T W A R E F R O M H E L L ******
>
>

>because they are buggy (and dysfunctional) beyond belief. Corel products
>make Microsoft look like the knight in shinning armor, even including
>Windoze 95.
>
>YOU CAN'T READ INFO LIKE THIS IN THE COMPUTER MAGAZINES BECAUSE COREL
>SPENDS FAR TOO MUCH MONEY VIA ADVERTISING REVENUE WITH ALL THE COMPUTER
>PUBLICATIONS, AND THEY DON'T DARE EXPOSE ONE OF THEIR BIGGEST ADVERTISERS,
>FOR REASONS WHICH SHOULD BE OBVIOUS. THEREFORE, WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO PASS
>THE WORD VIA NEWS GROUPS AND SIMILAR OUTLETS.
>
>Corel Corporation, a Canadian firm, based in Ottawa, Ontario, has zero
>regard and zero respect for their customers, and is probably the best
>example of why Ziff Davis (publishers of dozens of computer magazines,
>both on the PC and Mac platforms), are now calling for a mandatory (and
>legally binding) 30, 60 or 90 day software money back guarantee.
>
>Using data from Corel's own website, the following is more than sufficient
>proof to demonstrate the dysfunctional character of Corel's Word Perfect
>product:
>
>
>
>THE FOLLOWING BUG LIST IS TAKEN FROM COREL'S WORLD WIDE WEBSITE:
>==
>
>ftp://ftp.corel.com/pub/WordPerfect/wpmac/-WPMac ftp Index.html
>
> (scroll way down, almost to the bottom)
>
>
>What follows is the list of bug fixes initiated by Corel in an attempt to
>repair their cockroach infested product known as Word Perfect, version
>3.5, which can easily be construed as a clear admission of bugs which
>existed with version 3.5 as shipped to customers on CD ROM. What makes
>this issue all the more criminal is the fact that Corel refused to inform
>customers who sent in their warranty registration cards that bug fixes had
>been released for this product. Corel Corporation refused to send
>warranty/registered customers the patches on floppy disk, and if this was
>too expensive, Corel Corporation even refused to send registered customers
>a postcard informing their customers where they could find the patches on
>the Internet. Customers were simply left to struggle with a totally
>dysfunctional product. And customers like myself who finally figured out
>Corel Word Perfect could NOT be made to function properly, and who first
>requested assistance, were ignored, and who later requested a refund, were
>also ignored.
>
>TO THIS DAY, 17 MONTHS AFTER THE PURCHASE OF THE DYSFUNCTION AND COCKROACH
>INFESTED COREL WORD PERFECT PROGRAM, THIS COMPANY CONTINUES TO IGNORE ALL
>INTERNET E-MAIL MESSGES AND ALL UNITED STATES AND CANADIAN POSTAL SERVICES

>LETTERS.
>
>
>==========
>
>
>Corel Document ID: 10339
>
>
>The information in this document applies to:
>WordPerfect 3.5 for Macintosh
>
>This Updater works with all versions of Corel WordPerfect 3.5. Including:
>Novell WordPerfect 3.5, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.1, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2
>and Corel WordPerfect in the CorelDraw Suite.
>
>The Corel WordPerfect 3.5.3 patch replaces all previous patches for
>WordPerfect 3.5, including the Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 patch shipped in
>October of 1996.
>
>
>Fixes in 3.5.3 patch
>==========
>
>- Conversions should now function normally under System 7.6.
>- A bug was fixed that repairs some display problems.
>- Sporadic warnings that the common library could not be found
> will no longer occur.
>Fixes in 3.5.2 patch
>==========
>
>
>- Text Selection now works properly.
>
>- The Print Envelope feature will print addresses in the correct position
>on the LaserWriter 8.4 printer driver.
>
>- Deleting to the right at the beginning of a line will no longer cause
>the cursor to reposition incorrectly in the text above.
>
>- Deleting just before a Style no longer causes the cursor to reposition
>incorrectly and delete text within the Style.
>
>- Editing a document in ﷺShow Codesﷺ no longer causes the cursor to
>reposition incorrectly.
>
>- Headers/Footers with text attributes (bold, underline...) are no longer
>affected by text attributes that cross a page break.
>

>- Deleting addresses in the Print Envelope delivery or return address
>window now functions properly.
>
>- The German Dictionary no longer stops and marks incorrect every word
>that contains a &#65533; (esset).
>
>- The French Dictionary on a Power Macintosh no longer stops and marks
>incorrect words that contain a &#65533; (acute).
>
>- Delivery Point of a bar code is no longer automatically calculated.
>
>- Import of tables from various document types has been improved.
>
>- The title of the &#65533;WP6.x Export&#65533; now reads &#65533;WP6.x,7.x Export&#65533; in both
>the patched and resale CD product from Corel.
>
>- HTML export now saves Corel WordPerfect graphics or .wpg formatted
>images as JPEG&#65533;s.
>
>
>
>Fixes in 3.5.1 patch
>======================
>
>- Editing some long blocks of Japanese characters will not crash the
computer.
>
>- Screen display is faster after scrolling through large documents or
>tables, or making editing changes.
>
>- Captions can now be positioned above a graphic or text box.
>
>- Return and delivery addresses in Print Envelope will now allow 255
>characters (including line breaks).
>
>- Dictionaries are now listed under the Dictionary menu instead of the
>Check menu.
>
>- Ruler Bar display problems with PCI Power Macintosh computers have been
>fixed.
>
>- WP 6.x and WP 7.x conversions can now be canceled.
>
>- While working in subdocuments&#65533;such as headers or footnotes on black and
>white screens, Corel WordPerfect does not quit unexpectedly when clicking
>in the ruler area of the subdocument.
>
>- Zip codes beginning with zero which did not have extended zip code
>digits now function properly in the Print Envelope feature.

>
>
>- Font menus in Preferences and Print Envelopes now work properly on
>small monitors.
>
>
>- Cleared tabs will no longer unexpectedly reappear on the ruler.
>
>- Hyperlink copy and paste are now working.
>
>- Cell Protection now disables the deletion of the contents of a cell.
>
>- The bottom border of a table which crosses a page break is now visible.
>
>- Patterns in a cell will no longer disappear when performing a Split or
Join.
>
>- Joining with the first cell of a table now functions properly.
>
>- Row selection of tables in a text box is now available.
>
>- Scroll bar does not stick when scrolling.
>
>- Corel WordPerfect will use the Language Resource file designed for your
>system if present, or default to English (USA).
>
>- Page Setup is now enabled in the Print Envelope feature (this allows
>the selection of paper sizes and envelope trays).
>
>- Changing QuickCorrect preferences with no documents open will no longer
>crash the computer.
>
>- Tables and Page Borders now work together.
>
>- The PowerTalk Mailer can exceed 4096 characters.
>
>- In the new Corel WordPerfect 3.5 CD-ROM, Norton Utilities will no
>longer report an error in the WordPerfect Installer Read Me. This fix is
>not available in the patch, but if you use Norton Utilities, we suggest
>you delete the WordPerfect Installer Read Me since it is not required for
>proper operation of the software.
>
>- Corel WordPerfect Dictionaries and QuickCorrect word lists will no
>longer be replaced during installation.
>
>==========
>
>Postscript:
>

>
>The above represents the bug list for Word Perfect 3.5, as I purchased
>this product, these revelations coming directly from Corel's website.
>Considering all these problems, it is little wonder that Ziff Davis
>Publishers are now suggesting we have a SOFTWARE LEMON LAW to protect
>computer consumers from future issues of this sort of software larceny.
>
>If the reader will examine the websites of PC Week, PC World, and a few
>other leading PC-platform computer magazines, he will discover that
>Corel's Word Perfect, Suite 8 (the latest offering from Corel) is likewise
>full of bugs. Don't take my word for it--- check it out for yourself.
>
>MORAL OF STORY::::
>
>
>SAVE YOUR MONEY--- DO NOT BUY ANYTHING WITH ���COREL��� ON THE LABEL,
>WHETHER IT BE FOR IBM COMPATIBLES OR THE MACINTOSH !!!

191. ***** FS: HTX-202 2 Meter Ham Radio - Still Avail. *****

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Schumann <aCey@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <6kc95m$a29$1@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1951
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.amtor.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

STILL AVAILABLE!

Radio Shack HTX-202 2 Meter Amateur VHF*FM Transceiver
$150 obo

This is in the original box with everything that originally came with it: antenna, charger, ni-cad batt. pack, alkaline batt. case, and manual

Bought brand new at the $199.99 price a few months ago. Only fiddled with it a couple times to see how it operates. Have studied for most of the test, but too busy with work to have much time to finish and get the license.

This radio is extremely close to brand new. No physical blemishes whatsoever. Please email if interested. May also consider trades for other radios.

Randy
Fort Walton Beach, Fl

192. SOFTWARE FROM HELL: "COREL, INC."

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: billieweenie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <billieweenie-2605980741530001@modem1-18.mo-net.com>
Source record: 1952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:

***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****

%%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%

HERE'S A TIP TO HELP YOU AVOID SOME FUTURE GRIEF (AND SAVE SOME OF YOUR HARD EARNED MONEY)

PC World Magazine, in their February issue made reference to a particular software title as

'THE SOFTWARE FROM HELL'.

I've never used the product they were referring to, so I can't comment about it.

Nevertheless, if PC World had been talking about software from

COREL CORPORATION (Word Perfect, etc), it would have been MOST APPROPRIATE.

COREL SOFTWARE PRODUCTS, IN ALL THEIR FORMS, TRULY DESERVE THE TITLE

****** S O F T W A R E F R O M H E L L ******

because they are buggy (and dysfunctional) beyond belief. Corel products make Microsoft look like the knight in shinning armor, even including Windoze 95.

YOU CAN'T READ INFO LIKE THIS IN THE COMPUTER MAGAZINES BECAUSE COREL SPENDS FAR TOO MUCH MONEY VIA ADVERTISING REVENUE WITH ALL THE COMPUTER PUBLICATIONS, AND THEY DON'T DARE EXPOSE ONE OF THEIR BIGGEST ADVERTISERS, FOR REASONS WHICH SHOULD BE OBVIOUS. THEREFORE, WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO PASS THE WORD VIA NEWS GROUPS AND SIMILAR OUTLETS.

Corel Corporation, a Canadian firm, based in Ottawa, Ontario, has zero regard and zero respect for their customers, and is probably the best example of why Ziff Davis (publishers of dozens of computer magazines, both on the PC and Mac platforms), are now calling for a mandatory (and legally binding) 30, 60 or 90 day software money back guarantee.

Using data from Corel's own website, the following is more than sufficient proof to demonstrate the dysfunctional character of Corel's Word Perfect product:

THE FOLLOWING BUG LIST IS TAKEN FROM COREL'S WORLD WIDE WEBSITE:
==========

ftp://ftp.corel.com/pub/WordPerfect/wpmac/-WPMac ftp Index.html

(scroll way down, almost to the bottom)

What follows is the list of bug fixes initiated by Corel in an attempt to repair their cockroach infested product known as Word Perfect, version 3.5, which can easily be construed as a clear admission of bugs which existed with version 3.5 as shipped to customers on CD ROM. What makes this issue all the more criminal is the fact that Corel refused to inform customers who sent in their warranty registration cards that bug fixes had been released for this product. Corel Corporation refused to send warranty/registered customers the patches on floppy disk, and if this was too expensive, Corel Corporation even refused to send registered customers a postcard informing their customers where they could find the patches on the Internet. Customers were simply left to struggle with a totally dysfunctional product. And customers like myself who finally figured out Corel Word Perfect could NOT be made to function properly, and who first requested assistance, were ignored, and who later requested a refund, were also ignored.

TO THIS DAY, 17 MONTHS AFTER THE PURCHASE OF THE DYSFUNCTION AND COCKROACH INFESTED COREL WORD PERFECT PROGRAM, THIS COMPANY CONTINUES TO IGNORE ALL INTERNET E-MAIL MESSGES AND ALL UNITED STATES AND CANADIAN POSTAL SERVICES LETTERS.

==========

Corel Document ID: 10339

The information in this document applies to:
WordPerfect 3.5 for Macintosh

This Updater works with all versions of Corel WordPerfect 3.5. Including: Novell WordPerfect 3.5, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.1, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 and Corel WordPerfect in the CorelDraw Suite.

The Corel WordPerfect 3.5.3 patch replaces all previous patches for WordPerfect 3.5, including the Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 patch shipped in October of 1996.

Fixes in 3.5.3 patch
==========

- Conversions should now function normally under System 7.6.
- A bug was fixed that repairs some display problems.
- Sporadic warnings that the common library could not be found will no longer occur.

Fixes in 3.5.2 patch
==========

- Text Selection now works properly.

- The Print Envelope feature will print addresses in the correct position on the LaserWriter 8.4 printer driver.

- Deleting to the right at the beginning of a line will no longer cause the cursor to reposition incorrectly in the text above.

- Deleting just before a Style no longer causes the cursor to reposition incorrectly and delete text within the Style.

- Editing a document in ?Show Codes? no longer causes the cursor to reposition incorrectly.

- Headers/Footers with text attributes (bold, underline...) are no longer affected by text attributes that cross a page break.

- Deleting addresses in the Print Envelope delivery or return address window now functions properly.

- The German Dictionary no longer stops and marks incorrect every word that contains a ? (esset).

- The French Dictionary on a Power Macintosh no longer stops and marks incorrect words that contain a ? (acute).

- Delivery Point of a bar code is no longer automatically calculated.

- Import of tables from various document types has been improved.

- The title of the ?WP6.x Export? now reads ?WP6.x,7.x Export? in both the patched and resale CD product from Corel.

- HTML export now saves Corel WordPerfect graphics or .wpg formatted images as JPEG?s.

Fixes in 3.5.1 patch
====================

- Editing some long blocks of Japanese characters will not crash the computer.

- Screen display is faster after scrolling through large documents or tables, or making editing changes.

- Captions can now be positioned above a graphic or text box.

- Return and delivery addresses in Print Envelope will now allow 255 characters (including line breaks).

- Dictionaries are now listed under the Dictionary menu instead of the Check menu.

- Ruler Bar display problems with PCI Power Macintosh computers have been fixed.

- WP 6.x and WP 7.x conversions can now be canceled.

- While working in subdocuments?such as headers or footnotes on black and white screens, Corel WordPerfect does not quit unexpectedly when clicking in the ruler area of the subdocument.

- Zip codes beginning with zero which did not have extended zip code digits now function properly in the Print Envelope feature.

- Font menus in Preferences and Print Envelopes now work properly on small monitors.

- Cleared tabs will no longer unexpectedly reappear on the ruler.

- Hyperlink copy and paste are now working.

- Cell Protection now disables the deletion of the contents of a cell.

- The bottom border of a table which crosses a page break is now visible.

- Patterns in a cell will no longer disappear when performing a Split or Join.

- Joining with the first cell of a table now functions properly.

- Row selection of tables in a text box is now available.

- Scroll bar does not stick when scrolling.

- Corel WordPerfect will use the Language Resource file designed for your system if present, or default to English (USA).

- Page Setup is now enabled in the Print Envelope feature (this allows the selection of paper sizes and envelope trays).

- Changing QuickCorrect preferences with no documents open will no longer crash the computer.

- Tables and Page Borders now work together.

- The PowerTalk Mailer can exceed 4096 characters.

- In the new Corel WordPerfect 3.5 CD-ROM, Norton Utilities will no longer report an error in the WordPerfect Installer Read Me. This fix is not available in the patch, but if you use Norton Utilities, we suggest you delete the WordPerfect Installer Read Me since it is not required for proper operation of the software.

- Corel WordPerfect Dictionaries and QuickCorrect word lists will no longer be replaced during installation.

==========

Postscript:

The above represents the bug list for Word Perfect 3.5, as I purchased this product, these revelations coming directly from Corel's website. Considering all these problems, it is little wonder that Ziff Davis Publishers are now suggesting we have a SOFTWARE LEMON LAW to protect computer consumers from future issues of this sort of software larceny.

If the reader will examine the websites of PC Week, PC World, and a few other leading PC-platform computer magazines, he will discover that Corel's Word Perfect, Suite 8 (the latest offering from Corel) is likewise

full of bugs. Don't take my word for it--- check it out for yourself.

MORAL OF STORY::::

SAVE YOUR MONEY--- DO NOT BUY ANYTHING WITH ���COREL��� ON THE LABEL, WHETHER IT BE FOR IBM COMPATIBLES OR THE MACINTOSH !!!

193. Re: SOFTWARE FROM HELL: "COREL, INC."

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark D. Harnetiaux" <mdharnetiaux@csupomona.edu>
Message-ID: <356B260E.507E84AC@csupomona.edu>
Source record: 1953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

BY YOUR ADMISSION: You've never used the software. WHY WOULD YOU SEND
SUCH A PROCLAMATION. I use the software and have had NO PROBLEMS.

Get a life and quit defaming people and companies you have no experience
writing about.

Mark N6WBC

AND STOP POSTING ON THE HAM NG'S.

J. Wiesenmeyer wrote:
>
> Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:
>
> ***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****
>
> %%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%
>
> HEREï¿½S A TIP TO HELP YOU AVOID SOME FUTURE GRIEF (AND SAVE SOME OF YOUR
> HARD EARNED MONEY)
>
> PC World Magazine, in their February issue made reference to a particular
> software title as
>
> ï¿½THE SOFTWARE FROM HELLï¿½.
>
> Iï¿½ve never used the product they were referring to, so I canï¿½t comment about it.
>
> Nevertheless, if PC World had been talking about software from
>
> COREL CORPORATION (Word Perfect, etc), it would have been MOST APPROPRIATE.
>
> COREL SOFTWARE PRODUCTS, IN ALL THEIR FORMS, TRULY DESERVE THE TITLE
>
> ****** S O F T W A R E F R O M H E L L ******
>
> because they are buggy (and dysfunctional) beyond belief. Corel products
> make Microsoft look like the knight in shinning armor, even including
> Windoze 95.
>
> YOU CANï¿½T READ INFO LIKE THIS IN THE COMPUTER MAGAZINES BECAUSE COREL
> SPENDS FAR TOO MUCH MONEY VIA ADVERTISING REVENUE WITH ALL THE COMPUTER
> PUBLICATIONS, AND THEY DONï¿½T DARE EXPOSE ONE OF THEIR BIGGEST ADVERTISERS,
> FOR REASONS WHICH SHOULD BE OBVIOUS. THEREFORE, WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO PASS

> THE WORD VIA NEWS GROUPS AND SIMILAR OUTLETS.
>
> Corel Corporation, a Canadian firm, based in Ottawa, Ontario, has zero
> regard and zero respect for their customers, and is probably the best
> example of why Ziff Davis (publishers of dozens of computer magazines,
> both on the PC and Mac platforms), are now calling for a mandatory (and
> legally binding) 30, 60 or 90 day software money back guarantee.
>
> Using data from Coreli¿½s own website, the following is more than sufficient
> proof to demonstrate the dysfunctional character of Coreli¿½s Word Perfect
> product:
>
> THE FOLLOWING BUG LIST IS TAKEN FROM CORELi¿½S WORLD WIDE WEBSITE:
> ==========
>
> ftp://ftp.corel.com/pub/WordPerfect/wpmac/-WPMac ftp Index.html
>
> (scroll way down, almost to the bottom)
>
> What follows is the list of bug fixes initiated by Corel in an attempt to
> repair their cockroach infested product known as Word Perfect, version
> 3.5, which can easily be construed as a clear admission of bugs which
> existed with version 3.5 as shipped to customers on CD ROM. What makes
> this issue all the more criminal is the fact that Corel refused to inform
> customers who sent in their warranty registration cards that bug fixes had
> been released for this product. Corel Corporation refused to send
> warranty/registered customers the patches on floppy disk, and if this was
> too expensive, Corel Corporation even refused to send registered customers
> a postcard informing their customers where they could find the patches on
> the Internet. Customers were simply left to struggle with a totally
> dysfunctional product. And customers like myself who finally figured out
> Corel Word Perfect could NOT be made to function properly, and who first
> requested assistance, were ignored, and who later requested a refund, were
> also ignored.
>
> TO THIS DAY, 17 MONTHS AFTER THE PURCHASE OF THE DYSFUNCTION AND COCKROACH
> INFESTED COREL WORD PERFECT PROGRAM, THIS COMPANY CONTINUES TO IGNORE ALL
> INTERNET E-MAIL MESSGES AND ALL UNITED STATES AND CANADIAN POSTAL SERVICES
> LETTERS.
>
> ==========
>
> Corel Document ID: 10339
>
> The information in this document applies to:
> WordPerfect 3.5 for Macintosh
>
> This Updater works with all versions of Corel WordPerfect 3.5. Including:
> Novell WordPerfect 3.5, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.1, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2
> and Corel WordPerfect in the CorelDraw Suite.

>
> The Corel WordPerfect 3.5.3 patch replaces all previous patches for
> WordPerfect 3.5, including the Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 patch shipped in
> October of 1996.
>
> Fixes in 3.5.3 patch
> ======================
>
> - Conversions should now function normally under System 7.6.
> - A bug was fixed that repairs some display problems.
> - Sporadic warnings that the common library could not be found
> will no longer occur.
> Fixes in 3.5.2 patch
> ======================
>
> - Text Selection now works properly.
>
> - The Print Envelope feature will print addresses in the correct position
> on the LaserWriter 8.4 printer driver.
>
> - Deleting to the right at the beginning of a line will no longer cause
> the cursor to reposition incorrectly in the text above.
>
> - Deleting just before a Style no longer causes the cursor to reposition
> incorrectly and delete text within the Style.
>
> - Editing a document in ï¿½Show Codesï¿½ no longer causes the cursor to
> reposition incorrectly.
>
> - Headers/Footers with text attributes (bold, underline...) are no longer
> affected by text attributes that cross a page break.
>
> - Deleting addresses in the Print Envelope delivery or return address
> window now functions properly.
>
> - The German Dictionary no longer stops and marks incorrect every word
> that contains a ï¿½ (esset).
>
> - The French Dictionary on a Power Macintosh no longer stops and marks
> incorrect words that contain a ï¿½ (acute).
>
> - Delivery Point of a bar code is no longer automatically calculated.
>
> - Import of tables from various document types has been improved.
>
> - The title of the ï¿½WP6.x Exportï¿½ now reads ï¿½WP6.x,7.x Exportï¿½ in both
> the patched and resale CD product from Corel.
>
> - HTML export now saves Corel WordPerfect graphics or .wpg formatted
> images as JPEGï¿½s.

>
> Fixes in 3.5.1 patch
> ====================
>
> - Editing some long blocks of Japanese characters will not crash the computer.
>
> - Screen display is faster after scrolling through large documents or
> tables, or making editing changes.
>
> - Captions can now be positioned above a graphic or text box.
>
> - Return and delivery addresses in Print Envelope will now allow 255
> characters (including line breaks).
>
> - Dictionaries are now listed under the Dictionary menu instead of the
> Check menu.
>
> - Ruler Bar display problems with PCI Power Macintosh computers have been
> fixed.
>
> - WP 6.x and WP 7.x conversions can now be canceled.
>
> - While working in subdocumentsï¿½such as headers or footnotes on black and
> white screens, Corel WordPerfect does not quit unexpectedly when clicking
> in the ruler area of the subdocument.
>
> - Zip codes beginning with zero which did not have extended zip code
> digits now function properly in the Print Envelope feature.
>
> - Font menus in Preferences and Print Envelopes now work properly on
> small monitors.
>
> - Cleared tabs will no longer unexpectedly reappear on the ruler.
>
> - Hyperlink copy and paste are now working.
>
> - Cell Protection now disables the deletion of the contents of a cell.
>
> - The bottom border of a table which crosses a page break is now visible.
>
> - Patterns in a cell will no longer disappear when performing a Split or Join.
>
> - Joining with the first cell of a table now functions properly.
>
> - Row selection of tables in a text box is now available.
>
> - Scroll bar does not stick when scrolling.
>
> - Corel WordPerfect will use the Language Resource file designed for your
> system if present, or default to English (USA).

>
> - Page Setup is now enabled in the Print Envelope feature (this allows
> the selection of paper sizes and envelope trays).
>
> - Changing QuickCorrect preferences with no documents open will no longer
> crash the computer.
>
> - Tables and Page Borders now work together.
>
> - The PowerTalk Mailer can exceed 4096 characters.
>
> - In the new Corel WordPerfect 3.5 CD-ROM, Norton Utilities will no
> longer report an error in the WordPerfect Installer Read Me. This fix is
> not available in the patch, but if you use Norton Utilities, we suggest
> you delete the WordPerfect Installer Read Me since it is not required for
> proper operation of the software.
>
> - Corel WordPerfect Dictionaries and QuickCorrect word lists will no
> longer be replaced during installation.
>
> ==
>
> Postscript:
>
> The above represents the bug list for Word Perfect 3.5, as I purchased
> this product, these revelations coming directly from Corelï¿½s website.
> Considering all these problems, it is little wonder that Ziff Davis
> Publishers are now suggesting we have a SOFTWARE LEMON LAW to protect
> computer consumers from future issues of this sort of software larceny.
>
> If the reader will examine the websites of PC Week, PC World, and a few
> other leading PC-platform computer magazines, he will discover that
> Corel's Word Perfect, Suite 8 (the latest offering from Corel) is likewise
> full of bugs. Don't take my word for it--- check it out for yourself.
>
> MORAL OF STORY::::
>
> SAVE YOUR MONEY--- DO NOT BUY ANYTHING WITH ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½CORELï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ ON THE LABEL,
> WHETHER IT BE FOR IBM COMPATIBLES OR THE MACINTOSH !!!

194. MFJ4035MV USER OPINIONS??

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd88e3$7b972a60$9c58cccf@default>
Source record: 1954
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I am considering the purchase of this power supply.I would appreciate any opinions, pro or con, on this power supply.
Thanks,
Glenn

195. Re: SOFTWARE FROM HELL: "COREL, INC."

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgoin@ime.net
Message-ID: <6kfvdh$8lv$2@news.harvard.net>
Source record: 1955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

What a silly rant, buttressed by the PC (politically correct) Ziff-Davis marketing machine.

If you are going to use a Mac, why not make asute choices regarding what applications function well on it? Many do the WP job emminently on a Mac.

I've been using WPWIN 6.0 & 6.1 for a long time in pers & biz, done all manner of DTP with it, selling several millions in R/E with its ease of producing excellent flyers & brochures beyond the far more costly R/E software. It is a powerhouse product that has more OPERABLE features than anyone can learn in four years (or needs). Support has always been shitty - and it is everywhere unless it's at $24.99 per call - and Micro$loth is surely no better; even their website knowledge base is inferior to their old BBS.

The only beef I've had with WP it it's gargantuan size and resource-hogging - but look at MS Word, a big dog if there ever was one, woth far less to offer (I run it as well - as little as possible).

You'd think someone into the hands-on & tech know-how to mess with BA's would be away from the dumbed-down Mac & Windoze OS's, and into unix, with which you actually control your own box (and have to know something).

Boy, this submitter REALLY helped me fix boatanchors, yes?

196. Re: SOFTWARE FROM HELL:

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark D. Harnetiaux" <mdharnetiaux@csupomona.edu>
Message-ID: <356DCA84.6FFAB7BE@csupomona.edu>
Source record: 1956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Interesting. You accuse and you name the Bill of Rights. I suspect that you haven't heard the Court's decision that the First Amendment does NOT include the right to slander and defame. That, sir, is what you are doing. By your own post, you admit that you do have experience with the software.

My sympathies to the others you flame and revel against. My free speech rights to make a post and an e-mail based on facts is apparently the target of your hate mail. By name calling and insinuation you reveal your true meaning and intention.

kula gorilla wrote:

> >
> >BY YOUR ADMISSION: You've never used the software. WHY WOULD YOU SEND
> >SUCH A PROCLAMATION. I use the software and have had NO PROBLEMS.
> >
> >Get a life and quit defaming people and companies you have no experie=
> >nce
> >writing about.
> >
> >
> >Mark N6WBC
> >
> >AND STOP POSTING ON THE HAM NG'S.
>
> ==========
> ==========
>
> Sir:
>
> Youï¿½re wasting your time sending messages here like you have.
>
> It is obvious you have the mentality of Chairman Mao, Josef Stalin or
> Adolf Hitler.
>
> You wish to {{{ CONTORL EVERYONES THINKING }}}. **** YOU *** are going
> to be the one to decide what others can say, RIGHT???????????????
>
> YOU will decide what is free speech, what is fit to post, etc, right???
>
> I got news for you, buddy. It wonï¿½t work. You keep fucking around and
> Iï¿½ll file a civil complaint in court against you, FEDERAL COURT, as a
> First Amendment violation. And please, complain to my ISP. That will

> give me a witness, which is all I need to get you convicted.
>
> I donï¿½t give a damn about your dictatorial, ill-mannered, Stalin-like
> personality. The message header was very clear and unambiguous.
>
> So the question becomes, WHY DID YOU CLICK ON MY MESSAGE, WHEN YOU KNEW
> IT WAS NOTHING THAT INTERESTED YOU??????????????????????
>
> See, youï¿½re the moron baffoon. Youï¿½re the little tyrant that wants to
> lock up everyone whoï¿½s opinion you disagree with.
>
> So, go take a fucking hike on a short pier, and if you know whatï¿½s good
> for you, youï¿½ll leave me the fuck alone.
>
> Jack Wiesenmeyer
> billieweenie@hotmail.com
>
> You are even worse than most of the shit that winds up coming here. You
> say I've never used the product. Are you nuts??????
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >J. Wiesenmeyer wrote:
> >>=20
> >> Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:
> >> I=B9ve never used the product they were referring to, so I can=B9t =
> >comment about it.
> >>=20

197. Re: SOFTWARE FROM HELL: "COREL, INC."

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hapticz <hapticz@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#IcOe#qi9GA.180@upnetnews02.moswest.msn.net>
Source record: 1957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

this turn is mine,

i thank the gates of earth, for the fine and coherent work done by MICROSOFT Corporation, its employees, and subsidiaries.

i prefer to have one big monopolistic behemoth of a company dishing out the usual stuff, cause then we know who to complain to.

every time someone, some government, some other whining competitor, some greedy lawyer or anybody tries to screw up a well run company like MICROSOFT, just remember that in 90 percent of the cases, we the consumer have paid MORE after they did it and got LESS in return.

Corel, well, thats just another company that just "aint got it all together!"

they will eventually fail and disappear, hopefully, unless some whining buerocrat with stock or a PAC donation in his pocket tries to "save" the consumers interests !!! ha ah ha!

now i feel better. bye!

198. TS-930SAT/TL922 FS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Maxwell <wmaxw@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <356F8765.8E5AF458@concentric.net>
Source record: 1958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

I am listing this for Jack W1VDF, please contact him at (603)-964-9858.

Kenwood TS-930SAT with MC-80 mic and SP930 speaker $ 900.00
Kenwood TL-922 Linear amp 80-10 meters $1200.00
Kenwood SM-220 with BS-8 panadapter $ 350.00
Kenwood SW-2100 power and swr meter $ 100.00
Kenwood PC-1A phone patch $ 75.00
MFJ Deluxe keyer with chrome bencher paddle $ 125.00

Will sell as package for $2500.00

73 Wayne KE1EQ

199. IC-271A and amp FS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Maxwell <wmaxw@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <356F8881.8D7FAEF@concentric.net>
Source record: 1959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

I am listing this for Jack W1VDF, please contact him at (603) 964-9858.

Icom IC-271A 2 meter-all mode-25 watt $ 500.00
Dawia LA 2180H 150 watt 2 meter amp $ 350.00
Astron RS-35M power supply $ 150.00
Digital power meter 0-200 watts $ 25.00

Will sell as package for $800.00

73 Wayne KE1EQ

200. Re: SOFTWARE FRAUD ALERT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: !*dimitrip@tiac.net
Message-ID: <3570b07e.13919206@news.ecom.net>
Source record: 1960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

For one thing...we have no clue who the hell you are since you are
posting from a hotmail address. So please feel free to feel ignored!
I hope someone with more money and more time finds you and sues your
sorry existence. Or even better pays you a visit with Vinnie and Tony.

So in the meantime...take notice that most software companies web
sites list known bugs BECAUSE THEY RESPECT THEIR CUSTOMERS and they
want to keep the support lines open.
All alive humans breathe, and all software has bugs. Period.

You are out of order posting a mental barf like this.
Maybe you are frustrated. maybe you are mental. maybe you are a barf
and nothing else.

Go away and don't waste my time.

Say Hi to Vinnie. (if you see him coming)

D

billieweenie@hotmail.com (J. Wiesenmeyer) wrote:

>Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:
>
>
>***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****
>
>%%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%
>
>
>HERE’S A TIP TO HELP YOU AVOID SOME FUTURE GRIEF (AND SAVE SOME OF YOUR
>HARD EARNED MONEY)
>
>PC World Magazine, in their February issue made reference to a particular
>software title as
>
>“THE SOFTWARE FROM HELL”.
>
>I’ve never used the product they were referring to, so I can’t comment about it.
>
>Nevertheless, if PC World had been talking about software from
>
>
>COREL CORPORATION (Word Perfect, etc), it would have been MOST APPROPRIATE.

>
>COREL SOFTWARE PRODUCTS, IN ALL THEIR FORMS, TRULY DESERVE THE TITLE
>
>
>****** S O F T W A R E F R O M H E L L ******
>
>
>because they are buggy (and dysfunctional) beyond belief. Corel products
>make Microsoft look like the knight in shinning armor, even including
>Windoze 95.
>
>YOU CAN'T READ INFO LIKE THIS IN THE COMPUTER MAGAZINES BECAUSE COREL
>SPENDS FAR TOO MUCH MONEY VIA ADVERTISING REVENUE WITH ALL THE COMPUTER
>PUBLICATIONS, AND THEY DON'T DARE EXPOSE ONE OF THEIR BIGGEST ADVERTISERS,
>FOR REASONS WHICH SHOULD BE OBVIOUS. THEREFORE, WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO PASS
>THE WORD VIA NEWS GROUPS AND SIMILAR OUTLETS.
>
>Corel Corporation, a Canadian firm, based in Ottawa, Ontario, has zero
>regard and zero respect for their customers, and is probably the best
>example of why Ziff Davis (publishers of dozens of computer magazines,
>both on the PC and Mac platforms), are now calling for a mandatory (and
>legally binding) 30, 60 or 90 day software money back guarantee.
>
>Using data from Corel's own website, the following is more than sufficient
>proof to demonstrate the dysfunctional character of Corel's Word Perfect
>product:
>
>
>
>THE FOLLOWING BUG LIST IS TAKEN FROM COREL'S WORLD WIDE WEBSITE:
>==
>
>ftp://ftp.corel.com/pub/WordPerfect/wpmac/-WPMac ftp Index.html
>
> (scroll way down, almost to the bottom)
>
>
>What follows is the list of bug fixes initiated by Corel in an attempt to
>repair their cockroach infested product known as Word Perfect, version
>3.5, which can easily be construed as a clear admission of bugs which
>existed with version 3.5 as shipped to customers on CD ROM. What makes
>this issue all the more criminal is the fact that Corel refused to inform
>customers who sent in their warranty registration cards that bug fixes had
>been released for this product. Corel Corporation refused to send
>warranty/registered customers the patches on floppy disk, and if this was
>too expensive, Corel Corporation even refused to send registered customers
>a postcard informing their customers where they could find the patches on
>the Internet. Customers were simply left to struggle with a totally
>dysfunctional product. And customers like myself who finally figured out

>Corel Word Perfect could NOT be made to function properly, and who first
>requested assistance, were ignored, and who later requested a refund, were
>also ignored.
>
>TO THIS DAY, 17 MONTHS AFTER THE PURCHASE OF THE DYSFUNCTION AND COCKROACH
>INFESTED COREL WORD PERFECT PROGRAM, THIS COMPANY CONTINUES TO IGNORE ALL
>INTERNET E-MAIL MESSGES AND ALL UNITED STATES AND CANADIAN POSTAL SERVICES
>LETTERS.
>
>
>==========
>
>
>Corel Document ID: 10339
>
>
>The information in this document applies to:
>WordPerfect 3.5 for Macintosh
>
>This Updater works with all versions of Corel WordPerfect 3.5. Including:
>Novell WordPerfect 3.5, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.1, Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2
>and Corel WordPerfect in the CorelDraw Suite.
>
>The Corel WordPerfect 3.5.3 patch replaces all previous patches for
>WordPerfect 3.5, including the Corel WordPerfect 3.5.2 patch shipped in
>October of 1996.
>
>
>Fixes in 3.5.3 patch
>==========
>
>- Conversions should now function normally under System 7.6.
>- A bug was fixed that repairs some display problems.
>- Sporadic warnings that the common library could not be found
> will no longer occur.
>Fixes in 3.5.2 patch
>==========
>
>
>- Text Selection now works properly.
>
>- The Print Envelope feature will print addresses in the correct position
>on the LaserWriter 8.4 printer driver.
>
>- Deleting to the right at the beginning of a line will no longer cause
>the cursor to reposition incorrectly in the text above.
>
>- Deleting just before a Style no longer causes the cursor to reposition
>incorrectly and delete text within the Style.

>
>- Editing a document in ﷺShow Codesﷺ no longer causes the cursor to
>reposition incorrectly.
>
>- Headers/Footers with text attributes (bold, underline...) are no longer
>affected by text attributes that cross a page break.
>
>- Deleting addresses in the Print Envelope delivery or return address
>window now functions properly.
>
>- The German Dictionary no longer stops and marks incorrect every word
>that contains a ﷺ (esset).
>
>- The French Dictionary on a Power Macintosh no longer stops and marks
>incorrect words that contain a ﷺ (acute).
>
>- Delivery Point of a bar code is no longer automatically calculated.
>
>- Import of tables from various document types has been improved.
>
>- The title of the ﷺWP6.x Exportﷺ now reads ﷺWP6.x,7.x Exportﷺ in both
>the patched and resale CD product from Corel.
>
>- HTML export now saves Corel WordPerfect graphics or .wpg formatted
>images as JPEGﷺs.
>
>
>
>Fixes in 3.5.1 patch
>======================
>
>- Editing some long blocks of Japanese characters will not crash the computer.
>
>- Screen display is faster after scrolling through large documents or
>tables, or making editing changes.
>
>- Captions can now be positioned above a graphic or text box.
>
>- Return and delivery addresses in Print Envelope will now allow 255
>characters (including line breaks).
>
>- Dictionaries are now listed under the Dictionary menu instead of the
>Check menu.
>
>- Ruler Bar display problems with PCI Power Macintosh computers have been
>fixed.
>
>- WP 6.x and WP 7.x conversions can now be canceled.
>

>- While working in subdocuments•such as headers or footnotes on black and
>white screens, Corel WordPerfect does not quit unexpectedly when clicking
>in the ruler area of the subdocument.
>
>- Zip codes beginning with zero which did not have extended zip code
>digits now function properly in the Print Envelope feature.
>
>
>- Font menus in Preferences and Print Envelopes now work properly on
>small monitors.
>
>
>- Cleared tabs will no longer unexpectedly reappear on the ruler.
>
>- Hyperlink copy and paste are now working.
>
>- Cell Protection now disables the deletion of the contents of a cell.
>
>- The bottom border of a table which crosses a page break is now visible.
>
>- Patterns in a cell will no longer disappear when performing a Split or Join.
>
>- Joining with the first cell of a table now functions properly.
>
>- Row selection of tables in a text box is now available.
>
>- Scroll bar does not stick when scrolling.
>
>- Corel WordPerfect will use the Language Resource file designed for your
>system if present, or default to English (USA).
>
>- Page Setup is now enabled in the Print Envelope feature (this allows
>the selection of paper sizes and envelope trays).
>
>- Changing QuickCorrect preferences with no documents open will no longer
>crash the computer.
>
>- Tables and Page Borders now work together.
>
>- The PowerTalk Mailer can exceed 4096 characters.
>
>- In the new Corel WordPerfect 3.5 CD-ROM, Norton Utilities will no
>longer report an error in the WordPerfect Installer Read Me. This fix is
>not available in the patch, but if you use Norton Utilities, we suggest
>you delete the WordPerfect Installer Read Me since it is not required for
>proper operation of the software.
>
>- Corel WordPerfect Dictionaries and QuickCorrect word lists will no
>longer be replaced during installation.

>
>==
>
>Postscript:
>
>
>The above represents the bug list for Word Perfect 3.5, as I purchased
>this product, these revelations coming directly from Corel's website.
>Considering all these problems, it is little wonder that Ziff Davis
>Publishers are now suggesting we have a SOFTWARE LEMON LAW to protect
>computer consumers from future issues of this sort of software larceny.
>
>If the reader will examine the websites of PC Week, PC World, and a few
>other leading PC-platform computer magazines, he will discover that
>Corel's Word Perfect, Suite 8 (the latest offering from Corel) is likewise
>full of bugs. Don't take my word for it--- check it out for yourself.
>
>MORAL OF STORY::::
>
>
>SAVE YOUR MONEY--- DO NOT BUY ANYTHING WITH ���COREL��� ON THE LABEL,
>WHETHER IT BE FOR IBM COMPATIBLES OR THE MACINTOSH !!!

```
------------------------------------------------------------
while you are passing out the spam, don't forget these guys.
root@localhost
$LOGNAME@localhost
abuse@tiac.net
$LOGIN@localhost
$USER@$HOST
$USER@localhost
------------------------------------------------
```

201. FS:SILENT KEY ESTATE SALE ICOM HF AZDEN VHF MORE....

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ronny Julian <vwtruck@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <35735C3B.6322B472@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 1961
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

List of items for sale from XYL of silent key K4IR.
She would like cash for these items. Please E-MAIL me at
kd4ixr@juno.com
Item : Model No. : Manual : Price

MFJ Versa Tuner MFJ989C YES
$200.00
Azden 2M FM Mobile Xcvr PCS-5000 YES
$150.00
Kenwood Power supply PS-6 YES $70.00

Shure Desk Microphone 444 NO $50.00

CDE Xmit/Rcv Direction control YES $50.00

Azden 2M FM Mobile Xcvr PCS-700H YES
$150.00
Icom HF Xcvr IC-751A YES
$700.00
Icom Power supply IC-PS15 YES
$100.00

Terms are Cashiers check or Money order and buyer pays
shipping/insurance costs. If within north Georgia I can deliver. This
is excellent equipment and very well maintained.

Please direct all replys to KD4IXR@juno.com as I cannot check into
rec.radio.swap all the time. Thanks!

202. FS: Icom IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmtjm@atlantic.net
Message-ID: <35737f2a.22909148@news.atlantic.net>
Source record: 1962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

For Sale
Icom IC-R9000 Receiver in excellent condition. Full details on Ebay at
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=15960704
Thank You
Michael

203. Re: SOFTWARE FRAUD ALERT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <35791e6a.13627508@news.snet.net>
Source record: 1963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur

On Sun, 31 May 1998 01:26:34 GMT, !*dimitrip@ tiac.net (Dimitri P.)
wrote:

>billieweenie@hotmail.com (J. Wiesenmeyer) wrote:
>
>>Attention Fellow Computer Enthusiast:
>>
>>
>>***** C O M P U T E R S O F T W A R E F R A U D A L E R T *****
>>
>>%%%%%%%%%% C O R E L S O F T W A R E E X P O S E D %%%%%%%%%%
>>
>>
>>HEREï¿½S A TIP TO HELP YOU AVOID SOME FUTURE GRIEF (AND SAVE SOME OF YOUR
>>HARD EARNED MONEY)
>>
>>PC World Magazine, in their February issue made reference to a particular
>>software title as
>>
>>ï¿½THE SOFTWARE FROM HELLï¿½.
>>
>>Iï¿½ve never used the product they were referring to, so I canï¿½t comment about it.
>>
>>Nevertheless, if PC World had been talking about software from
>>
>>
>>COREL CORPORATION (Word Perfect, etc), it would have been MOST APPROPRIATE.
>>
>>COREL SOFTWARE PRODUCTS, IN ALL THEIR FORMS, TRULY DESERVE THE TITLE
>>
>>
>>****** S O F T W A R E F R O M H E L L ******
>>
>>
>>because they are buggy (and dysfunctional) beyond belief. Corel products
>>make Microsoft look like the knight in shinning armor, even including
>>Windoze 95.
>>
>>YOU CANï¿½T READ INFO LIKE THIS IN THE COMPUTER MAGAZINES BECAUSE COREL
>>SPENDS FAR TOO MUCH MONEY VIA ADVERTISING REVENUE WITH ALL THE COMPUTER
>>PUBLICATIONS, AND THEY DONï¿½T DARE EXPOSE ONE OF THEIR BIGGEST ADVERTISERS,
>>FOR REASONS WHICH SHOULD BE OBVIOUS. THEREFORE, WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO PASS
>>THE WORD VIA NEWS GROUPS AND SIMILAR OUTLETS.

>>
>>Corel Corporation, a Canadian firm, based in Ottawa, Ontario, has zero
>>regard and zero respect for their customers, and is probably the best
>>example of why Ziff Davis (publishers of dozens of computer magazines,
>>both on the PC and Mac platforms), are now calling for a mandatory (and
>>legally binding) 30, 60 or 90 day software money back guarantee.
>>
>>Using data from Coreli¿½s own website, the following is more than sufficient
>>proof to demonstrate the dysfunctional character of Coreli¿½s Word Perfect
>>product:

>>The information in this document applies to:
>>WordPerfect 3.5 for Macintosh
^^^^^^^^^
THis explains everything.

WHo the hell is going to spend dollars fixing software for a
soon-to-be DEAD platform made by a company without a full-time CEO?

Anyways, I thought you stupid Apple People NEVER,EVER had any problems
with your Mac.

Get a REAL computer!

204. Re: ***** FS: HTX-202 2 Meter Ham Radio - Still Avail. *****

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RA <ralph_aguirre@hp.com>
Message-ID: <3576A224.41CD@hp.com>
Source record: 1964
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.amtor.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Randy Schumann wrote:
>
> STILL AVAILABLE!
>
> Radio Shack HTX-202 2 Meter Amateur VHF*FM Transceiver
> $150 obo
>
> This is in the original box with everything that originally came with it:
> antenna, charger, ni-cad batt. pack, alkaline batt. case, and manual
>
> Bought brand new at the $199.99 price a few months ago. Only fiddled with
> it a couple times to see how it operates. Have studied for most of the
> test, but too busy with work to have much time to finish and get the
> license.
>
> This radio is extremely close to brand new. No physical blemishes
> whatsoever. Please email if interested. May also consider trades for other
> radios.
>
> Randy
> Fort Walton Beach, Fl

Randy, does that radio have an upward facing speaker and can you
lock the front panel controls so no one (kids) can mess with it? If so,
please let me know. I'm looking for a base 2 meter mobile rig with
those features so I can leave the rig on but lock everything so my kids
cant mess with the rig when its on. Upward facing speaker is so the
sound doesnt go into the power supply instead of in the air.
If now, would you know of a radio with these features?

Ralph

205. Help me find this mobile 2 meter rig with these features?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RA <ralph_aguirre@hp.com>
Message-ID: <3576A332.48D4@hp.com>
Source record: 1965
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.amtor.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a VHF only rig with an upward facing speaker and a front panel lock that can lock all controls while still leaving the radio functional. I need one like this so I can use it as a base rig and leave it turned on all the time so kids cant play with the knobs and controls. If anyone knows of a new rig like this for sale, please let me know of the model number and type. I have a Yaesu FT-2500 with a locking front panel but it has a downward facing speaker. The upward facing speaker is prefered for better audio while sitting on a power supply. I am limited in space so I really dont have room for an external speaker.

Thanks,

Ralph / AA6WW

206. Re: ***** FS: HTX-202 2 Meter Ham Radio - Still Avail. *****

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Peter S. Rabinowitz" <mrabino@admin.con2.com.ns>
Message-ID: <3576B908.98A50D6E@admin.con2.com.ns>
Source record: 1966
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.amtor.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Randy, this radio is a handheld (aka HT). It sounds like you want a base station.

> > Radio Shack HTX-202 2 Meter Amateur VHF*FM Transceiver $150 obo

> Randy, does that radio have an upward facing speaker and can you
> lock the front panel controls so no one (kids) can mess with it? If so,
> please let me know. I'm looking for a base 2 meter mobile rig with
> those features so I can leave the rig on but lock everything so my kids
> cant mess with the rig when its on. Upward facing speaker is so the
> sound doesnt go into the power supply instead of in the air.
> If now, would you know of a radio with these features?

--- Peter Rabinowitz KC2DPT
To reply, remove the "ns." from the address. Fight spam!

207. ic-r10 mod???? info?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat <Patrick@earth.com>
Message-ID: <6l6qdk$2h0$1@usenet47.supernews.com>
Source record: 1967
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.am,alt.ham-radio.amtor,alt.ham-radio.atv,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio

I've been doing some research and discovered that the R-10 has two production dates? And the mod that was going around for the gaps will not work for the later production date?

Can you get one new that is in the old date? Are their mods for the new production date?

any info will help mail to
PatLit@seanet.com

208. Wanted:Dust Plug For Bird Wattmeter

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9192$eec543c0$9e58cccf@default>
Source record: 1968
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

I am looking for a dust plug for a Bird 43 or Coaxial Dynamics Wattmeters.
If anyone has one that they no longer need please reply to me direct with
info.
73 esTnx,
Glenn

209. Re: 6m HT amp

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Erik Skovgaard <Erik_Skovgaard@bc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <6ld6k3lrf1@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 1969
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Doug,

Do you have any telephone number and/or URL?

73 de VE7MDL ....Erik.

mirage wrote in message <6kp37vkjq1@news.eclipse.net>...
>commercial amp made by TPL
>specs
>freq. 35-50mhz
>1-6w in
>20-40w out
>model pa1-1ac
>13.8vdc
>very easy to tweak on 6
>$45
>Doug
>
>
>

210. WTB: Kenwood TH-42AT and/or TSU-8

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghopper@eskimo.com
Message-ID: <ghopper-0606982220270001@ghopper.ndip.eskimo.net>
Source record: 1970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur

Looking for a Kenwood TH-42AT in good condition. prefer it with a TSU-8 installed.

Also looking for a seperate TSU-8 for my TH-22AT.

Please e-mail ghopper@eskimo.com with offers for sale.

G Hopper
KB7WSD

--
G.F. Hopper
ghopper@eskimo.com
KB7WSD

211. ** Press Release ** Ham Radio Repeater Directories **

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: WorldXN <kamikazi@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <357B9A3A.976B741E@geocities.com>
Source record: 1877
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hello,

Thanks for responding to our Press Release. We our excited to
announce the all new 1998 line of Ham Radio Repeater Directories. They
can be found at...

http://www.rotd.com/worldxn/

These exciting directories are great for Amateurs on the
move, or those who would like to take advantage of the many Repeaters in

their Area. We encourage you to visit our site and would appreciate any
feedback you offer.

Jennifer R
World Express Network
http://www.rotd.com/worldxn/

212. freq. change MT500??help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6ll04rria1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 1878
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

Say, how hard is it to re-freq. an old single channel MT500 VHF?? Either
146.970 minus split or 151.625 simplex. It appears to have a channel element
instead of the regular crystal setup. Ideas?? email please!
thanks,
Randy

213. Re: freq. change MT500??help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: anonymous@hostnet.com
Message-ID: <357ED71A.3B42@hostnet.com>
Source record: 1879
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

```
The Crew wrote:
>
> Say, how hard is it to re-freq. an old single channel MT500 VHF?? Either
> 146.970 minus split or 151.625 simplex. It appears to have a channel element
> instead of the regular crystal setup. Ideas?? email please!
> thanks,
> Randy
Frequency Management in Huntington Beach, CA will do it for $30 an
element, you supply the donor element they modify.
```

214. For Sale: HTX 100 10meter ssb and cw

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6lp3hq$6qv$1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1880
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: HTX 100 10meter ssb and cw.

Radio is practically new and no scratches, in mint condition. Includes microphone and mobile bracket and microphone hang up clip plus power cord. I do not have the original box and the manual was lost in a fire.

Asking $175.00 or best offer plus shipping.
If interested contact via email at n0eoq@6mt.com

Thank you George n0eoq

215. OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9571$365dc540$b658cccf@default>
Source record: 1881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
Supplies.Any
info is appreciated.
Thanks,
Glenn

216. FS: Antenna... Cushcraft R-5 10/12/15/17/20M Vertical antenna, new!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Bransford <jerryb@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3580B133.54C1@cts.com>
Source record: 1882
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham.radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur,dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Well, near new... it was up literally about 1 hour before my backyard
neighbor demanded that I take it down as it didn't conform to our CC&Rs.
My loss, your gain.

Best offer, it's packed up and in it's original packaging material.
Write me directly at jerryb@cts.com as I seldom make it to these
newsgroups.

73
Jerry
--
Jerry Bransford
To send me email, remove the 'nospam' from my address.
PP-ASEL, C.A.P., KC6TAY
The Zen hotdog... make me one with everything!

217. Re: OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <6lqi7j$5fs@groucho.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 1883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

"Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net> writes:
>I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
>Supplies.

I don't have any experience with the switching ones, but I've owned 3
of their linear supplies of various output power. On all of them
there was inadequate thermal grease between the power transistors
and the heat sink. On all of them, the power transistor cases
are connected to the positive side of the unregulated supply, which
could cause some nice sparks if the transistor cases ever touch
something connected to the supply negative terminal. None of them
seem to have enough heat sink area to supply rated output continuously
while keeping transistor temperatures reasonable without fan cooling.

And on the 15-20 amp version, there are four 2N3055's connected in
parallel as the output pass transistor, with no emitter resistors.
Thus, the transitors don't share the current equally 4 ways.

I ended up fixing up all of them - adding heat sink compound and
a plastic cover over the transistor(s) on all of the supplies,
and adding emitter resistors to the high-current supply. After
these changes, all of the supplies have worked fine.

So, I'm not impressed with the quality of design or assembly of the
Samlex linears. But, with a little cleanup, they seem to make decent
simple supplies that cost a lot less than any alternatives I've seen
around here.

If I bought one of the switchers, I'd probably take it apart and look
for similar problem spots. On the other hand, the Astron switchers
aren't much more expensive than the Samlex switchers here, and the
Astrons do seem to be better made. In addition, the Astrons have
overvoltage and overcurrent protection - the Samlex ones don't as
far as I can see. So it may be worth looking at the Astrons too.

Dave

218. Re: Antenna... Cushcraft R-5 10/12/15/17/20M Vertical antenna, new!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4ABC <w4abc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6lqir8$8c1$1@gte1.gte.net>
Source record: 1884
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham.radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur,dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Place a piece of PVC pipe over it, and hang an American Flag from it, and
see what his objection to being an American is about!

73, de W4ABC

"The Second Amendment, really should be the first,
in that it is the one that protects all the others."

Charleton Heston - Vice President NRA

Jerry Bransford wrote in message <3580B133.54C1@cts.com>...
>Well, near new... it was up literally about 1 hour before my backyard
>neighbor demanded that I take it down as it didn't conform to our CC&Rs.
>My loss, your gain.
>
>Best offer, it's packed up and in it's original packaging material.
>Write me directly at jerryb@cts.com as I seldom make it to these
>newsgroups.
>
>73
>Jerry
>--
>Jerry Bransford
>To send me email, remove the 'nospam' from my address.
>PP-ASEL, C.A.P., KC6TAY
>The Zen hotdog... make me one with everything!

219. Re: R100:username@ballistic.com Help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6lqhfkska1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 1885
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

ok, No name, no signature, email failed, returned to me. What gives? Are
you legit?? anyone??
thanks,
Randy
>
>Hi. Have a R100 UHF repeater. Make an offer. Like new. Have >manual with
it.
>Also have two Motorola Radius P-100 HT's that can go with it. Make >an
offer.l

Reporting-MTA: dns; main.citynet.net
Received-From-MTA: DNS; ch4-159.citynet.net
Arrival-Date: Fri, 12 Jun 1998 02:07:21 -0400

Received: from main.citynet.net for xxxxxxxxx
with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.10 1996/09/06) Fri Jun 12 05:26:25 1998
X-From_: username@ballistic.com Thu Jun 11 20:33:32 1998
Return-Path: <username@ballistic.com>
Received: from mail.ballistic.com (mail.ballistic.com [208.211.146.4])
by main.citynet.net (8.8.7/8.8.7) with ESMTP id UAA10800
for <dc@cit>; Thu, 11 Jun 1998 20:33:31 -0400
Received: from tyr8400 (tpm6-69.ballistic.com [208.211.147.69]) by
mail.ballistic.com (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id TAA10116 for <dc@ci>; Thu, 11
Jun 1998 19:00:27 -0500 (CDT)
Message-Id: <199806120000.TAA10116@mail.ballistic.com>
From: username@ballistic.com (Real Name)
To: dc@cityne
Subject: Re: *R100 UHF repeater wanted
Date: Fri, 12 Jun 1998 00:35:15 GMT

220. Re: Antenna... Cushcraft R-5 10/12/15/17/20M Vertical antenna, new!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michel Morin <morinm@csdgs.qc.ca>
Message-ID: <mN8g1.1690$k93.4926192@carnaval.risq.qc.ca>
Source record: 1886
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham.radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur,dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I thought that the U.S. was a free country! In Quebec, we don't have that kind of problem. If your neighbour doesn't like your antenna, he only has to look elsewhere. You could fix some bird houses to the antenna and say it is a support of some kind. Good luck.

73 de VE2CJW.

Jerry Bransford a crit dans le message <3580B133.54C1@cts.com>...
>Well, near new... it was up literally about 1 hour before my backyard
>neighbor demanded that I take it down as it didn't conform to our CC&Rs.
>My loss, your gain.
>
>Best offer, it's packed up and in it's original packaging material.
>Write me directly at jerryb@cts.com as I seldom make it to these
>newsgroups.
>
>73
>Jerry
>--
>Jerry Bransford
>To send me email, remove the 'nospam' from my address.
>PP-ASEL, C.A.P., KC6TAY
>The Zen hotdog... make me one with everything!

221. Re: OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Nicastri <tnic@IDT.NET>
Message-ID: <6lr6ms$no@nnrp3.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 1887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

The Samlex supplies are good. THey are very small. I have the 1212 which puts out about 10 amps cont. I used it for over a year with a 10 amp mobil radio. It worked great. I recently ordered the 23 amp model for $80 from Techamerica 1-800-877-0072 Item # 910-3916. Samlex provides a 3 year warranty on it!

I had no noise at all generated with it.

I think its a good product for a good price.

Samlex has a web page.

In rec.radio.amateur.equipment Glenn J. Torres <radioman@communique.net> wrote:
> I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
> Supplies.Any
> info is appreciated.
> Thanks,
> Glenn

222. Re: Antenna... Cushcraft R-5 10/12/15/17/20M Vertical antenna, new!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Roger A. McCarty" <rmccarty@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6lrdma$t53$1@chile.it.earthlink.net>
Source record: 1888
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham.radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur,dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Michel Morin wrote in message ...
>I thought that the U.S. was a free country!

Now that's!.....funny!

223. Re: Antenna... Cushcraft R-5 10/12/15/17/20M Vertical antenna, new!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4ABC <w4abc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6lrj5u$4g$1@gte2.gte.net>
Source record: 1889
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham.radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur,dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Roger A. McCarty wrote in message <6lrdma$t53$1@chile.it.earthlink.net>...
>
>Michel Morin wrote in message ...
>>I thought that the U.S. was a free country!
>
>
>Now that's!.........funny!

You're probably laughing because you know freedom isn't free-- RIGHT?

de W4ABC Jon Pearl
>
>
>
>

224. Re: FS: Antenna... Cushcraft R-5 10/12/15/17/20M Vertical antenna, new!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Huff <dhuff@popmail1.vcd.hp.com>
Message-ID: <35818DB5.4FC0@popmail1.vcd.hp.com>
Source record: 1890
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham.radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur,dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Jerry Bransford wrote:
>
> Well, near new... it was up literally about 1 hour before my backyard
> neighbor demanded that I take it down as it didn't conform to our CC&Rs.
> My loss, your gain.
>
> Best offer, it's packed up and in it's original packaging material.
> Write me directly at jerryb@cts.com as I seldom make it to these
> newsgroups.
>
> 73
> Jerry
> --
> Jerry Bransford
> To send me email, remove the 'nospam' from my address.
> PP-ASEL, C.A.P., KC6TAY
> The Zen hotdog... make me one with everything!

Hi Jerry OM,
Sorry to hear your plight with the Conformist Nazis. I now regularly
see real estate flyers with "NO CC&R's" prominently posted on the sheet,
as an added plus for the buyer. Maybe, slowly, things are a-changin',
as more people become disgusted with signing over their property rights
to their neighbors for zero cost. Never did like Communism...

73 and GL on the new QTH!

Don, W6JL

225. Re: OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <wherever@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <358198C3.91F8761@pacbell.net>
Source record: 1891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

I also bought 2 of the SEC1223 Samlex 23 amp supplies from TechAmerica
and all I can say is that they are great! The price is a steal. HRO was selling
them
for $120, but just lowered their price (I was told) to $100.

They create no discernable hash of any kind. The switching frequency is 50KHz,
(which is not published, but is accurate). They have a fan which comes on at 70
deg. C and at 100 deg. C the whole unit shuts down (thermally) until the temp
drops.

It has total short circuit protection in that a direct short pulls the voltage down
to zero
(obviously), but draws NO current, and then recovers right away when the short is
lifted. They are NOT ICS rated (as is the SEC 1212 - 12AMP version). Even though
you may see literature to that effect. If seen it is a mistake (direct from the
factory).
The upper limit is 25 amps, and when that amount of power drain is reached, it is
maxed out, and that is all that it can or will supply prior to starting to shut
down. In
most all cases, it will operate just about any 100 watt rig or VHF/UHF amplifer.
They weigh around 3 pounds and are essentially silent in operation.

In general, I wouldn't hesitate to recommend them, especially at that price, $80.
But
don't expect them to last at that price at TechAmerica or see it anywhere else at
that
price. It is truly a loss leader, but a great one for us hams. Buy 1, no make
that 2, NOW!
I did.

Best regards,

Alan, de WA6AQC
wherever@pacbell.net

Tom Nicastri wrote:

> The Samlex supplies are good. THey are very small. I have the 1212 which
> puts out about 10 amps cont. I used it for over a year with a 10 amp mobil
> radio. It worked great. I recently ordered the 23 amp model for $80 from
> Techamerica 1-800-877-0072 Item # 910-3916. Samlex provides a 3 year
> warranty on it!
>
> I had no noise at all generated with it.
>

> I think its a good product for a good price.
>
> Samlex has a web page.
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.equipment Glenn J. Torres <radioman@communique.net> wrote:
> > I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
> > Supplies.Any
> > info is appreciated.
> > Thanks,
> > Glenn

226. Re: OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan Goldarbit <al4lyd@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6lsrk8$1s@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 1892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

Hi. I have Sammlex 1223 switching power supply at 23 amps. It is about four months old and is doing just fine. Doesn't generate any extra noise. c

Allan Goldarbit

Glenn J. Torres wrote:
>
> I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
> Supplies.Any
> info is appreciated.
> Thanks,
> Glenn

227. Boat Anchors for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwilljo@cwo.com
Message-ID: <6luj6kcho1@news.eli.net>
Source record: 1893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio.swap

Boat Anchors for Sale

1. Heathkit Stuff $200.00:
SB-301 in good working order.....15.lbs
SB-401 final amps (61146) blown.....25.lbs
D 1410 keyer.....4.lbs
Turner 454C Microphone.....2.lbs
Heathkit Manuals 2.lbs
Packing.....10 lbs
Shipping weight.....57 lbs

2. Gonset Stuff $125.00:
G66a Receiver with Power supply.....16 lbs
G-77 Transmitter with modulator/pwer supply..30 lbs
Gonset Manuals and cables.....1 lbs.
Packing.....10 lbs
Shipping Weight.....57 lbs
Receiver and transmitter works.
No microphone

3. Siltronix 1011D Comanche 150.00.....22 lbs
Manual (from "Manuals Plus").....0 lbs
packing.....7 lbs
Shipping Weight.....29 lbs
Needs mike and re-alignment. Was de-tuned down to
Novice portion of ten meter band

4. National NCX-3 Tranceiver $75.00.....19 lbs
External Power Supply/Speaker.....25 lbs
National Manual.....1 lbs
Packing.....10 lbs
Shipping Weight.....55 lbs
SSB AM CW on 20,40,80. Needs work

5. Heathkit "Seneca" 6 M Transmitter..$75.00.....50 lbs
Heathkit Manual.....1 lbs
Packing.....10 lbs
Shipping weight.....61 lbs.
Loads up into dummy antenna.

I would prefer you pick up. If I ship you must pay postage. These are heavy and will be shipped from zipcode 95822. I've included the weights of the items as well as the weight of packaging materials. All for-sale-items weighed by placing the items on the Pelouze Model Y50 Postage Scale.

If interested contact me by email gwilljo@cwo.com.
Greg

228. Re: OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@communique.net>
Message-ID: <01bd9785$18a430a0$8958cccf@default>
Source record: 1894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

Thanks to all who responded to my question.

Allan Goldarbit <al4lyd@worldnet.att.net> wrote in article
<6lsrk8$1s@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> Hi. I have Sammlex 1223 switching power supply at 23 amps. It is about
> four months old and is doing just fine. Doesn't generate any extra
> noise. c
>
> Allan Goldarbit
>
> Glenn J. Torres wrote:
> >
> > I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
> > Supplies.Any
> > info is appreciated.
> > Thanks,
> > Glenn
>

229. ATTN: ICOM IC-746 OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: TodJK <todjk@mhtc.net>
Message-ID: <6m23m2hvm2@news2.alpha.net>
Source record: 1895
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.ham-radio

TOPIC: CW and CW-R

Can anyone explain this topic a little better than the book can? Is CW=USB
CW and CW-R=LSB CW? Am I off base here?

73! Tod N9ZWY

230. Re: OPINIONS ON SAMLEXPOWER SUPPLIES

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@primenet.com
Message-ID: <3584b7c5.24453712@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 1896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio

On 11 Jun 1998 19:48:11 GMT, "Glenn J. Torres"
<radioman@communique.net> wrote:

>I am looking for opinions, pro or con on Samlex Switching Power
>Supplies.Any
>info is appreciated.

I've been using a Samlex 1212 for my APRS station 24/7 for a year now,
with occasional use to power my mobile rig, too. I have not
encountered a single problem and would highly recommend their
switching power supplies. A good PS for a good price.

Mark
KC7BXS

231. Re: ATTN: ICOM IC-746 OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yves OESCH <yves.oesch@epfl.ch>
Message-ID: <3585858C.40EB@epfl.ch>
Source record: 1897
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.ham-radio

```
TodJK wrote:
>
> TOPIC: CW and CW-R
>
> Can anyone explain this topic a little better than the book can? Is CW=USB
> CW and CW-R=LSB CW? Am I off base here?
>
> 73! Tod N9ZWY

I think this is a question of bandwith.
CW is narrower than SSB. Probably CW-R is much more narrow.
It means that you can't receive SSB in any CW position.
But if you want to receive CW, you have less noise in CW-R than SSB or
even CW position.

Best 73's
Yves / HB9VJV
```

232. WTB:Any SONY World Band Radio

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: digicomm@technologist.com
Message-ID: <6m7gr1$r2c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1898
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hi Everyone,

I am starting a collection of ALL SONY World Band "Shortwave" Radios ever made, old & new.

I have about a dozen so far and I need everyone's help to find these original radios in "mint" condition with original accessories and box for collecting.

My question is: Is there anyone out there started such a thing? (...or maybe I am nuts??!!) Where to start looking for older model SONY Shortwave World Band radios? Is there a Radio LIST of all the SONY "CRF","ICF SW" & "SW" models on the net somewhere?

If you have ANY SONY World Band Radio in mint shape, please email me with your model number and best price for a "CRAZY" collector!! :=)

Thanks in advance and any response will be appreciated!

E. Zaydan
Ottawa, Canada
digicomm@technologist.com

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

233. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4ABC <w4abc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6mcmnm$j3b$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 1899
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Cutie Boy wrote in message <3589dae2.610864@news.total.net>...
:On Fri, 19 Jun 1998 00:54:01 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
:<wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
:
:>Hello to all would-be DXers. Just got my Tech Plus ticket and found 10m
is
:>open from San Francisco to Hawaii, S. Pacific and Australia from late
:>afternoon till after dark.
:
:
:don't say tech plus. pro-CWers don't want you to be on 10m with
:voice.
:
:I don't see that he mentioned the use of a microphone at all. I think
your preoccupation with this no-code thing, has jaded your viewpoint a bit
there Cutie Puff.

I suppose he could be on phone, but then again, you could be a girl!
Cutie Puff

de W4ABC

"The people will not understand the importance of the Second Amendment
until it is too late." -- Thomas Jefferson

:_____
:Cutie Boy (CB) ou
:Joli Gar&#65533;on (&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
:Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
:&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
:Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
:C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
:URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
: http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
: http://www.radiotelephone.net/mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
: http://www.radiotelephone.net/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
:Visit this excellent site
:why code has no importance to the amateur radio today:
:http://www.nocode.org
:License Suggestion: http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
:_____
:***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***

:***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***
:
:ATTENTION: Je n'ach�terai pas ou r�ponse aucun produit des C�S non sollicit�s!!

234. 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Wiedemann <wiedemann@home.com>
Message-ID: <JEii1.1031$ZB1.8168134@news.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 1900
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello to all would-be DXers. Just got my Tech Plus ticket and found 10m is open from San Francisco to Hawaii, S. Pacific and Australia from late afternoon till after dark.

Just thought you ought to know the propagation is looking better on 10!

Rudi - KF6RLQ
wiedemann@home.com
Fremont, CA.

235. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <358dc45e.1169878@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 1901
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

>Hello to all would-be DXers. Just got my Tech Plus ticket and found 10m is
>open from San Francisco to Hawaii, S. Pacific and Australia from late
>afternoon till after dark.

I've noticed WWVH into the midwest just about every night, covering WWV on 10 and 15 MHz. WWV has been booming in on 20 MHz just before midnight, but not during the day, which is way counterintuitive to what I was taught about HF propagation!

236. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <3589dae2.610864@news.total.net>
Source record: 1902
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 19 Jun 1998 00:54:01 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
<wiedemann@home.com> wrote:

>Hello to all would-be DXers. Just got my Tech Plus ticket and found 10m is
>open from San Francisco to Hawaii, S. Pacific and Australia from late
>afternoon till after dark.

don't say tech plus. pro-CWers don't want you to be on 10m with
voice.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou
Joli Gar&#65533;on (&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
http://www.radiotelephone.net/mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
http://www.radiotelephone.net/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Visit this excellent site
why code has no importance to the amateur radio today:
http://www.nocode.org
License Suggestion: http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

237. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@wave.com
Message-ID: <Outi1.21302$Ro2.1368111@pm01nn>
Source record: 1903
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I think Cutie Boy is just lacking in some male attributes. I hear that they can give male hormones to help combat his problem.

To the fellow with the Tech-Plus ticket, enjoy the ham bands and ignore the likes of Cutie Boy as he is just a pimple faced little college student that doesn't know his ass from a hole in the ground. Your earned the privilege to be on ham radio.

COP

In article <3589dae2.610864@news.total.net>,
cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Fri, 19 Jun 1998 00:54:01 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
><wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
>
>>Hello to all would-be DXers. Just got my Tech Plus ticket and found 10m is
>>open from San Francisco to Hawaii, S. Pacific and Australia from late
>>afternoon till after dark.
>
>
>don't say tech plus. pro-CWers don't want you to be on 10m with
>voice.
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou
>Joli Gar�on (�galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>�tudient @ Informatique et Math�matiques d'Universit� McGill
>Montr�al, Qu�bec, Canada
>C�: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/ (Radio)
> http://www.radiotelephone.net/mcgill/ (Universit� McGill)
> http://www.radiotelephone.net/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Visit this excellent site
>why code has no importance to the amateur radio today:
>http://www.nocode.org
>License Suggestion: http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r�pondre.***
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

>
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

238. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <NOSPAMteixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <358A7088.3172@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1904
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ten Meters works when the sun is up. When it goes down so does Ten.

dt

>
> I've noticed WWVH into the midwest just about every night, covering WWV on 10
> and 15 MHz. WWV has been booming in on 20 MHz just before midnight, but not
> during the day, which is way counterintuitive to what I was taught about HF
> propagation!

239. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <358AC8BD.75E@netdoor.com>
Source record: 1905
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Cutie boy,
The young man has a TECH PLUS! He has passed the 5 wpm and is welcome to operate phone on 10 meters. Legally!! What is your problem with those of us who have taken the time to learn the code and operate HF? You have been given a welfare liscense to operate above 50 mhz. So operate.
Are you gay? That name "cutie Boy" is not something a man would tolerate, I don't care one way or the other about your sexuality, it's just that I've seen some of the arguments by the Lambda groups and they are defenseless in what ever cause the "little girlish bitching" types are putting forth. No code was high on their list. They wanted to increase their ranks so they figured that a no code general ticket would do that.

Butch Magee, KF5DE

240. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocker@datasync.com
Message-ID: <6mej6n$6gi$1@osh1.datasync.com>
Source record: 1906
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <358A7088.3172@ccnet.com>,
T E I X E I R A <NOSPAMteixeira@ccnet.com> wrote:
>Ten Meters works when the sun is up. When it goes down so does Ten.

In general, yes -- but nothing is absolute especially where
ten meters is concerned. Sporadic E in particular commonly
happens after sunset and can be VERY intense then.

-- Ray WQ5L rocker@datasync.com

241. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <358AD1EA.C82D4@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 1907
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Congratulatons!

Now tht 10 meters has whetted your appetite, just think what you can do on 15/20 .. the latter every day, and into the evening!

KF6RLQ is a relatively new call, so you seem to be on a fast upgrade path. Keep it up!

73...Phil

242. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: MrBob@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6meoj6$u7i$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1908
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Rudi,

Congratulations on getting your Tech Plus license !!!

We are coming out of the low end of the solar cycle, so 10 meters
will be getting better and better. Look for several years of
excitment on 10. Right now 10 will have its "ups" and "downs",
so some days it will be real hot and other days, nothing.

Remember 10 can go from hot to cold in a mater of minutes.
I was talking with a guy across the country, his signal went
from S9 on my meter to S0 in about a minute. Oh well, it
happens. Think of it as part of the fun of 10; you never know
what is going to happen.

You may want to keep working on upgrading. I know that 13 WPM
may seem like a lot, but if you work at it, you can do it.
Once you pass the 13 WPM and General test, you get a slice of
ALL the HF bands. From there, it's just the written test to
reach Advanced. With Advanced you get a bigger slice of HF
AND if you want, a 2n2 callsign.

73,
Bob

I was KF6JHG, I'm now KQ6WQ

In article <JEii1.1031$ZB1.8168134@news.rdc1.sfba.home.com>,
"Rudi Wiedemann" <wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
>
> Hello to all would-be DXers. Just got my Tech Plus ticket and found 10m is
> open from San Francisco to Hawaii, S. Pacific and Australia from late
> afternoon till after dark.
>
> Just thought you ought to know the propagation is looking better on 10!
>
> Rudi - KF6RLQ
> wiedemann@home.com
> Fremont, CA.

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

243. Re: 10m is OPEN!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: BrianBurke@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6mer87$25r$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1909
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

More old XYL tales.
I've worked 10 meters from Guam to Europe till 4AM local.

Brian/N0iMD

In article <358A7088.3172@ccnet.com>,
NOSPAMteixeira@ccnet.com wrote:
>
> Ten Meters works when the sun is up. When it goes down so does Ten.
>
> dt
>
> >
> > I've noticed WWVH into the midwest just about every night, covering WWV on
10
> > and 15 MHz. WWV has been booming in on 20 MHz just before midnight, but not
> > during the day, which is way counterintuitive to what I was taught about HF
> > propagation!
>

------== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading

244. For Sale: HTX 100 10meter ssb and cw

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <ka0nno@cswnet.com>
Message-ID: <6mpd4s$o4e$1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1910
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: HTX 100 10meter ssb and cw.

Radio is practically new and no scratches, in mint condition. Includes microphone and mobile bracket and microphone hang up clip plus power cord. I do not have the original box and the manual was lost in a fire.

Asking $150.00 or best offer plus shipping.
If interested contact via email at n0eoq@6mt.com

Thank you George n0eoq

245. HTX-212

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "T.Pierce" <Thefireman@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <35905D80.3C9F@innocent.com>
Source record: 1911
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Is there any mods that can be done to the HTX-212 to Tx out of Band(yes,
i do have permission to transmit out of band) :):)
Thanks in advance
Tony
--
Pursuant:
U.S.C. TITLE 47, CHAPTER 5, SUBCHAPTER II, a 227.
Restrictions on use of telephone equipment:
Unsolicited e-mail sent to this address is subject
to an archival fee of not less than $500 U.S. per copy. E-mail recieved
after any receipt of this notice implies acceptance of these terms.
ref: http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/47/227.shtml
implemented: 03/11/98, all documents are categorized and cross
referenced.

246. Q-MAC - Makers of the Worlds Smallest HF SSB Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCS <dunn@wantree.com.au>
Message-ID: <6mru0gjqk1@news.wantree.com.au>
Source record: 1912
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio.packet,aus.radio.packet,nl.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Q-MAC Electronics Pty Ltd is the designer and manufacturer of the HF-90 Compact Transceiver - the world's smallest high performance HF SSB transceiver, suited to commercial and military/paramilitary applications.

For more details visit our website at www.wantree.com.au/~qmac

247. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net>
Message-ID: <359AF3AA.37F53546@wi.net>
Source record: 1913
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the proof in the pudding. the more the better.

fran

Rudi Wiedemann wrote:

> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>
> It's real simple.......put yourself into your favorite natural or man-made
> disaster REGION WIDE scenario, and the start pulling the plug on your
> computer, your phone lines, your TV, cable service, your cell phone, and of
> course the rest of your power, water, sewage, garbage pickup.........then
> soon the police, fire, and ambulance services become overwhelmed.
>
> Now that you're sitting there in the dark, with injured and scared family or
> friends, WHO YOU GONNA CALL??? YEAH, RIGHT, 911, HAH!!!!
>
> How can you make DAMNED sure you can get a message about you, your family or
> friends OUT OF THE EFFECTED AREA?
>
> The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of
> looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the
> power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice
> communications is all but impossible.
>
> The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of long
> distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy computers
> and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a
> simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.
>
> I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
> providing disaster communication worldwide. It's because of trained and
> self-disciplined operators who commit themselves to be prepared to offer
> their valuable assistance to their affected community. Hell, isn't that a
> big part of what Field Day is all about?
>
> So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by dropping
> code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because the
> FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower

> another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
> let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.
>
> Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
> wiedemann@home.com

248. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <359af8d0.177007686@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1914
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 02:34:22 GMT, "Rich M." <right@home.com> wrote:

>Well said.
>
>Dick N7JPO
>
>>So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by
>dropping
>>code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because
>the
>>FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower
>>another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
>>let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.
>>
>>Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
>>wiedemann@home.com
>>
>
the problem is that most people who would love to do HF have no
interest in CW <at least the no coders I know around here>
btw check me in the callbook in a couple of weeks.....not
now as I just show tech +
I HATE code, I will NEVER do it again, and I LIKE saying that. I
decided that I wanted to do some hf, so I went ahead and took the
plunge. Actually I wanted to put a 10 meter split site repeater up
with 2 meter or 430 link frequencies so ALL who want can use it.
I am not a snotty you gotta doit cuz I did kinda guy.
I am a member of the NCI <no code international>
I did code because I had to, not because I want to. I think it sucks,
it is at best moderatly efficient <MANUAL CODE THAT IS> and
it is VERY unappetizing to the new ham. Lets face it, if we don't do
something with these bands, they are going to be taken away.

hf, vhf, uhf, shf and light bands need to be utilized for retention.

look at what the code barrier created on 3853, 3894, 3850, 3950,
14.313----and by the way just WHERE IS RAINCOAT CHARLIE??
don't forget to mention the casual redneck who got this here radio
at the hamfest......no call at all

GIMME A BREAK!

let people face their ham radio tenure on thier own. IF they want it,
support them, if they don't support them, don't alienate them

piss me off, and I will flip a bugger on your windshield!

KD5AMZ temporary AG

249. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis M LaFon <n5hdq@wf.quik.com>
Message-ID: <359B1654.21E0C107@wf.quik.com>
Source record: 1915
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rudi Wiedemann wrote:

> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>
> It's real simple.......put yourself into your favorite natural or man-made
> disaster REGION WIDE scenario, and the start pulling the plug on your
> computer, your phone lines, your TV, cable service, your cell phone, and of
> course the rest of your power, water, sewage, garbage pickup.........then
> soon the police, fire, and ambulance services become overwhelmed.
>
> Now that you're sitting there in the dark, with injured and scared family or
> friends, WHO YOU GONNA CALL??? YEAH, RIGHT, 911, HAH!!!!
>
> How can you make DAMNED sure you can get a message about you, your family or
> friends OUT OF THE EFFECTED AREA?
>
> The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of
> looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the
> power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice
> communications is all but impossible.
>
> The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of long
> distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy computers
> and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a
> simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.
>
> I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
> providing disaster communication worldwide. It's because of trained and
> self-disciplined operators who commit themselves to be prepared to offer
> their valuable assistance to their affected community. Hell, isn't that a
> big part of what Field Day is all about?
>
> So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by dropping
> code to gain HF privileges.............get proficient in code NOT because the
> FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower
> another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
> let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.
>
> Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
> wiedemann@home.com

Well said and good point Rudy.
However you are fighting the "me .. I want it now"
mentality of so many people.

--

FBI wants to register your guns under BRADY.
You can help repeal BRADY. Info at my site:
http://wf.quik.com/n5hdq
Curtis .. N5HDQ ..

250. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <cqbdiaz@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <359B1F62.108D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 1916
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Fran wrote:
>
> i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
> which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
> evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
> proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>
> fran

If one were to look at the Northridge Quake, you'd see that the real
emergency communications took place on FM voice. However, a lot of
critical messages were passed via packet. If you really want to
look at real world emergency communications, check out the back issues
of QST for their reports of Hams helping out at ...

All modes of radio COULD be used in an emergency; however that's not
the real justification for CW. The real reason is that for many,
it's fun. OK, I know it's not everyone's "cup of tea", but when the
bands have a bad noise problem, CW does a lot better than SSB.

73,
Bob
KQ6WQ

--

If you wish to email me, please remove the letters "cq" from the start
of my email. (I put the letters "cq" so that the automatic email SPAM
programs will get the wrong address.) :-)

251. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jjm@shore.net
Message-ID: <359b75fa.45925571@news.shore.net>
Source record: 1828
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 08:38:10 GMT, no.junk.mail@thank.you (Walt Davidson) wrote:

>On Wed, 01 Jul 1998 09:02:04 GMT, kd5amz@flash.net wrote:
>
>>piss me off, and I will flip a bugger on your windshield!
>
>Bet you don't even know what a bugger is!

hahahahahaha! LMAO...nice come back Walt! ;)

jim, wk1v

I think he meant booger.

252. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <8RKm1.199$ig.367037@pm01nn>
Source record: 1829
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359b632a.1753623@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Wed, 01 Jul 1998 09:02:04 GMT, kd5amz@flash.net wrote:
>
>>the problem is that most people who would love to do HF have no
>>interest in CW <at least the no coders I know around here>
>>btw check me in the callbook in a couple of weeks.....not
>>now as I just show tech +
>
>>I HATE code, I will NEVER do it again, and I LIKE saying that. I
>
>
>I know. Know code = Know ham. No code = No ham. Get the rid of all
>idiots out of HF. Sooooon there will be no once out there and gov't
>will cut the freqs. I love see that.
>
Hey CB get a life. Maybe Canada should deport you. Get the rid of all iditos
out of Canada. Sooooon there will be no once out there and gov't will cut off
your well fare mony. I love see that.

COP
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

253. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <ASKm1.200$ig.367037@pm01nn>
Source record: 1830
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359b639f.1871388@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 03:57:45 GMT, "tcrpe" <tcrpe@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Gee, its too bad that you limit this to the last 10 years or I could list:
>
>>WW1
>>WW2
>>Russian Revolution
>>Nazi Domination of Europe
>>Chinese Cultural Revolution
>>Rape of Nanking
>>The many nations subjugated by the Japanese before WW2
>>Natural Disaters of great scale, earthquake, volcanoes, you know, the really
>>big ones that only come along every few centuries
>>I won't even get into the happenings in Africa
>
>>But since these aren't acceptable disasters, I won't mention them. Just
>>what type of disasters can we expect in the next 10 years? BTW, I can go
>>out to my garage and fabricate a CW key in 5 seconds.
>> How long to fabricate
>>an electret mike in your garage? I can build a simple CW transmitter and
>>transmitter from the parts I find in a cast-off radio. Could you make a SSB
>>xcvr out of the same? How did Adm. Stockdale communicate with his fellow
>>prisoners during his 7(?) year vacation at the Hanoi Hilton? It wasn't SSB
>>on 11 meters.
>
Get a life CB. Quit acting like a little boy. Grow up!

COP
>
>Yours aren't the examples. Give us the example where ALL VOICE and
>DIGITAL communications fail ad CW is only the way to transmit your
>signal. WW I and WWII aren't the example. You were just banned to
>use HF spectrum in any matter. Get a life. Think of nuclear war and
>say know code = know ham = know radio = know emergency. Think of
>UFOs and say have guns = have bombs = have protections = have safety.
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill

>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

254. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <sVKm1.201$ig.367037@pm01nn>
Source record: 1831
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359b636f.1822644@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Wed, 01 Jul 1998 21:42:50 -0500, Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net> wrote:
>
>>i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications
> in
>>which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
>>evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
>>proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>>
>>fran
>
>
>I know. Then they will bring up nuclear war which "can" happen in
>next 100 years... then I will say all people in the world should
>carry guns and bombs to protect from UFOs in next 100 years.....
>
awh little one. Do you know what you are talking about. Just because your
homeland was nuked doesn't mean there will be nuclear war in the future.
Get a life and "GROW UP".

COP
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

255. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <FZKm1.202$ig.367037@pm01nn>
Source record: 1832
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359b6294.1603984@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 01:51:38 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
><wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
>
>>The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of
>>looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the
>>power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice
>>communications is all but impossible.
>
>>The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of long
>>distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy computers
>>and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a
>>simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.
>
>Mr. Idiot, what if CW fails ?? Don't include EVERYTHING except CW
>for "all communications fail." Include CW also.

Good choice of works CB. Idiot. Just cause someone thinks different than
you. Is that what you are learning there in College?

COP
>
>>I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
>>providing disaster communication worldwide.
>
>ask your neighbour and they don't know about it. show your CW keyer
>and punch CW keyers and call CQ. I am sure your neighbour will be
>impressed !! (grin)
>
>>wiedemann@home.com
>
>
>and the massive crossposting is the way to illustrate how pro-CWers
>are stupid.
>
and the massive crossposting is the way to illustrate how CB AKA MASA are
stupid.
>

COP
>
>
>_____

>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar�on(�galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>�tudient @ Informatique et Math�matiques d'Universit� McGill
>Montr�al, Qu�bec, Canada
>C�: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit� McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r�pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach�terai pas ou r�ponse aucun produit des C�S non sollicit�s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

256. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
Message-ID: <359B80E1.660CF07@mail.frii.com>
Source record: 1833
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cutie Boy wrote:

> On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 01:51:38 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
> <wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
>
> >The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of

...blah, blah, blah deleted...

> Mr. Idiot, what if CW fails ?? Don't include EVERYTHING except CW
> for "all communications fail." Include CW also.
>
> >I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
> >providing disaster communication worldwide.
>
> ask your neighbour and they don't know about it. show your CW keyer
> and punch CW keyers and call CQ. I am sure your neighbour will be
> impressed !! (grin)
>
> >wiedemann@home.com
>
> and the massive crossposting is the way to illustrate how pro-CWers
> are stupid.

Remain silent and be thought a fool, open mouth and remove all doubt!

CB--you are an embarrassment to all hard working, modest, Japanese people. Your ideas a poorly thought out, and you articulation of those ideas is even worse!

To hell with you, sir.

-rc-

257. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359b83f9.2696546@news.total.net>
Source record: 1834
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 06:45:21 -0600, Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
wrote:

>Cutie Boy wrote:

>...blah, blah, blah deleted...

mass irrelevant blahs deleted.

>Remain silent and be thought a fool, open mouth and remove all doubt!
>
>CB--you are an embarrassment to all hard working, modest, Japanese people. Your
>ideas a poorly thought out, and you articulation of those ideas is even worse!

are you one of the pro-CWers who show your CW keyers to your neighbour
saying you are proud of knowing morse code and be ready for nuclear
wars with it ??

you have a limit of as strange as you can be !!!!!

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

258. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
Message-ID: <359B83DB.6CE64B74@mail.frii.com>
Source record: 1835
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rudi Wiedemann wrote:

> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>

Me too.

My thought: Let's really put some substance into the need-for-CW requlations, by requiring yearly recertification of CW skills, at some modest speed of say 10WPM.

OK all you PHONE only inDUHviduals have fun with this idea. But don't expect me to dignifiy your response(s) with an answer. Esp. you [LOSER} Cutie Boy.

-rc-

259. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rickmudd@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <01bda5c2$6faa1d60$5f806ac6@pavilion>
Source record: 1836
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bugger or booger. Flipping a bugger would quite a feat. Most of them dudes are heavy! Boogers on the others hand are light and easy to flip. I do it daily.

kd5amz@flash.net wrote in article <359af8d0.177007686@news.flash.net>...
> On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 02:34:22 GMT, "Rich M." <right@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Well said.
> >
> >Dick N7JPO
> >
> >>So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by
> >dropping
> >>code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because
> >the
> >>FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower
> >>another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
> >>let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.
> >>
> >>Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
> >>wiedemann@home.com
> >>
> >
> the problem is that most people who would love to do HF have no
> interest in CW <at least the no coders I know around here>
> btw check me in the callbook in a couple of weeks.....not
> now as I just show tech +
> I HATE code, I will NEVER do it again, and I LIKE saying that. I
> decided that I wanted to do some hf, so I went ahead and took the
> plunge. Actually I wanted to put a 10 meter split site repeater up
> with 2 meter or 430 link frequencies so ALL who want can use it.
> I am not a snotty you gotta doit cuz I did kinda guy.
> I am a member of the NCI <no code international>
> I did code because I had to, not because I want to. I think it sucks,
> it is at best moderatly efficient <MANUAL CODE THAT IS> and
> it is VERY unappetizing to the new ham. Lets face it, if we don't do
> something with these bands, they are going to be taken away.
>
> hf, vhf, uhf, shf and light bands need to be utilized for retention.
>
> look at what the code barrier created on 3853, 3894, 3850, 3950,

> 14.313----and by the way just WHERE IS RAINCOAT CHARLIE??
> don't forget to mention the casual redneck who got this here radio
> at the hamfest......no call at all
>
> GIMME A BREAK!
>
> let people face their ham radio tenure on thier own. IF they want it,
> support them, if they don't support them, don't alienate them
>
>
>
> piss me off, and I will flip a bugger on your windshield!
>
> KD5AMZ temporary AG
>
>

260. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359b98ef.507891@news.total.net>
Source record: 1837
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 06:58:03 -0600, Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
>
>> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
>> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>>
>
>Me too.
>
>My thought: Let's really put some substance into the need-for-CW requlations, by
>requiring yearly recertification of CW skills, at some modest speed of say
>10WPM.

yep. I support 50 WPM. go for it. destroy ham radio now. great
job. good job. 100 WPM ? of course. CW will kick the all idiots
out from ham radio eh... do it. Leave the freq unusued and "we"
will take YOUR freq. MAKE MORE STRICT CW REQUIREMENT
NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

261. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: enfield <enfield@enfield.crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <01bda563$b8fa5200$05d4b526@KGCmptrd.crosslink.net>
Source record: 1838
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Better yet, imagine yourself in a National Park or Forest when the disaster occurs, with neither Bic nor matches (both ruined by the disaster). The only way to save yourself and family is to start a fire quickly using flint and steel. It follows that this archaic skill could save your life - therefore everyone who wants to venture into any National Park or Forest should, by law, be required to demonstrate his/her ability to find an appropriate flint and start a fire with flint and steel within one minute. Otherwise, you have no business venturing out of town ... right??

Rudi Wiedemann <wiedemann@home.com> wrote in article
<KIBm1.2611$ZB1.15932960@news.rdc1.sfba.home.com>...
> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about
"know
> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>
> It's real simple.......put yourself into your favorite natural or
man-made
> disaster REGION WIDE scenario,...

262. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net>
Message-ID: <359BB4B1.C6AC4FB0@wi.net>
Source record: 1839
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

i appreciated the response. unfortunately there was not one within the guidelines. the incidents that were mentioned were either too old, or out of the geographic constraint, or both. Although the lack of data does not conclusively prove anything, it does hint at the fact that we have entered a technological era in which we never (or very rarely) utilize the final "most robust" communication mode, cw, in times of emergency. Don't get me wrong. I think code is fun (still learning though). However, I believe the era of code as a likely final straw has ended. Sure, the occurance of a cw only method emergency communication for the us ham is possible, however it is microscopically probable. Someone has sited the longstanding naval use of cw... well, you neglected to mention that its use in that context has ended. There is absolutely no doubt that cw deserves a special place in the annals of human communication history. However, the rationale that i hear for continued cw requirements are pretty weak. in the end, most of these debate down to an emotional basis. a few of the rationale for pro-code that i have heard are:

1)last resort common mode
2)limits hf licensees
3)essense of a ham
4)makes a better ham
5)keeps out "lids"
6)other

responses:

1. technically, the robustness and simplicity of cw can not be denied. the commonality is also a powerful argument. however, the fundamental flaw is in the "last resort" qualification. The likelyhood of ever needing the absolutely "last resort" mode for a us ham is pretty darn low. the us govt. has come to the same conclusion as they have dropped cw as the naval last resort.
for the sake of argument, lets say we are forced to use cw as our last resort. do i really nead to send 20 wpm? or would the necessary data get through at 5wpm. i submit that any scenario that needs 20wpm for rescue response time arguments is invalid because cw would undoubtedly not be the last viable resort in those cases. now, lets say we have the nuclear, asteroid, or aliens, scenario and cw is all we have... i think even 1 wpm would cut it then. so what is with the 20wpm requirement? i submit it is not about necessity, but something that is a little darker in human nature.

2. it would seem that the code requirements do in fact limit the number of hf licenses. however, i submit that many that do take the plunge, learn the code for the test only. given that factoid, i believe the time could be better spent learning more theory, regulations, or operations. perhaps a ham related community service requirement, or so many hours working with an hf licensee or something. bottom line: hf license numbers could be restricted in a number of other, more

pertinent ways.

3. i have only heard this one a few times, but i believe there are more out there that believe this than one would suspect. my only reponse is, i solute you. i tend to believe that this was the way it used to be and contrary to current popular disimformation, the change in thinking is not a result of a need for "instant gratification". sure, for some nc's this may be true. however, i believe that most of them would rather spend the code study time on heavier theory, operations, or regulation test preparation.... something that they believe more pertinent to the modern communication era.

4. let's face it this is bunk. i hear and see a lot of bitter, self-righteous talk from hf licensed hams. the code apparently did not work for them.

5. wrong. these people are on every band and can hold any class of license. how many ham club ex-officers cause trouble on uhf/vhf repeaters?... these people are typically hf licensed... in my experience (thus far).

6. there are bound to be arguments i missed. let's hear them.

i should say, if i haven't already... i am a nc tech. i am currently learning code to upgrade my license. i think cw is fun. although i didn't necessarily feel that way when i started. i also feel that hf phone and cw certification should be separated, as they have little to do with each other.

fran

Fran wrote:

> i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
> which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
> evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
> proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>
> fran
>
> Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
>
> > I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
> > code" vs "no code" emotions.
> >
> > It's real simple.......put yourself into your favorite natural or man-made
> > disaster REGION WIDE scenario, and the start pulling the plug on your
> > computer, your phone lines, your TV, cable service, your cell phone, and of
> > course the rest of your power, water, sewage, garbage pickup.........then
> > soon the police, fire, and ambulance services become overwhelmed.
> >
> > Now that you're sitting there in the dark, with injured and scared family or
> > friends, WHO YOU GONNA CALL??? YEAH, RIGHT, 911, HAH!!!!
> >
> > How can you make DAMNED sure you can get a message about you, your family or
> > friends OUT OF THE EFFECTED AREA?
> >

> > The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of
> > looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the
> > power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice
> > communications is all but impossible.
> >
> > The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of long
> > distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy computers
> > and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a
> > simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.
> >
> > I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
> > providing disaster communication worldwide. It's because of trained and
> > self-disciplined operators who commit themselves to be prepared to offer
> > their valuable assistance to their affected community. Hell, isn't that a
> > big part of what Field Day is all about?
> >
> > So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by dropping
> > code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because the
> > FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower
> > another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
> > let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.
> >
> > Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
> > wiedemann@home.com

263. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359bbfe3.838838@news.total.net>
Source record: 1840
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 11:26:25 -0500, Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net> wrote:

>way when i started. i also feel that hf phone and cw certification should be
>separated, as they have little to do with each other.
>
>fran

fog: know code = know ham.

watch out for old fogs !!!!!!

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

264. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <TyPm1.274$ig.577993@pm01nn>
Source record: 1841
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359b83f9.2696546@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 06:45:21 -0600, Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Cutie Boy wrote:
>
>>...blah, blah, blah deleted...
>
>mass irrelevant blahs deleted.
>
>>Remain silent and be thought a fool, open mouth and remove all doubt!
>>
>>CB--you are an embarrassment to all hard working, modest, Japanese people.
> Your
>>ideas a poorly thought out, and you articulation of those ideas is even worse!
>
>
>are you one of the pro-CWers who show your CW keyers to your neighbour
>saying you are proud of knowing morse code and be ready for nuclear
>wars with it ??
>
>you have a limit of as strange as you can be !!!!!
>
Get real and get a life CB.

COP
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!

>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

265. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <HzPm1.275$ig.577993@pm01nn>
Source record: 1842
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359b98ef.507891@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 06:58:03 -0600, Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
>>
>>> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
>>> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>>>
>>
>>Me too.
>>
>>My thought: Let's really put some substance into the need-for-CW requlations,
> by
>>requiring yearly recertification of CW skills, at some modest speed of say
>>10WPM.
>
>
>yep. I support 50 WPM. go for it. destroy ham radio now. great
>job. good job. 100 WPM ? of course. CW will kick the all idiots
>out from ham radio eh... do it. Leave the freq unusued and "we"
>will take YOUR freq. MAKE MORE STRICT CW REQUIREMENT
>NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
Only people like you will be destroying ham radio. Get a life.

COP
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !

>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

266. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cop@net.com
Message-ID: <HAPm1.276$ig.577993@pm01nn>
Source record: 1843
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359bbfe3.838838@news.total.net>, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM wrote:
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 11:26:25 -0500, Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net> wrote:
>
>>way when i started. i also feel that hf phone and cw certification should be
>>separated, as they have little to do with each other.
>>
>>fran
>
>
>
>fog: know code = know ham.
>
>
>watch out for old fogs !!!!!!
>
>
Go back to Japan where they think you are a Cutie Boy.
Get a life!

COP
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar�on(�galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>�tudient @ Informatique et Math�matiques d'Universit� McGill
>Montr�al, Qu�bec, Canada
>C�: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit� McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r�pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach�terai pas ou r�ponse aucun produit des C�S non sollicit�s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

267. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: NE7X <NE7X@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <359BCD95.6ACB@amsat.org>
Source record: 1844
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

First of, please remove this discussion from the rec.radio.equipment and rec.radio.amateur.dx news groups. Those news groups are not the place for this discussion and is only causes QRM and bandwidth consumption.

Second, it is correct, Morse Code today is an obsolete form of radio communications when it comes to commercial applications. However amateur radio is a "hobby", not a commercial form of communications. Since Amateur Radio is a hobby, knowing CW is knowing the lowest basic level of radio communications between a human and a machine (transmitter/receiver). It does not take much equipment or money for radio communications to occur between individuals.

There is also lots of tradition here which I think many new comers to the hobby are missing. Tradition has a value to preserve history for generations to come. Most of the older hams who have been around for years can understand what I am saying. There is a fear among the older amateur radio community that if CW is abolished, the art will be lost along with the dinosaurs.

Third, the CW requirement is a hurdle. To get a HF amateur radio license today in the US, the person needs to put out an effort. This takes time and energy, in other words, WORK!

So its my opinion there are only two reasons (a few minor exceptions) why individuals want the code requirement abolished:

1) They have a fear of failure. Taking a test of any kind, including a Morse Code test, frightens people away from wanting to take a risk.

2) Their just plain lazy to make an effort to learn it. They want something for free. This sure sounds like liberalism speaking.

Shucks, why not just give these individuals everything else for free! (ie: drivers licensee, welfare, Collage education, etc.) It appears the US is turning more and more liberal every day. Abolishment of the CW requirement is yet another example of individuals wanting something for nothing, ak: liberalism.

After all, lots of the stuff you learn in collage today has nothing to do with real world issues. You only learn this obsolete stuff because it makes you think and apply the EFFORT for accomplishment! This is half of what a collage degree is, it proves to society that you can make the effort.

Today when the ARRL takes a pole (vote) to see if the CW requirements should become abolish, the overwhelming voting membership votes in favor to keep the CW requirement. Most ARRL members are the individuals who have their CW requirement license indorsement. The ARRL then takes this voice to the FCC representing the Amateur radio community. The majority of the individuals complaining about having to take a CW test are either not ARRL members, or they are the ones who do not have the CW requirement indorsement.

My suggestion would be if the individuals complaining really want to abolish the CW requirements, those individuals should join the ARRL and become a voting member first. Then when they become the majority, then they can vote to abolish the CW requirement. Debating the issue here on the Internet is fun and entertaining, and stirs up lots of comments and emotions, However all the effort spent here on the intenet from no CW individuals has no baring on the FCC making a ruling.

So until that day comes, I will continue to vote "yes" every time to keep CW as an requirement for the Amateur Radio hobby. I feel its good for the hobby and its good for society not lowing standards every time for a few lazy indivuals!

NE7X...

268. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <359BD6CE.6B6B@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1845
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

When is this nocode nonsense going to stop.....Someone joins a hobby and then they want to change it because they can't or won't learn code..... When is this nonsense going to stop? Would you expect golfers to make bigger golf balls because you can't hit the little ones?

Don, W6IQ

269. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1xQm1.107$xT.1901853@no-cha>
Source record: 1846
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

There are always people who want the privileges with paying their dues. They want exceptions and special considerations. It is because they are either too lazy or too stupid to do what everyone else does. Give them VHF access without the code, and soon enough they want H.F. access. I not saying there are no idiots or lids out there -- tune up 7.258 sometime. Want to know what the HF bands will be like with no-coders? Tune up 27.035. Next thing they will want access to your station -- because theirs doesn't work as well. And while they are there -- how about a beer. Hey, and what's for dinner?

Some people just con't understand NO!

Cutie Boy doesn't need H.F. access. Give him a 11 meter linear instead.

What is so hard about learning the code? If you have half a brain.....

TR

Cutie Boy wrote in message <359b98ef.507891@news.total.net>...
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 06:58:03 -0600, Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
>>
>>> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
>>> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>>>
>>
>>Me too.
>>
>>My thought: Let's really put some substance into the need-for-CW requlations, by
>>requiring yearly recertification of CW skills, at some modest speed of say
>>10WPM.
>
>
>yep. I support 50 WPM. go for it. destroy ham radio now. great
>job. good job. 100 WPM ? of course. CW will kick the all idiots
>out from ham radio eh... do it. Leave the freq unusued and "we"

>will take YOUR freq. MAKE MORE STRICT CW REQUIREMENT
>NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

270. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight W9YQ <dgbcms@netnet.net>
Message-ID: <359B47AA.AC2122F4@netnet.net>
Source record: 1847
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Fran wrote:

> i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
> which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
> evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
> proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>
> fran

..... and how about the article that appeared in QST recently regarding the ham that had a stroke, CW was the only way for his family and him to communicate.

--
73 .. Dwight .. de W9YQ .. Fists 2765

271. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mikere1spam@netwave.net>
Message-ID: <6ngq48de1@supernews.com>
Source record: 1848
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On December 31, 1997, just hours before the British government ended Morse code monitoring of 500 KHz at shore stations, a freighter in the North Atlantic, the Bahamian M/V Oak, a 13,000 ton freighter carrying a cargo of wood sent an "SOS" using Morse code.

"SOS SOS This is Oak. Position 53 16N 24 50W Stop Engine. We need assistance." The ship was heavy in seas, and the cargo had shifted. She also lost power, and was sinking. The call was answered by a British shore station, and a Royal Air Force "Nimrod" was soon orbiting overhead. The crew abandoned the ship shortly thereafter, and was rescued.

Source: Worldradio Magazine, May 1988. Page 22.

I've read numerous accounts of Morse in action however, this reference was handy.

Mike
N9BOR

Fran wrote in message <359AF3AA.37F53546@wi.net>...
>i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
>which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
>evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
>proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>
>fran

272. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rickmudd@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <01bda5fb$7ee73d60$97806ac6@pavilion>
Source record: 1849
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well, they did go to those huge drivers for those folks who couldn't hit
far enough with the littler ones...

T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com> wrote in article
<359BD6CE.6B6B@ccnet.com>...
> When is this nocode nonsense going to stop.....Someone joins a hobby and
> then they want to change it because they can't or won't learn code.....
> When is this nonsense going to stop? Would you expect golfers to make
> bigger golf balls because you can't hit the little ones?
>
> Don, W6IQ
>

273. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <tex@mex.com>
Message-ID: <359c08f7.0@news.surfsouth.com>
Source record: 1850
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cutie Boy wrote in message <359b83f9.2696546@news.total.net>...

>>Cutie Boy wrote:
>are you one of the pro-CWers who show your CW keyers to your >neighbour
saying you are proud of knowing morse code and be ready for

----------SNIP----------

I think he has "keyer envy"

Graham

274. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <tex@mex.com>
Message-ID: <359c07d4.0@news.surfsouth.com>
Source record: 1851
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

enfield wrote in message
<01bda563$b8fa5200$05d4b526@KGCmptrd.crosslink.net>...
>Better yet, imagine yourself in a National Park or Forest when the disaster
>occurs, with neither Bic nor matches (both ruined by the disaster). The
>only way to save yourself and family is to start a fire quickly using flint
>and steel. It follows that this archaic skill could save your life -
>therefore everyone who wants to venture into any National Park or Forest
>should, by law, be required to demonstrate his/her ability to find an
>appropriate flint and start a fire with flint and steel within one minute.
>Otherwise, you have no business venturing out of town ... right??

The flaw in your logic (or lack of it) is the purpose of a national park is NOT to provide emergency communications in the event of a disaster. That IS the purpose and focus of amateur radio. Ever heard of field day? What do you think its' purpose is? If you must be ready to provide emergency communications, then why not be able to do that in as many ways possible?

275. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359c0d00.2898060@news.total.net>
Source record: 1852
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 18:43:09 GMT, "tcrpe" <tcrpe@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Want to know what the HF bands will be like with no-coders?

know the reality and get a life. listen to 3950 now. a lot of them
are extra hams.

>Some people just con't understand NO!

>Cutie Boy doesn't need H.F. access. Give him a 11 meter linear instead.

I don't use 11m either. Why use radiowaves for making DXs CQs and
QSLs. What a hell. I love to use phonepatches by using 2m repeaters
though. It is practical. Everyone says it is cool to have free phone
calls too and they show some interests afterwards (say know code =
know ham and you will get these people away).

>What is so hard about learning the code? If you have half a
>brain.....................

I have code. Sorry. I am, therefore, a good ham as coders suggest.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

276. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359c0d94.3045171@news.total.net>
Source record: 1853
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 18:51:58 +0000, T E I X E I R A
<teixeira@ccnet.com> wrote:

>When is this nocode nonsense going to stop.....Someone joins a hobby and
>then they want to change it because they can't or won't learn code.....

I have code and I know code. I also have Canadian Advanced as well
as all class Restricted Commercial License. I have code so I am a
good ham eh ? Get a life.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

277. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <359d0d8e.247864143@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1854
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 03:41:14 -0500, Dwight W9YQ <dgbcms@netnet.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Fran wrote:
>
>> i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
>> which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
>> evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
>> proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>>
>> fran
>
>..... and how about the article that appeared in QST recently regarding the ham
>that had a stroke, CW was the only way for his family and him to communicate.
>
>--
>73 .. Dwight .. de W9YQ .. Fists 2765
>
>
>

and it was thier choice.
that was my point,
if you want code, do hf
if you want hf do code long enough to get hf
then drop that sucker like a hot potato

278. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <35a02cd7.255874181@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1855
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 03 Jul 1998 00:47:09 GMT, cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
(Cutie Boy) wrote:

>>and it was thier choice.
>>that was my point,
>>if you want code, do hf
>>if you want hf do code long enough to get hf
>>then drop that sucker like a hot potato
>
>yep. increase code requirement speed to 50 WPM or something. I am
>glad to support it.
>
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Garï¿½on(ï¿½galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>ï¿½tudient @ Informatique et Mathï¿½matiques d'Universitï¿½ McGill
>Montrï¿½al, Quï¿½bec, Canada
>

who woke this idiot up?
I thought he went back to japan or something
<no I am not a bigot>

279. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <359f0e6f.248089101@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1856
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 01 Jul 1998 23:10:44 -0600, Curtis M LaFon <n5hdq@wf.quik.com>
wrote:

>
>Well said and good point Rudy.
>However you are fighting the "me .. I want it now"
>mentality of so many people.
>
>--
I think that the real problem is that people get bashed for not
wanting to do code, then when they try they get bashed for not
doing it fast enough, then when they get faster, they got where
they <if they did really want it, they got there> wanted to go, quit
doing it and got bashed again

this happened to me, I started code practice <listening> about
a year ago, dropped it because of some of the local hams attitudes
and mannerisms heard on the hf bands, then decided to get it on
my own with no help from the geezer squad.
the guys in question were running a code class on a local repeater
and were real butheads about it.
I got my first dx today, yeah it was great, BUT I like vhf/uhf dx...
as well and that is where a lot of no coders are. Most of the people
that I talk to on the local repeaters are the guys who run them.
<there are only 3 that I frequent> and only 1 of those is nocode.
He works on the side fixing radios for EXTRA class hams.
as well as putting up thier towers and antennas <GRATIS
because that is what ham radio is all about, helping each other
out to defray costs and promote the hobby>

the biggest problem that I see for most new hams is that no one really
wants to help guide them in the direction that they want to go in.
After all it is the ham in question who should tell what his destiny
in the hobby is.
I know several VUCC and WAS award holders that are nocoders....
I also know one DXCC no coder!

>---
>FBI wants to register your guns under BRADY.
>You can help repeal BRADY. Info at my site:
>http://wf.quik.com/n5hdq
>Curtis .. N5HDQ ..
>
>

the above is EXCELLANT info, kill the BRADY bill and give us our rights back.

I did not send this as a flame, or a vent, or even with one ounce of malintent. I firmly believe what I typed, and hope that you can in some way understand the reasons that I feel this way <and realize why I am not alone feeling this way>

73 to you Curtis, and the group
and I hope to work you soon on HF/VHF/UHF/SHF/LIGHT
soon

kd5amz Temporary AG <soon AA with new call>

280. JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Wiedemann <wiedemann@home.com>
Message-ID: <KIBm1.2611$ZB1.15932960@news.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 1917
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know code" vs "no code" emotions.

It's real simple.......put yourself into your favorite natural or man-made disaster REGION WIDE scenario, and the start pulling the plug on your computer, your phone lines, your TV, cable service, your cell phone, and of course the rest of your power, water, sewage, garbage pickup.........then soon the police, fire, and ambulance services become overwhelmed.

Now that you're sitting there in the dark, with injured and scared family or friends, WHO YOU GONNA CALL??? YEAH, RIGHT, 911, HAH!!!!

How can you make DAMNED sure you can get a message about you, your family or friends OUT OF THE EFFECTED AREA?

The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice communications is all but impossible.

The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of long distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy computers and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.

I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for providing disaster communication worldwide. It's because of trained and self-disciplined operators who commit themselves to be prepared to offer their valuable assistance to their affected community. Hell, isn't that a big part of what Field Day is all about?

So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by dropping code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because the FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead, let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.

Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
wiedemann@home.com

281. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich M." <right@home.com>
Message-ID: <OkCm1.54$ZR6.213774@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 1918
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said.

Dick N7JPO

>So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by
dropping
>code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because
the
>FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower
>another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
>let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable skill.
>
>Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
>wiedemann@home.com
>
>
>

282. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZyDm1.89$xT.1543603@no-cha>
Source record: 1919
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gee, its too bad that you limit this to the last 10 years or I could list:

WW1
WW2
Russian Revolution
Nazi Domination of Europe
Chinese Cultural Revolution
Rape of Nanking
The many nations subjugated by the Japanese before WW2
Natural Disaters of great scale, earthquake, volcanoes, you know, the really big ones that only come along every few centuries
I won't even get into the happenings in Africa

But since these aren't acceptable disasters, I won't mention them. Just what type of disasters can we expect in the next 10 years? BTW, I can go out to my garage and fabricate a CW key in 5 seconds. How long to fabricate an electret mike in your garage? I can build a simple CW transmitter and transmitter from the parts I find in a cast-off radio. Could you make a SSB xcvr out of the same? How did Adm. Stockdale communicate with his fellow prisoners during his 7(?) year vacation at the Hanoi Hilton? It wasn't SSB on 11 meters.

When I was 13 years (in 1966) old Sister Charlotte, K6VFE taught me the code in 6 hours over 3 evenings.

My very fondest regards

Fran wrote in message <359AF3AA.37F53546@wi.net>...
>i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
>which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
>evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
>proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>
>fran
>
>Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
>
>> I've been "lurking" in these newsgroups, reading all the trash about "know
>> code" vs "no code" emotions.
>>
>> It's real simple.......put yourself into your favorite natural or

man-made
>> disaster REGION WIDE scenario, and the start pulling the plug on your
>> computer, your phone lines, your TV, cable service, your cell phone, and
of
>> course the rest of your power, water, sewage, garbage pickup.....then
>> soon the police, fire, and ambulance services become overwhelmed.
>>
>> Now that you're sitting there in the dark, with injured and scared family
or
>> friends, WHO YOU GONNA CALL??? YEAH, RIGHT, 911, HAH!!!!
>>
>> How can you make DAMNED sure you can get a message about you, your family
or
>> friends OUT OF THE EFFECTED AREA?
>>
>> The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of
>> looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the
>> power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice
>> communications is all but impossible.
>>
>> The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of
long
>> distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy
computers
>> and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a
>> simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.
>>
>> I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
>> providing disaster communication worldwide. It's because of trained and
>> self-disciplined operators who commit themselves to be prepared to offer
>> their valuable assistance to their affected community. Hell, isn't that
a
>> big part of what Field Day is all about?
>>
>> So, for my money, I say to those who want "instant gratifaction" by
dropping
>> code to gain HF privileges.....get proficient in code NOT because
the
>> FCC says you need to, but rather because it's smart! Let's not lower
>> another chin-bar so more people can "fall backwards" over it. Instead,
>> let's do our part to help raise more enthusiasts to gain a valuable
skill.
>>
>> Rudi Wiedemann - KF6RLQ
>> wiedemann@home.com
>
>
>

283. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359b6294.1603984@news.total.net>
Source record: 1920
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 01:51:38 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
<wiedemann@home.com> wrote:

>The local VHF/UHF repeater towers are pretzels. The CB bands are full of
>looters waiting to hear would-be victims calling for help. With all the
>power line failures, the airwaves have some SERIOUS QRM, so voice
>communications is all but impossible.

>The bottom line is simple physics.......CW is the MOST ROBUST means of long
>distance communications when all else fails. I don't need fancy computers
>and software. I don't need repeaters. I don't need TNCs. I need only a
>simple power source, like a car battery, a radio, a dipole, and a key.

Mr. Idiot, what if CW fails ?? Don't include EVERYTHING except CW
for "all communications fail." Include CW also.

>I maintain that THIS is why Ham Radio has a well-deserved reputation for
>providing disaster communication worldwide.

ask your neighbour and they don't know about it. show your CW keyer
and punch CW keyers and call CQ. I am sure your neighbour will be
impressed !! (grin)

>wiedemann@home.com

and the massive crossposting is the way to illustrate how pro-CWers
are stupid.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

284. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359b632a.1753623@news.total.net>
Source record: 1921
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 01 Jul 1998 09:02:04 GMT, kd5amz@flash.net wrote:

>the problem is that most people who would love to do HF have no
>interest in CW <at least the no coders I know around here>
>btw check me in the callbook in a couple of weeks.....not
>now as I just show tech +

>I HATE code, I will NEVER do it again, and I LIKE saying that. I

I know. Know code = Know ham. No code = No ham. Get the rid of all
idiots out of HF. Sooooon there will be no once out there and gov't
will cut the freqs. I love see that.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

285. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359b636f.1822644@news.total.net>
Source record: 1922
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 01 Jul 1998 21:42:50 -0500, Fran <fmhuguet@wi.net> wrote:

>i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
>which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
>evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
>proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>
>fran

I know. Then they will bring up nuclear war which "can" happen in
next 100 years... then I will say all people in the world should
carry guns and bombs to protect from UFOs in next 100 years.....

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

286. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359b639f.1871388@news.total.net>
Source record: 1923
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 03:57:45 GMT, "tcrpe" <tcrpe@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Gee, its too bad that you limit this to the last 10 years or I could list:

>WW1
>WW2
>Russian Revolution
>Nazi Domination of Europe
>Chinese Cultural Revolution
>Rape of Nanking
>The many nations subjugated by the Japanese before WW2
>Natural Disaters of great scale, earthquake, volcanoes, you know, the really
>big ones that only come along every few centuries
>I won't even get into the happenings in Africa

>But since these aren't acceptable disasters, I won't mention them. Just
>what type of disasters can we expect in the next 10 years? BTW, I can go
>out to my garage and fabricate a CW key in 5 seconds.
> How long to fabricate
>an electret mike in your garage? I can build a simple CW transmitter and
>transmitter from the parts I find in a cast-off radio. Could you make a SSB
>xcvr out of the same? How did Adm. Stockdale communicate with his fellow
>prisoners during his 7(?) year vacation at the Hanoi Hilton? It wasn't SSB
>on 11 meters.

Yours aren't the examples. Give us the example where ALL VOICE and
DIGITAL communications fail ad CW is only the way to transmit your
signal. WW I and WWII aren't the example. You were just banned to
use HF spectrum in any matter. Get a life. Think of nuclear war and
say know code = know ham = know radio = know emergency. Think of
UFOs and say have guns = have bombs = have protections = have safety.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

287. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Gaskins <jgaskins@visi.net>
Message-ID: <QUJm1.1976$W31.10066576@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 1924
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cutie Boy wrote in message <359b6294.1603984@news.total.net>...
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 01:51:38 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
><wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
<snip>

>
>
>and the massive crossposting is the way to illustrate how pro-CWers
>are stupid.
>
You mean, like the way YOU cross-posted? Guess that says something about
all of the anti-CWers as well.
>
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non
sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

288. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359c29f5.10311290@news.total.net>
Source record: 1857
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 04:34:16 GMT, kd5amz@flash.net wrote:

>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 03:41:14 -0500, Dwight W9YQ <dgbcms@netnet.net>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Fran wrote:
>>
>>> i am interested in hearing some anecdotes concerning emergency communications in
>>> which cw was the only viable, available communication mode. please limit the
>>> evidence to the past 10 years and to the usa. evidence such as this is the
>>> proof in the pudding. the more the better.
>>>
>>> fran
>>
>>..... and how about the article that appeared in QST recently regarding the ham
>>that had a stroke, CW was the only way for his family and him to communicate.
>>
>>--
>>73 .. Dwight .. de W9YQ .. Fists 2765
>>
>>
>>
>
>and it was thier choice.
>that was my point,
>if you want code, do hf
>if you want hf do code long enough to get hf
>then drop that sucker like a hot potato

yep. increase code requirement speed to 50 WPM or something. I am
glad to support it.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)

Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

289. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walt <m31@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <6nhqu4$p35$1@news2.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1858
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I didn't really want to get involved in this, but like everyone else, I just had to toss my opinon out there.

We must face it, code doesn't make a better amateur! I'm not even going to touch the to-code or not-to-code discussion. Rather I will try to point out the fact that just because an amateur knows code, that doesn't make them better then a no-code amateur. Sure there are a lot of no-code idiots out there, but there are also as many idiots out there with code!

I won't argue code out here, what I will say is, if you want to improve the quality of people entering the amateur service, then you should work on the writtem exams! That's where all the knowedge is people. Think about it! I mean, knowing code is like knowing French, English, or any language. Knowing another language doesn't make someone a better amateur, but knowing how a radio works does!

It's sad to hear new amateurs on the air asking how to program their ht's! Obviously something is wrong here, and it's not because of code. It's because our written exams aren't tough enough! I mean what's 55 question multi choice exam supposed to prove. Think about it, the guy already has the answers to the questions, what kind of exam is that? It's this multi choice thing that is killing us. What happened to the old days when you actually had to know something about radios and electronics to get your license? When you actually had to study for the exam!

I suggest we all stop arguing about code, and perhaps start to work on improving the quality of our written exams. 55 questions is just not good enough! And it shouldn't be a 100% multi choice exam, why not toss a few essay questions in there too? And for god sakes, stop releasing the answers!

Keep the code, loose the code, ether way we must address the lack of quality in our written exams.

Okay, now that I've said my peace, I'll get off of my soap-box. I just thought this was a point that needed to be addressed.

73 de Walt...

290. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
Message-ID: <359cb98e.1574347@news.total.net>
Source record: 1859
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 03 Jul 1998 08:38:57 GMT, no.junk.mail@thank.you (Walt Davidson) wrote:

>When I was in Canada, I found there were so many rules and regulations
>about what could/couldn't be said on a phone-patch call, it just
>wasn't worth the hassle. For example, whilst travelling in Vancouver
>Island I found I wasn't allowed to make a phone-patch call to make a
>motel reservation .... that was deemed to be "commercial traffic"!
>(Eventually, one of the local VE7's called the motel and made the
>reservation for me ... so what the hell!)

FCC and IC told us that we can use phonepatch for ordering the pizza as well as making reservations for hotels or getting taxi from the airport. It is their business for making the profit. We don't make even a cent from ordering the pizza. It's a pizzaman's business.

>In the United Kingdom and other European countries, of course, any
>phone-patch and other third party traffic is strictly forbidden.

then I will probably write the letter to UK when I live there. If you wanna change in something, you gotta do something !! Once someone finds out that making a phone call is free, they will get the license right away and good way to keep ham spectrum.

Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
/amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
/examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/

Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.
ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.

291. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <nospam@radio.com>
Message-ID: <6njafk$iq1$1@supernews.com>
Source record: 1860
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

More ramblings from a depraved minded "boy" whose psychiatrist(s) are clueless as to what to do next for their gender confused patient.

Cutie Boy wrote in message <359b98ef.507891@news.total.net>...
>On Thu, 02 Jul 1998 06:58:03 -0600, Rod Cerkoney <rwc@mail.frii.com>
>wrote:

>yep. I support 50 WPM. go for it. destroy ham radio now. great
>job. good job. 100 WPM ? of course. CW will kick the all idiots
>out from ham radio eh... do it. Leave the freq unusued and "we"
>will take YOUR freq. MAKE MORE STRICT CW REQUIREMENT
>NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>_____
>Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Gar&#65533;on(&#65533;galement connu comme M@S@)
>Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>&#65533;tudient @ Informatique et Math&#65533;matiques d'Universit&#65533; McGill
>Montr&#65533;al, Qu&#65533;bec, Canada
>C&#65533;: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>_____
>***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

292. FS: Duplexer (Decibel Products) DB4075 $400.00 OBO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Bissell <swb1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <359D5236.717C@flash.net>
Source record: 1861
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

```
FS: Duplexer (Decibel Products) DB4075 $400.00 OBO
This was used for 1 year. Perfect condition. With factory tuning
instructions
```

293. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <359D58FE.BAC307CE@uakron.edu>
Source record: 1862
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

There are people how have dyslexia and aphasia! Yes this is a hobby, and use CW if you want. But, the "ham" hobby is about dead, it just hasn't tropped. Where are the young communications "ham's" with the computer ant internet.

http://www.dyslexia.com/
http://ifip.com/aphasia.htm
http://ifip.com/wa8phd.htm

NE7X wrote: ...

--
Lou Albert (WA8PHD NCI-1477), Voice - 330-864-0650

http://www.ifip.com/
http://www.f-stopcamera.com/

294. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Calzaretta <pcrest@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <359DA8F8.BF5DD2F4@teleport.com>
Source record: 1863
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Unfortunately, this argument is ultimately useless and self-defeating. Amateur radio will be gone in a few years because those who crave spectrum and can pay billions for it adore the fact that amateurs cannot unite.

Oh well, it was fun while it lasted.

295. Federal Signal Emerency Alert Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <6nlbg5$jj9$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 1864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.disasters.planning,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio.mods

Has anyone had any experience with the Federal Signal Corp Emergency Alert Receiver (EAR). It looks to me like it will work as a weather alert receiver for the new S.A.M.E. Format or as a fire alert receiver with to tone sequential. I found it at http://www.fsws.com/ear.htm Jim AA0FJ

296. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <359FF0F7.214B@netdoor.com>
Source record: 1865
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Would you please use your correct name or at least use your call sign.
I'm sure that I am not the only one offended by your fagget "handle".

Butch Magee, KF5DE
Cutie Boy wrote:
>
> De Sat, 4 Jul 1998 17:00:50 GMT, "niels" <niels@blast.net> a ï¿½crit
> dans le message:
>
> >How about this for a solution:
> >
> >Keep the code for the CW portions of the HF band and have a tougher written
> >exam for the phone portions of the HF bands and eliminate the code
> >requirement for the phone portions?
>
> I agree with u, but I don't know why you need to put a tougher exam
> for phone portion. Tough exams will not make good hams (i.e. no
> swearing etc.)
>
> As u know, I have code and I can join 3950. Code doesn't make me good
> ham right ??
>
> ____
> Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Garï¿½on(ï¿½galement connu comme M@S@)
> Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
> ï¿½tudient @ Informatique et Mathï¿½matiques d'Universitï¿½ McGill
> Montrï¿½al, Quï¿½bec, Canada
> Cï¿½: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
> URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)
> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universitï¿½ McGill)
> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
> Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
> http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
> ____
> ***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour rï¿½pondre.***
> ATTENTION: Je n'achï¿½terai pas ou rï¿½ponse aucun produit des Cï¿½S non sollicitï¿½s!!
> ***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

297. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW...or who is Cutie Boy?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: duckman_osu@ionet.net
Message-ID: <35a00080.43794052@news.ionet.net>
Source record: 1866
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Butch, FYI...
"Cutie Boy" is Masataka Noda, a young Japanese student in Canada.
He raises hell under several different monikers, my favorite being
"Code Sucker". He can be found on several other NG's. And, yes, Masa
is a licenced amateur radio operator, if you are wondering. He's
actually not as bad as he seems...just opinionated. But then, aren't
we all?? :)

Peace,
DUCK

On Sun, 05 Jul 1998 21:33:29 GMT, Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
wrote:

>Would you please use your correct name or at least use your call sign.
>I'm sure that I am not the only one offended by your fagget "handle".
>
>Butch Magee, KF5DE
>Cutie Boy wrote:
>>
>> De Sat, 4 Jul 1998 17:00:50 GMT, "niels" <niels@blast.net> a crit
>> dans le message:
>>
>> >How about this for a solution:
>> >
>> >Keep the code for the CW portions of the HF band and have a tougher written
>> >exam for the phone portions of the HF bands and eliminate the code
>> >requirement for the phone portions?
>>
>> I agree with u, but I don't know why you need to put a tougher exam
>> for phone portion. Tough exams will not make good hams (i.e. no
>> swearing etc.)
>>
>> As u know, I have code and I can join 3950. Code doesn't make me good
>> ham right ??
>>
>> _____
>> Cutie Boy (CB) ou Joli Garon(galement connu comme M@S@)
>> Indicatif d'appel de radioamateur: VA2QRU & VE7JPN
>> tudient @ Informatique et Mathmatiques d'Universit McGill
>> Montral, Qubec, Canada
>> C: cute@radiotelephone.net.PAS_DE_SPAM
>> URL : http://www.radiotelephone.net (Universel)

>> /amateur/ (Radio) /mcgill/ (Universit&#65533; McGill)
>> /examiner/ (Examens de U.McGill)
>> Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
>> http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
>> _____
>> ***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour r&#65533;pondre.***
>> ATTENTION: Je n'ach&#65533;terai pas ou r&#65533;ponse aucun produit des C&#65533;S non sollicit&#65533;s!!
>> ***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

298. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW...or who is Cutie Boy?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <35b16076.39282460@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 1867
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

duckman_osu@ionet.net (Dewayne "Duck" Moore) wrote:

>Butch, FYI...
> "Cutie Boy" is Masataka Noda, a young Japanese student in Canada.
>He raises hell under several different monikers, my favorite being
>"Code Sucker". He can be found on several other NG's. And, yes, Masa
>is a licenced amateur radio operator, if you are wondering. He's
>actually not as bad as he seems...just opinionated. But then, aren't
>we all?? :)

The problem is not Masa, he's fairly easy to filter out. The problem is all the idiots responding to him. I never see his posts firsthand, but I have to wade through dozens of idiots quoting him (complete with his stupid sig file) every damn day.

Be advised, I'm going to start killfiling anybody who flames Masa pretty soon. That should clean up the newsgroups quite a bit.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

299. Re: Federal Signal Emerency Alert Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu
Message-ID: <35a0e152.6206384@news.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 1868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.disasters.planning,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio.mods

On Sun, 5 Jul 1998 01:13:45 -0500, "James A. Schnoor"
<schnoor@wavetech.net> wrote:

>Sorry about that. How about http://www.fscfws.com/ear.htm
>James A. Schnoor wrote in message <6nlbg5$jj9$1@news3.mr.net>...
>>Has anyone had any experience with the Federal Signal Corp Emergency Alert
>>Receiver (EAR). It looks to me like it will work as a weather alert
>>receiver for the new S.A.M.E. Format or as a fire alert receiver with to
>>tone sequential. I found it at
>>http://www.fsws.com/ear.htm Jim AA0FJ
>>

Hi James,

In my county we are selling them to the public. They have been
configured with the tones in such a way that they are only activated
if WE set them off. That way we can assure people that they will be
heard only when a real emergency is happening locally. The local
radio station which is contracted to perform EA broadcasts also sells
a receiver, but that gets activated by them for six or seven different
counties in the area.

The ones we sell get tested twice a month, once with the test for the
school and hospital emergency receivers, and once with the outdoor
siren test. Other than that they are only activated during an
emergency in the county that would affect many people. And not just
weather...

This also gives the public a radio they can use to find out about what
is happening, rather than searching through local broadcast media
stations who may or may not know yet what is going on...

I am told that they CAN be configured as a general EA receiver and
will work anywhere in the country in that configuration. Having seen
no advertising I would bet they use the SAME technology since they are
relatively new. But that's just a guess. Hope this helps.

Charles Welborne -
Volunteer, Tippecanoe County
Emergency Management Agency (TEMA)
cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu

I do not, of course, speak for my employer.

300. Emergency Communicator event Nov 7 in Texas

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <kb5ylg@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6nquge$2pb$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 1869
Cross-posted to: dfw.general,alt.disasters.planning,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Nov 7, 1998, NCTECH 98, 7am-2pm followed by skywarn school....
North Central Texas Emergency Communicators Ham-festival

Theme is "emergency readiness."

Programs include CPR, First Aid, Skywarn, fitness, emergency communications, VE test session, indoor/outdoor swap market.

Event is hosted by Tri-County ARC of North Texas WC5C.

Sponsors: Azle Fire Department, All Saints Health System, National Weather Service, Red Cross.

Location: Azle, Texas near Fort Worth

More details and pre-registration form at
http://www.qsl.net/tcarc-ntx/index.html

301. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: gez <gez16@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <35A142A2.A6E8A65B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 1870
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

MORSE SCRAP IT>!!!

> >Support No Morse Code Testing Requirement for Amateur Radio !
> >http://www.nocode.org; http://www.radiotelephone.net/no-code/
> >_____
> >***Enlevez l' ".PAS_DE_SPAM" pour rï¿½pondre.***
> >ATTENTION: Je n'achï¿½terai pas ou rï¿½ponse aucun produit des Cï¿½S non sollicitï¿½s!!
> >***Please remove ".PAS_DE_SPAM" to reply.***

302. Re: Federal Signal Emerency Alert Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <6nrkct$5to$1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 1871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.disasters.planning,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio.mods

Who did you buy them from? How much do they cost?
How much do you sell them to the public for? Did you give any
consideration to the one by either L-Tronics or Reach?
How do you like them? Are they programmable by a PC or some
other means? Jim AA0FJ

303. Re: Federal Signal Emerency Alert Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu
Message-ID: <35a227b4.3326803@news.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 1872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.disasters.planning,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.fm,rec.ham-radio.mods

On Mon, 6 Jul 1998 17:50:32 -0500, "James A. Schnoor"
<schnoor@wavetech.net> wrote:

> Who did you buy them from?

Directly from Federal Signal.

> How much do they cost?

Something close to list price, we do not get much of a price break
only making 5-7 dollars on the sale. We were told that even if we
order 40 at once (we might need to soon) we won't get a better
price. It's something like $140, but don't quote me too closely.

> How much do you sell them to the public for?

As I said, about $5-7 more than we buy them for. That may change
soon so we can cover shipping, etc. but we don't see it as a big
money-maker.

> Did you give any
> consideration to the one by either L-Tronics or Reach?

Not sure as the sales started before I did...I just joined this
group in January.

> How do you like them? Are they programmable by a PC or some
> other means?

As far as I know they are programed at the factory. Since I have not
actually seen one yet I don't know how easy that programming is to
alter. I'm told they work extremely well. I know of one large
University (hint, hint: see email address) that is buying them as
quickly as we can get them, about 5-10 a month, and may want to place
a large order soon.

Hope this helps...

Charles Welborne -
Volunteer, Tippecanoe County
Emergency Management Agency (TEMA)
cewelborne@adpc.purdue.edu

I do not, of course, speak for my employer.

304. Looking for Mods to Kenwood TM-V7A and TH-G71A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Erney <bill@jagunet.com>
Message-ID: <35A290C6.8FE315F2@jagunet.com>
Source record: 1873
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.ham-radio.packet

I am looking for mods that will enable Kenwood's
TM-V7A mobile vhf/uhf and the TH-G71A vhf/uhf
handheld to transmit on the VHF marine band.
(Possibly WHICH diodes need to be eliminated)
If you know of such a modification or a web page
that might contain such info - PLEASE drop me an
email. It would be very much appreciated.
Thank you for taking the time to read this posting...

Bill
bill@jagunet.com

305. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: therage@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6o0f5h$j2v$1@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 1874
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359BCD95.6ACB@amsat.org>, NE7X <NE7X@amsat.org> wrote:

>
>After all, lots of the stuff you learn in collage today has nothing to
>do with real world issues. You only learn this obsolete stuff because it
>makes you think and apply the EFFORT for accomplishment! This is half of
>what a collage degree is, it proves to society that you can make the
>effort.

Your analogy between learning CW and College worked 20 years ago BUT not today..(I agree with every other part of your support for CW)..The whole purpose of college today is to

1) Extend Childhood as far as possible..

2) To meet as many people as possible so after graduation (Some 6 to 12 years later), one can "Network" themselves into a "position"..(notice NOT a JOB!)..

The only problem with CODE I see is the attitude of those that say you MUST have it..For tradition or otherwise...HAM radio is dying from lack of interest period..I don't see it as work..It just doesn't hold my interest as it once did..(PLUS, if you've been to alot of local club meetings, there's so much arguing and carping that newcomers are turned away..)..

The solution is NOT to have or do away from CODE..The problem is HOW do we keep Ham Radio ALIVE...Everything must progress or die...

Oh yea, why is it HAM equipment remains SO expensive ESPECIALLY the USED stuff?..When I go to hamfests, I see old JUNK going for as much or MORE than what it cost 20 years ago..Make the hardware AFFORDABLE!..Let's get some GOOD under-100 buck deals going AND market the HELL out of it!..That'd get more folks going..

Innovation doesn't mean forgetting the past BUT building on it for the future..

306. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: therage@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6o0fnf$j2v$2@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 1875
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359f0e6f.248089101@news.flash.net>, kd5amz wrote:

>this happened to me, I started code practice <listening> about
>a year ago, dropped it because of some of the local hams attitudes
>and mannerisms heard on the hf bands, then decided to get it on
>my own with no help from the geezer squad.

Thanks for mentioning the geezer club, er squad...If THEY had their way, we'd have to BUILD our own radios to THEIR specs, and ofcourse, BUY all the parts from THEM at an inflated price (unless they liked us and gave their friends special discounts)..

HAM is DYING people..I've got a wall full of lp's some of which will never re-released on CD's BUT I'm not screaming at the companies to either 1) Start pressing vinyl records again or 2) Make me a CD..Just like the LP spelled the death of the 78rpm and it the wire recording...

Code or NO code doesn't matter if the airwaves are controlled by stick-n-the-mud, fuddy-duddy, STUFFED SHIRTS that insist things stay static and never change..That now said, I agree CODE needs to be remembered and taught BUT does it have to be the same way it has been for the past 100 years?..

The Navy is dropping it for better or worse...If you continue, the manufactors of ham equipment will all go under for lack of new radio sales..I say, do what you can to ENCOURAGE not scold folks into learning code..

307. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: therage@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <6o0g7c$j2v$3@camel15.mindspring.com>
Source record: 1876
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359c07d4.0@news.surfsouth.com>, "Graham" <tex@mex.com> wrote:

>
>The flaw in your logic (or lack of it) is the purpose of a national park is
>NOT to provide emergency communications in the event of a disaster. That IS
>the purpose and focus of amateur radio. Ever heard of field day? What do you
>think its' purpose is? If you must be ready to provide emergency
>communications, then why not be able to do that in as many ways possible?
>
>
I think sometimes we code and no-code folks inflateth our true worth in
disastors, NOW that cell phone and digital redundancy handle most situations..

In fact, I have a buddy that flies for United that sees the ham radio folks
at our local "plane crash/disastor" drills as needing-a-life NERDS that are
worse than useless. They're IN the WAY of the professional rescuers using the
police/fire frequencies..What's needed in these scenarios is NOT a bunch of
hams running around with HT's thinking they're BIG SHOTS while tripping over
their own shoe laces..

I didn't take the test to "be a part of the action"..I did it because I like
talking to other people...BUT even that's been years..I TOO have been
turned-off by the attitude of ham radio in general..

The best hams are those that are HOME BASED/Stationary during disastor
situations...

308. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rickmudd@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <01bdab52$0b423c00$7b806ac6@pavilion>
Source record: 1780
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm sure a pilot is the best judge of disaster test results. Thanks for letting us know!

Sasquatch <therage@mindspring.com> wrote in article <6o0g7c$j2v$3@camel15.mindspring.com>...
> In article <359c07d4.0@news.surfsouth.com>, "Graham" <tex@mex.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >The flaw in your logic (or lack of it) is the purpose of a national park is
> >NOT to provide emergency communications in the event of a disaster. That IS
> >the purpose and focus of amateur radio. Ever heard of field day? What do you
> >think its' purpose is? If you must be ready to provide emergency
> >communications, then why not be able to do that in as many ways possible?
> >
> >
> I think sometimes we code and no-code folks inflateth our true worth in
> disastors, NOW that cell phone and digital redundancy handle most situations..
>
> In fact, I have a buddy that flies for United that sees the ham radio folks
> at our local "plane crash/disastor" drills as needing-a-life NERDS that are
> worse than useless. They're IN the WAY of the professional rescuers using the
> police/fire frequencies..What's needed in these scenarios is NOT a bunch of
> hams running around with HT's thinking they're BIG SHOTS while tripping over
> their own shoe laces..
>
> I didn't take the test to "be a part of the action"..I did it because I like
> talking to other people...BUT even that's been years..I TOO have been
> turned-off by the attitude of ham radio in general..
>
> The best hams are those that are HOME BASED/Stationary during disastor
> situations...
>

>

309. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <35A4F78D.3C82@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1781
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"A couple reasons for CW"

#1 CW is an art form that should never be lost.... For this reason alone CW should be a manditory requirement for a Ham license.

#2 There seems to be many experts on CW out there. Most have never been on HF other than a CB radio. The "Fact" is.... when all other modes fail, CW "Will" get through.

#3 In an emergency a battery and some wire will make a simple (Dirty) CW transmitter. The only requirement is you need Hams out there who can send & copy CW!

73's
Don, W6IQ

310. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: terrybu@newman.ens.tek.com
Message-ID: <6o3gv7$57n@newman.ens.tek.com>
Source record: 1782
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> I think sometimes we code and no-code folks inflateth our true worth in
> disastors, NOW that cell phone and digital redundancy handle most
situations..

Ever hear of hurracane Andrew and Homestead AFB. Ask them about how their
cellphones worked...Not! The only communications available was Ham radio
with a few repeaters and simplex. No Police, no fire, no emergency repeaters.
They weren't using CW though to my knowledge. But they could have.

311. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis M LaFon <n5hdq@wf.quik.com>
Message-ID: <35A57024.B6C9125C@wf.quik.com>
Source record: 1783
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Terry Burge wrote:

> > I think sometimes we code and no-code folks inflateth our true worth in
> > disastors, NOW that cell phone and digital redundancy handle most
> situations..
>
> Ever hear of hurracane Andrew and Homestead AFB. Ask them about how their
> cellphones worked...Not! The only communications available was Ham radio
> with a few repeaters and simplex. No Police, no fire, no emergency repeaters.
> They weren't using CW though to my knowledge. But they could have.

Was that the same on that came back up the Gulf and hit Louisiana..

Next day I spent 4 hours running a health/welfare net. No police
or cell phones involved .. Just me and my ham radio and other Hams.

--

FBI wants to register your guns under BRADY.
You can help repeal BRADY. Info at my site:
http://wf.quik.com/n5hdq
Curtis .. N5HDQ ..

312. Re: Looking for Mods to Kenwood TM-V7A and TH-G71A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lee Allen <n5kxi@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <35A62754.4E3C6EEA@ionet.net>
Source record: 1784
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.ham-radio.packet

What you are asking would make your radio illegal ! To
modify a amateur radio out of band makes it non type
accepted and illegal.
And the old story of " I have a licenses for that service "
won't work.
The licenses is to work that band with a type accepted
radio, not a modified illegal radio.
Just go out and buy a Marine Radio.

Bill Erney wrote:

> I am looking for mods that will enable Kenwood's
> TM-V7A mobile vhf/uhf and the TH-G71A vhf/uhf
> handheld to transmit on the VHF marine band.
> (Possibly WHICH diodes need to be eliminated)
> If you know of such a modification or a web page
> that might contain such info - PLEASE drop me an
> email. It would be very much appreciated.
> Thank you for taking the time to read this posting...
>
> Bill
> bill@jagunet.com

--
Lee
P-35 N38BT
ABS # 327873

313. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <tex@mex.com>
Message-ID: <35a6b319.0@news2.surfsouth.com>
Source record: 1785
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Who says we HAVE to justify CAW?

Graham
K4XA

314. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham <tex@mex.com>
Message-ID: <35a6b362.0@news2.surfsouth.com>
Source record: 1786
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What a time for a type-o! I mean CW.

Graham

Graham wrote in message <35a6b319.0@news2.surfsouth.com>...
>Who says we HAVE to justify CAW?
>
>Graham
>K4XA
>
>

315. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Torbj&#65533;rn Morka <scanman@online.no>
Message-ID: <6o7vjs$a35$1@news1.sol.no>
Source record: 1787
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rudi Wiedemann skrev i meldingen ...
>cut

Agree!
BUT:
I manage to obtaine my licence and is hereby a full "ham" by knowing the morse-code.
I choosehowever to only use VHF/UHF and is very rear down to HF-region.
Morse code
is never used for me, only packet or OSCAR.

Nobody can do anything with this. After a few months i decide to spend some time at HF and
is soon QRP on 20-meter on SSB with some friends, or we try the 80-meter for chat with some
OZ'ers or SM's Still no morse for me.
I hear something in cw from time to time, but dont care about it.
My expensive program for decoding morse is quite good, i use it and by reprogramming an
terminal or TNC i am QRP with CW by a PC !

The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you NEVER have to use it!
I think it sucks !!!
It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is an obstacle !

316. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Kelly <Kelly@dvol.com>
Message-ID: <35A791C5.C0DFF559@dvol.com>
Source record: 1788
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> . . The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you
> NEVER have to use it!

Nobody "has" to get a ham license, nobody "has" to operate cw when the do have a ham license, either. You don't know what you're talking about because you don't know anything about real cw beyond a code test. I've had hundreds of thousands of cw qsos over the years, as many as 1,000 cw qsos on a single contest weekend. Tune 7.000-7.050 some night if you think no ham uses or is not interested in cw.

It's your govrnment which requires the code tests and it's your government which has treaties with a couple hundred other countries in which it has agreed to require code tests for radio amateurs. Go complain to your Foreign Minister about how your lack of usable cw skills is a problem and get back to me when he "fixes" your big problem.

> I think it sucks !!!
> It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is an
> obstacle !

Which is good, otherwise the ham spectrum would be flooded with idiots and nobody could use it.

Brian Kelly w3rv

317. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Torbj&#65533;rn Morka <scanman@online.no>
Message-ID: <6o883ibli1@news1.sol.no>
Source record: 1789
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Brian Kelly skrev i meldingen <35A791C5.C0DFF559@dvol.com>...
>> . . The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you
>> NEVER have to use it!
>
>Nobody "has" to get a ham license, nobody "has" to operate cw when the do have a
>ham license, either. You don't know what you're talking about because you don't
>know anything about real cw beyond a code test. I've had hundreds of thousands
>of cw qsos over the years, as many as 1,000 cw qsos on a single contest weekend.
>Tune 7.000-7.050 some night if you think no ham uses or is not interested in cw.

Did i say that we _HAD_ to have a license ???

How many percents og all hams use it regularly ??
And would pass a test given "at the door" suddenly ??

318. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Torbj&#65533;rn Morka <scanman@online.no>
Message-ID: <6o88n5$bo9$1@news1.sol.no>
Source record: 1790
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Brian Kelly skrev i meldingen <35A791C5.C0DFF559@dvol.com>...
>> . . The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you
>> NEVER have to use it!

>It's your govrnment which requires the code tests and it's your government which
>has treaties with a couple hundred other countries in which it has agreed to
>require code tests for radio amateurs. Go complain to your Foreign Minister
>about how your lack of usable cw skills is a problem and get back to me when he
>"fixes" your big problem.

I think someday the WRC "fixes" this one for them self. To bad they have to spend
time and money just because of some amateurs.
Infact since only ham's uses cw, this is soon an obselete thing we could put
on a museum or somewhere....

>
>> I think it sucks !!!
>> It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is an
>> obstacle !
>
>Which is good, otherwise the ham spectrum would be flooded with idiots and
>nobody could use it.

Is this the reallity ??
Its to bad though, that many other operators concider them selve so perfect that i
almost pukes. But to prevent happiness i dont do it yet...

319. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dnorris@k7nono.com
Message-ID: <35a978f2.17312216@news.dancris.com>
Source record: 1791
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Torbjï¿½rn Morka" <scanman@online.no> wrote:

:-
:-Rudi Wiedemann skrev i meldingen ...
:->cut
:-
:-Agree!
:-BUT:
:-I manage to obtaine my licence and is hereby a full "ham" by knowing
the
:-morse-code.
:-I choosehowever to only use VHF/UHF and is very rear down to
HF-region.
:-Morse code
:-is never used for me, only packet or OSCAR.
:-
:-Nobody can do anything with this. After a few months i decide to
spend some
:-time at HF and
:-is soon QRP on 20-meter on SSB with some friends, or we try the
80-meter for
:-chat with some
:-OZ'ers or SM's Still no morse for me.
:-I hear something in cw from time to time, but dont care about it.
:-My expensive program for decoding morse is quite good, i use it and
by
:-reprogramming an
:-terminal or TNC i am QRP with CW by a PC !
:-
:-The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but
you
:-NEVER have to use it!
:-I think it sucks !!!
:-It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse
is an
:-obstacle !
:-

Ya...passing an eye test is an obstacle to driving too!

Damm rules.

:-

320. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ragnar Otterstad <danmec@inet.uni-c.dk>
Message-ID: <01bdae50$3cd2b320$0b00a8c0@Cyber>
Source record: 1792
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>Still no morse for me.
> I hear something in cw from time to time, but dont care about it.
> My expensive program for decoding morse is quite good, i use it and by
> reprogramming an terminal or TNC i am QRP with CW by a PC !
>
>
> It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is
an
> obstacle !

MORSE IS FUN , once you have learned it properly !
Why loose out on the fun part of it ?

321. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <35b28a48.93270829@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1793
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 13 Jul 1998 03:03:58 GMT, dnorris@k7nono.com (Remove extra 'no') wrote:

>"Torbjï¿½rn Morka" <scanman@online.no> wrote:
>
>:-
>:-Rudi Wiedemann skrev i meldingen ...
>:->cut
>:-
>:-Agree!
>:-BUT:
>:-I manage to obtaine my licence and is hereby a full "ham" by knowing
>the
>:-morse-code.
>:-I choosehowever to only use VHF/UHF and is very rear down to
>HF-region.
>:-Morse code
>:-is never used for me, only packet or OSCAR.
>:-
>:-Nobody can do anything with this. After a few months i decide to
>spend some
>:-time at HF and
>:-is soon QRP on 20-meter on SSB with some friends, or we try the
>80-meter for
>:-chat with some
>:-OZ'ers or SM's Still no morse for me.
>:-I hear something in cw from time to time, but dont care about it.
>:-My expensive program for decoding morse is quite good, i use it and
>by
>:-reprogramming an
>:-terminal or TNC i am QRP with CW by a PC !
>:-
>:-The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but
>you
>:-NEVER have to use it!
>:-I think it sucks !!!
>:-It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse
>is an
>:-obstacle !
>:-
>
>
>

>Ya...passing an eye test is an obstacle to driving too!
>
>Damm rules.
>
>
>
oh well, hurry up, get the code down long enough to get 13wpm
then get your advanced. That is plenty of room, and the extra
class license while it may make you an elitist, it is not worth it.
75khz of voice space just isn't worth the hassle.

NOW DON'T READ ANYTHING INTO THIS
I just don't feel it is worth it, I am not whining about the
requirements to get it. IF I WANTED IT, I WOULD GET IT.

I like my hf privilidges, I think that I would be just as much a ham
without them, BUT if a person wants hf they should go thru what
it takes to get the license. If they do not want the code, don't whine
about it, don't do hf, or wait until the FCC finally removes the 13/20
wpm requirements.
<that will be a very long time>

322. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6odm63$v6r$1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 1794
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Torbj&#65533;rn Morka" <scanman@online.no> wrote:

>Infact since only ham's uses cw, this is soon an obselete thing we could put
>on a museum or somewhere....

You need to turn your expensive Morse code decoder on some time
outside the ham bands. Not only hams use CW.....

>Is this the reallity ??
>Its to bad though, that many other operators concider them selve so perfect
>that i almost pukes. But to prevent happiness i dont do it yet...

I know how you feel, Boss. Every time I listen to a VHF repeater,
I almost pukes too.... But hey, if it'll make you happy, go for it.
Pukes all you wants....

73, Jim KH2D

323. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cregger <ecregger@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <35AB0D73.9BE995D2@mindspring.com>
Source record: 1795
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kd5amz@flash.net wrote:
>
> (snip) That is plenty of room, and the extra
> class license while it may make you an elitist, it is not worth it.
> 75khz of voice space just isn't worth the hassle.
>
> NOW DON'T READ ANYTHING INTO THIS
(snip)

Wow! I never thought that I would rate being
called an elitist! <G>

If you enjoy CW and have your code speed up, why
not go for the extra? The written test is nearly
as easy as the novice test, so that is not a
barrier to most people.

Truthfully, I wanted the 2x1 callsign for its
brevity on CW. Now that most, if not all, of the
2x1 callsigns have been issued, I'm not certain
that I would be bothered to go to the trouble
either. And it will be a cold summer day in
Georgia when I opt for a vanity call that has a
recurring renewal fee attached. A one time fee,
maybe. I haven't been keeping abreast of the rules
in this regard, so what I am suggesting may
already be fact.

Ed Cregger, NM2K
ecregger@mindspring.com

324. Centralina Swap Board

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: CFM <cmcmanus@twave.net>
Message-ID: <35ABFF1A.291FF264@twave.net>
Source record: 1796
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.ssb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Don't forget to check out and use the Swap Board at:

http://www.InsideTheWeb.com/messageboard/mbs.cgi/mb21061

Thanks!

325. FS: Icom IC-706

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Barlament <kc9id@netnet.net>
Message-ID: <6ok0ji$8pf$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 1797
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I have a very clean Icom IC-706 for sale fore $725 shipped to anywhere in the lower 48. It comes with original box, mike, power cable, and manuals. If you are interested e-mail me for any info.

Jim Barlament KC9ID
kc9id@netnet.net

326. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gunleik Nisi <gunleik.nospam.nisi@bokklubbene.no>
Message-ID: <01bdb0c5$3f9de440$475989c0@gni-0333.bokklubbene.no>
Source record: 1798
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Torbj�rn Morka <scanman@online.no> skrev i artikkelen <6o7vjs$a35$1@news1.sol.no>...

> The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you
> NEVER have to use it!
> I think it sucks !!!
> It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is
an
> obstacle !
>

Fact 1 - We can not open our bands to everyone, because there is not enough bandwidth on HF. Listen on 40m in Europe, and you will come to the same conclusion.
- So we need the candidates to pass some kind of test before we give away tickets.

Fact 2 - We (especially here in Norway) have a problem recruiting younger people into our hobby. The average age of the Norwegian ham is very high !.

Question!
How can you find a test that do not discriminate younger people, and still be so difficult that you need to be serious to pass it.

Solution -

CW at a speed of 8wpm
(Today we need to pass 12wpm here in Norway for the "full license", and that's a little bit to hard)
+
A better knowledge of the rules concerning amateur radio and knowledge of etiquette on the bands.
(If you know what is right, maybe you do the right things)

LA3RHA
Gunleik

327. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Hazell <ejhazell@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <GNqyDGAVMlr1Ewl8@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 1799
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
In article <01bdb0c5$3f9de440$475989c0@gni-0333.bokklubbene.no>, Gunleik
Nisi <gunleik.nospam.nisi@bokklubbene.no> writes
>
>Torbjï¿½rn Morka <scanman@online.no> skrev i artikkelen
><6o7vjs$a35$1@news1.sol.no>...
>
>> The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you
>> NEVER have to use it!
>> I think it sucks !!!
>> It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is
>an
>> obstacle !
>>
>
>Fact 1 - We can not open our bands to everyone, because there is not enough
>bandwidth on HF. Listen on 40m in Europe, and you will come to the same
>conclusion.
>- So we need the candidates to pass some kind of test before we give away
>tickets.
>
>Fact 2 - We (especially here in Norway) have a problem recruiting younger
>people into our hobby. The average age of the Norwegian ham is very high !.
>
>
>Question!
>How can you find a test that do not discriminate younger people, and still
>be so difficult that you need to be serious to pass it.
>
>Solution -
>
>CW at a speed of 8wpm
>(Today we need to pass 12wpm here in Norway for the "full license", and
>that's a little bit to hard)
>+
>A better knowledge of the rules concerning amateur radio and knowledge of
>etiquette on the bands.
>(If you know what is right, maybe you do the right things)
>
>
>LA3RHA
>Gunleik
>
```

No one with a licence has the right to moan about being restricted because they have not/cannot pass the morse test,THEY KNOW THE RULES BEFORE THEY JOIN THE CLUB.If they don't like the rules ,why are they in the club.
...g0hau..tonbridge
--
Edward Hazell

328. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Hazell <ejhazell@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Nddw3DAIGlr1EwkQ@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 1800
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <359E9054.3D53@ccnet.com>, T E I X E I R A
<teixeira@ccnet.com> writes
>How about leaving things alone! Just get your but in gear and learn
>the code....
>
>
>
>>
>> How about this for a solution:
>>
>> Keep the code for the CW portions of the HF band and have a tougher written
>> exam for the phone portions of the HF bands and eliminate the code
>> requirement for the phone portions?
It sounds more sensible than the *kill all morse* brigade.What a lot of
people do not (or refuse) to recognise is,that there is still a place
for the morse code,it is still a vital part of communications that will
succeed when the lazy push button,or microphone only operators cannot
make a good communications link.It may be old but so is democracy,and
even then there are those who would like to get rid of that.For their
own selfish reasons of course,not for the good of the rest of us ..
TED Tonbridge..

--
Edward Hazell

329. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris fox <kf4ouz@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <378E81AD.CA0BA54B@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 1801
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Swan 1200x 10-80-meter new tubes ex cond. 400.00 also have 2 complete sets of tubes for the swan for sale also. Galaxy 350 13.8VDC 4 power 10/11 meter preamp.like new 180.00 <kf4ouz@bellsouth.net>

330. Icom u-16 background humm.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF4ZEQ <kf4zeq@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <35AEA2BF.225B@yahoo.com>
Source record: 1802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Does anyone know how to correct the hum in the background of a u-16
while it is recieving, if you flip the mon. switch, the hum changes to
a tone in the background. The call readout is on while this problem
happens, but the call light stays on, on all channels that have a ctcss
tone programmed. The manual states that the call will be on if there is
a signal that is being transmitted has the proper ctcss tone, could the
background hum, be the ctcss tone itself.
Any help will be appreciated
KF4ZEQ Tony
--
Pursuant:
U.S.C. TITLE 47, CHAPTER 5, SUBCHAPTER II, a 227.
Restrictions on use of telephone equipment:
Unsolicited e-mail sent to this address is subject
to an archival fee of not less than $500 U.S. per copy. E-mail recieved
after any receipt of this notice implies acceptance of these terms.
ref: http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/47/227.shtml
implemented: 03/11/98, all documents are categorized and cross
referenced.

331. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35AF0B79.455@wt.net>
Source record: 1803
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> then get your advanced. That is plenty of room, and the extra
> class license while it may make you an elitist, it is not worth it.
> 75khz of voice space just isn't worth the hassle.
The good part is the lower 25 kc of each cw band. Thats where all the
good dx hangs out.
The fone bands don't amount to too much.
>
> NOW DON'T READ ANYTHING INTO THIS
> I just don't feel it is worth it, I am not whining about the
> requirements to get it. IF I WANTED IT, I WOULD GET IT.
>
> I like my hf privilidges, I think that I would be just as much a ham
> without them, BUT if a person wants hf they should go thru what
> it takes to get the license. If they do not want the code, don't whine
> about it, don't do hf, or wait until the FCC finally removes the 13/20
> wpm requirements.
> <that will be a very long time>
And they can't do it until international treaty is changed. The
requirement for cw is dictated by treaty worldwide. But all said, code
is easy to anyone who really wants to learn it. And attitude is
everything. If you think you won't like it, and won't use it the chances
are you won't. When I learned cw , I was in the 8th grade. I viewed it
much as a game. And it didn't take me more than a week or two to learn
the alphabet and numbers. Within a year I was outcopying alot of friends
of mine that had tickets already. I still didn't at that time. All in
the mind,and the attitude. But I view it just as another necessary part
of getting a ticket. I hate certain parts of the written
test and think much of the questions on the extra class test are fairly
silly, but I don't advocate doing away with them just because I don't
care for them. It's all the parts that equal the whole. MK

332. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35AF0C8C.7D2D@wt.net>
Source record: 1804
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> Truthfully, I wanted the 2x1 callsign for its
> brevity on CW. Now that most, if not all, of the
> 2x1 callsigns have been issued, I'm not certain
> that I would be bothered to go to the trouble
> either. And it will be a cold summer day in
> Georgia when I opt for a vanity call that has a
> recurring renewal fee attached. A one time fee,
> maybe. I haven't been keeping abreast of the rules
> in this regard, so what I am suggesting may
> already be fact.
>
> Ed Cregger, NM2K
> ecregger@mindspring.com

Well I be doggone, It's my 2 land equal... Nice call you have. Good taste...g
I think the fee is one time. Not positive , but think so. Thats the only reason I upgraded was to get a new call. Best 30 bucks I ever spent.
Long Live CW! Mark NM5K

333. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis M LaFon <n5hdq@wf.quik.com>
Message-ID: <35AF3C66.A62C585F@wf.quik.com>
Source record: 1805
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Keith wrote:

> > then get your advanced. That is plenty of room, and the extra
> > class license while it may make you an elitist, it is not worth it.
>

So, I am an elitstis ..?

I always thought I was lazy. I sat on a General for 25 years, conducted a class and when a coupl of my students got their Extra I got off my ass and went in one night and did the 20 WPM, Advanced and Extra.

Does that make me elite ? I still sat iy makes me lazy. I could have upgraded years before I did.
--

FBI wants to register your guns under BRADY.
You can help repeal BRADY. Info at my site:
http://wf.quik.com/n5hdq
Curtis .. N5HDQ ..

334. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Woodson <nc5s@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <35AFA7C2.6EB3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 1806
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Keith wrote:
>
> >
> > Truthfully, I wanted the 2x1 callsign for its
> > brevity on CW. Now that most, if not all, of the
> > 2x1 callsigns have been issued, I'm not certain
> > that I would be bothered to go to the trouble
> > either. And it will be a cold summer day in
> > Georgia when I opt for a vanity call that has a
> > recurring renewal fee attached. A one time fee,
> > maybe. I haven't been keeping abreast of the rules
> > in this regard, so what I am suggesting may
> > already be fact.
> >
> > Ed Cregger, NM2K
> > ecregger@mindspring.com
> Well I be doggone, It's my 2 land equal... Nice call you have. Good
> taste...g
> I think the fee is one time. Not positive , but think so. Thats the only
> reason I upgraded was to get a new call. Best 30 bucks I ever spent.
> Long Live CW! Mark NM5K

The fee for a vanity call is a recurring regulatory fee and will
be charged each time you license is renewed. The fee will drop to
somewhere in the neighborhood of $13 around the middle of September 98.

73

Ed NC5S

335. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd5amz@flash.net
Message-ID: <35bdfe53.450711124@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1807
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 17 Jul 1998 03:29:45 -0500, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:

>> then get your advanced. That is plenty of room, and the extra
>> class license while it may make you an elitist, it is not worth it.
>> 75khz of voice space just isn't worth the hassle.
>The good part is the lower 25 kc of each cw band. Thats where all the
>good dx hangs out.
>The fone bands don't amount to too much.
>>
>> NOW DON'T READ ANYTHING INTO THIS
>> I just don't feel it is worth it, I am not whining about the
>> requirements to get it. IF I WANTED IT, I WOULD GET IT.
>>
>> I like my hf privilidges, I think that I would be just as much a ham
>> without them, BUT if a person wants hf they should go thru what
>> it takes to get the license. If they do not want the code, don't whine
>> about it, don't do hf, or wait until the FCC finally removes the 13/20
>> wpm requirements.
>> <that will be a very long time>
>And they can't do it until international treaty is changed. The
>requirement for cw is dictated by treaty worldwide. But all said, code
>is easy to anyone who really wants to learn it. And attitude is
>everything. If you think you won't like it, and won't use it the chances
>are you won't. When I learned cw , I was in the 8th grade. I viewed it
>much as a game. And it didn't take me more than a week or two to learn
>the alphabet and numbers. Within a year I was outcopying alot of friends
>of mine that had tickets already. I still didn't at that time. All in
>the mind,and the attitude. But I view it just as another necessary part
>of getting a ticket. I hate certain parts of the written
>test and think much of the questions on the extra class test are fairly
>silly, but I don't advocate doing away with them just because I don't
>care for them. It's all the parts that equal the whole. MK

I have been hearing about a "supposed rulemaking" that is supposed
to come within 30-90 days. I could care less what they do with code,
like this gentleman said about certain written questions....I also
don't care about that elite coded segment where all the "great DX"
hangs out.......I have heard many stray into the advanced portion.
I still won't work 'em if I cannot talk to 'em. I will do an
occasional CW contact, but they will be FEW and FAR between

336. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35B04E06.7C41@wt.net>
Source record: 1808
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Curtis M LaFon wrote:
>
> Mark Keith wrote:

>
> > > then get your advanced. That is plenty of room, and the extra
> > > class license while it may make you an elitist, it is not worth it.

Says who? I didn't write that!
> >
>
> So, I am an elitstis ..?
>
> I always thought I was lazy. I sat on a General for 25 years, conducted
> a class and when a coupl of my students got their Extra I got off my
> ass and went in one night and did the 20 WPM, Advanced and Extra.
>
> Does that make me elite ? I still sat iy makes me lazy. I could have
> upgraded years before I did.
Hey Curtis, Haven't heard you on the air in a while. But then again I
guess thats partly my fault..I didn't write what they quoted me as
saying. But heck,getting my extra didn't change me a bit. I still as
obnoxious as ever...g I could have gotten mine earlier too but I'm the
lazy type myself. The only reason I upgraded was to change my call. But
I'm glad I did now. Get lots more cw room. Mark NM5K
> --
> ---
> FBI wants to register your guns under BRADY.
> You can help repeal BRADY. Info at my site:
> http://wf.quik.com/n5hdq
> Curtis .. N5HDQ ..

337. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rawiley@blue.weeg.uiowa.edu
Message-ID: <rawiley.900819506@blue.weeg.uiowa.edu>
Source record: 1809
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

.

If you have to justify CW, don't do it. An extra license offers no advantage over a tech license above 50 mhz. There is no magic to the code. If you want to learn it, put in the time and you will.

rw

338. Scientific Instrument Repair Technician needed

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Horizon Instrument Group <info@horizon2.com>
Message-ID: <35B5EC38.9628202A@horizon2.com>
Source record: 1810
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.repair,sci.chem.analytical,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

Horizon Instrument Group of Baton Rouge, Louisiana is looking to fill
the following position:

Scientific Instrument Technician

Description: Maintenance and repair of scientific analytical
instrumentation.
Qualifications: A BS degree or equivalent experience. A minimum of 2
years experience with troubleshooting and repair of scientific
instrumentation. Experience preferred in one or more of the following
areas: GC/HPLC, UV-VIS/IR/FT-IR, AA/AE/ICP, XRD/XRF, SCM/TEM, NMR or
Mass Spec. Component level electronics troubleshooting ability and/or
amateur radio license a definite plus. In house training will be
provided. Salary commensurate with experience.

Send resume by mail, e-mail or fax to:

Horizon Instrument Group
Louisiana Business & Technology Center
South Stadium Road
Baton Rouge, LA 70803
Attn: Human Resources

E-mail: info@horizon2.com
FAX: (504) 388-3975

For more information, see our web page at http://www.horizon2.com/

339. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35B97DE7.F3F6AAF@swbell.net>
Source record: 1811
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done nothing but CW for the past 8 years.

It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an obstacle for any half intelligent person.

>

340. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <35B9FDCB.643E@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1812
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Scott,

You are quite right! My son at 12 years old learned CW in 30 days. The number one obsticle to learning CW is "Laziness". There is no way around it..... It takes daily practice..... If you are not willing to invest the time and effort you will never learn code. Not ment to be judgmental, it's just a fact!

dt

> I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I
> struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for
> me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per
> night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done
> nothing but CW for the past 8 years.
>
> It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an
> obstacle for any half intelligent person.
>
> >

341. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <35BA7526.15DBCF35@uakron.edu>
Source record: 1813
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.dyslexia.com/
http://ifip.com/aphasia.htm
http://ifip.com/wa8phd.htm
Take a look!
cyberscott wrote:

> I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I
> struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for
> me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per
> night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done
> nothing but CW for the past 8 years.
>
> It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an
> obstacle for any half intelligent person.
>
> >
>

--
Lou Albert (WA8PHD NCI-1477), Voice - 330-864-0650

http://www.ifip.com/
http://www.f-stopcamera.com/

342. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35BA88FE.F9E0A056@swbell.net>
Source record: 1814
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mr. albert,

Dyslexia is a visual disorder. There is nothing visual about CW unless you are deaf. Ask the many deaf and blinf CW operators. The web pages you sent me also indicate that Dyslexia is a treatable disorder. In the case of aphasia I can see that being a special case. In fact, there are laws and provisions for people to exempt themselves from the CW requirement by having a waiver signed by a physician. I certianly would not be critical of anyone in this situation anymore than I would someone who participated in marathons in a wheelchair. I know several people from the ranks of amateur radio that have severe dyslexia. I am one of them.

Excuses are like assholes, everyone's got one!

cyberscott wrote:

> I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I
> struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for
> me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per
> night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done
> nothing but CW for the past 8 years.
>
> It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an
> obstacle for any half intelligent person.
>
> >

343. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <35BA8D1A.281F@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1815
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lou,

I am a VE and in the last 2 years I have only seen 2 people with a medical waivers. I have yet to see one with dyslexia or aphasia. I agree there are exceptions......

dt

>
> http://www.dyslexia.com/
> http://ifip.com/aphasia.htm
> http://ifip.com/wa8phd.htm
> Take a look!
> cyberscott wrote:
>

344. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <35BBC76E.3D16889E@uakron.edu>
Source record: 1816
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

To bad you are not informed!

cyberscott wrote:

> Mr. albert,
>
> Dyslexia is a visual disorder. There is nothing visual about CW unless you are
> deaf. Ask the many deaf and blinf CW operators. The web pages you sent me
> also indicate that Dyslexia is a treatable disorder. In the case of aphasia I
> can see that being a special case. In fact, there are laws and provisions for
> people to exempt themselves from the CW requirement by having a waiver signed
> by a physician. I certianly would not be critical of anyone in this situation
> anymore than I would someone who participated in marathons in a wheelchair. I
> know several people from the ranks of amateur radio that have severe dyslexia.
> I am one of them.
>
> Excuses are like assholes, everyone's got one!
>
> cyberscott wrote:
>
> > I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I
> > struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for
> > me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per
> > night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done
> > nothing but CW for the past 8 years.
> >
> > It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an
> > obstacle for any half intelligent person.
> >
> > >
>
>

--
Lou Albert (WA8PHD NCI-1477), Voice - 330-864-0650

http://www.ifip.com/
http://www.f-stopcamera.com/

345. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Scott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35BBFDAB.1B54F855@swbell.net>
Source record: 1817
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What do you mean by that? Where am I lacking information?

346. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Oakes <Doctree2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JDQu1.1980$Qd4.9406137@news.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 1818
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have no definite feelings either way. My only belief is that one should take the code test every 5 years to show proficiency much like a driver's license test. Otherwise you are fraudulently using your license if you do not remain proficient. Too many time I hear persons tell me that they got the 13 wpm just to use the phone. Oh, well. Frankly i wonder why KW, ICOm et al have not pushed this revision before now.

73
Gary/WD4ICI

cyberscott wrote in message <35B97DE7.F3F6AAF@swbell.net>...
>I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I
>struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for
>me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per
>night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done
>nothing but CW for the past 8 years.
>
>It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an
>obstacle for any half intelligent person.
>
>
>>
>

347. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <35BBFD0D.5025@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1819
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I don' have a problem with this... It will never happen. What is
really scary is your medical doctor does not have to be retested...

dt

> >I believe that practically anyone can learn CW. It really is easy. I
> >struggled with it when I got my ticket at 10 yrs. and 13 wpm was hard for
> >me too, but one day I decided that I was going to spend at least 15 min per
> >night using it. In two weeks I was copying over 20wpm in my head. Iv done
> >nothing but CW for the past 8 years.
> >
> >It may not be everyone's favorite, but it isn't too difficult to become an
> >obstacle for any half intelligent person.
> >
> >
> >>
> >

348. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbryant@SPAMSUCKS.cannet.com
Message-ID: <6pi77g$pau@dolphin.cannet.com>
Source record: 1820
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <35BBFD0D.5025@ccnet.com> T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
writes,

>I don' have a problem with this... It will never happen. What is
>really scary is your medical doctor does not have to be retested...

Failure of a retest would cause the ARRL, (or the AMA as the case may be)
to lose too much money.
--
Tom - N8ECW

Anti-spam measures in place. Make the obvious changes in my address to reply.

"The more laws, the less justice." -- Marcus Cicero.

!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!
!!!VOLT http://www.tvo.org/tfo/volt/default.html !!!VOLT!!!
!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!VOLT!!!

349. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Lee <tedlee@canada.com>
Message-ID: <xkev1.10752$xs3.11281778@news.rdc1.bc.wave.home.com>
Source record: 1821
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network management test.

I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.

Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and all other areas of communications where getting the message out is important.

350. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35BD8B35.3611@wt.net>
Source record: 1822
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
> management test.
Why, Who works slow old hf packet? Thats something for a written test
anyway.
I hate to sound like a spoil sport, but I think packet is lame. I'd
rather get on the LL modem and boogie woogie..Just my opinion though. I
feel I have the right as much as everyone knocks cw.
> I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
I can't think of much of one for hf packet. Where as I run cw almost
every day.

> Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
> all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
> important.
Except for the amateur's. So far anyway. MK

351. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: EC Therapy <docshok@sunlink.net>
Message-ID: <35BDED37.35B7@sunlink.net>
Source record: 1823
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ted Lee wrote:
>
> I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
> management test.
>
> I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>
> Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
> all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
> important.

Actually, the military still teaches CW. Ask any navy "O" brancher that
attends NTTC Corry Station, or a 98H from Fort Devans.

352. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <35BDF303.6E8@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1824
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh... packet is dying.... the internet did it in.... check out the CW portion of the bands. You will hear 100 CW stations for every packet.

dt

> I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
> management test.
>
> I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>
> Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
> all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
> important.

353. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: COP@NET.COM
Message-ID: <oqmv1.808$Cj4.440501@news.mci2000.com>
Source record: 1825
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <35BDF303.6E8@ccnet.com>, teixeira@ccnet.com wrote:
>Oh... packet is dying.... the internet did it in.... check out the CW
>portion of the bands. You will hear 100 CW stations for every packet.
>
>dt
>
>>
>> I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
>> management test.
>>
>> I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>>
>> Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
>> all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
>> important.

What If I'm not interested in packet. Should I be forced to take the test on packet?

COP

354. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Lemken <Plemken@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <6plnbg$d8$1@fu-berlin.de>
Source record: 1826
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Ted Lee" <tedlee@canada.com> wrote:
>I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
>management test.
>
>I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>
>Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
>all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
>important.

I think that in order to be spared a morse code test, one should be able to display an adequate usage of one's native language with respect to grammar and spelling.

Now, wouldn't that make the numbers of CW licenses issued in the US take a considerable step towards a majority of the hams tested? Imagine the results...

Peter Lemken
Berlin
DF5JT/KE4UNQ

--

"a 20 WPM General class? You've got to be kidding, Sir!"

355. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35BECD4A.97040DAF@swbell.net>
Source record: 1732
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said

T E I X E I R A wrote:

> Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
> on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
> heard anything of real value on phone?
>
> It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
>
> Cheers,
> dt

356. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <35BE71F0.4C53@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1827
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you heard anything of real value on phone?

It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.

Cheers,
dt

357. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jls <jls1@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <35C08F4E.D6E2196F@lightspeed.net>
Source record: 1733
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Never heard much of value on cw either example: your rst is, qth is, name hr is, wx is, the rig here is, etc. All repetitive boring stuff.
cyberscott wrote:

> Well said
>
> T E I X E I R A wrote:
>
> > Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
> > on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
> > heard anything of real value on phone?
> >
> > It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > dt

358. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35C0AF9A.523F@wt.net>
Source record: 1734
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
> Never heard much of value on cw either example: your rst is, qth is, name hr
> is, wx is, the rig here is, etc. All repetitive boring stuff.

If you can only do 5 wpm that is about all you will be able to do within
a normal time span. Most people that REALLY run cw go fast enough to
have a fairly normal conversation. Besides not much different on fone.
Yer loud here, yep, here too, boy it sure is hot, yep, here too, hey you
still on yer old rice box? yep, how bout you?, yep, same here, etc,ad
nausium...MK

359. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Price <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <35C10739.8647C92@home.com>
Source record: 1735
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I failed the General test because of CW twice. I finally got it. That was back in 1959. I still enjoy CW and use it. If I can learn it, anyone can.

Alan
W1HYV

360. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35C133A8.70CBED5F@swbell.net>
Source record: 1736
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I must admit that there is much redundancey on CW, but if you look around, especially on the lower freqs., you can find some OT's, and me for that matter, having some good conversation.

jls wrote:

> Never heard much of value on cw either example: your rst is, qth is, name hr
> is, wx is, the rig here is, etc. All repetitive boring stuff.
> cyberscott wrote:
>
> > Well said
> >
> > T E I X E I R A wrote:
> >
> > > Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
> > > on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
> > > heard anything of real value on phone?
> > >
> > > It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > dt

361. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rickmudd@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <01bdbc3b$dc93ad80$4f816ac6@pavilion>
Source record: 1737
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I like to tell the story about when I took the General test a couple of months ago. This old fart (I use the term with affection...he's a friend) about 85 years old came in to sit through the 20 wpm and 13 wpm while waiting for his 5 wpm test. While I stuggled through the 13 wpm he copied 100% perfect copy. He was pissed because now he has to study for the general written...

Rick
KC7WGS

Alan Price <career1@home.com> wrote in article
<35C10739.8647C92@home.com>...
> I failed the General test because of CW twice. I finally got it. That
> was back in 1959. I still enjoy CW and use it. If I can learn it,
> anyone can.
>
> Alan
> W1HYV
>

362. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Denman <pdenman@artelco.com>
Message-ID: <6prntb$hao@news.cei.net>
Source record: 1738
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well here my $ 0.02 worth, It took me 2 years to pass the 20 wpm for extra class. The only reasoned I stuck with it is because I have a $ 1500.00 radio setting on my desk and I wonted to be able to use all of the band and not just a little proton. I think if we don't do something to bring more interest to our hobby we are going to lose more bands. Look at the internet, You can communicate with any one in the world and don't have to take any test
AC5OM

363. cat 1000 questions...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <6prq7e$m4i$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 1739
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

ok, this one got me... cat 1000 comes in the mail. cost mega bucks, BIG dollars. but no software!!! it's extra! what a surprise, indeed. for that money you would think they'd offer up software with it. disappointing. anyone have a copy to save me a few hours? would appreciate it and could offer trades for your trouble.
thanks in advance!
Randy

364. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Casey Bahr <casey.bahr@intel.com>
Message-ID: <35C20411.2D11@intel.com>
Source record: 1740
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Pat Denman wrote:
>
> Well here my $ 0.02 worth, It took me 2 years to pass the 20 wpm for extra
> class. The only reasoned I stuck with it is because I have a $ 1500.00 radio
> setting on my desk and I wonted to be able to use all of the band and not
> just a little proton. I think if we don't do something to bring more
> interest to our hobby we are going to lose more bands. Look at the internet,
> You can communicate with any one in the world and don't have to take any
> test
> AC5OM

Well, that last statement is a bit of an exaggeration OM, but it
may one day get to that point. On the other hand, what is the
challenge in that? I thought part of the hobby was the way it
challenges you to learn new things and push yourself (I guess
you didn't enjoy getting up to 20 wpm at all and feel no
pride in having accomplished it) both in terms of technical
ability and operating skill. When you can get a ham license for
just sending in a few boxtops from the cereal box, that's when
ham radio will be really dead.
73,

--
Casey, KS7J, FNW #1, FISTS #3648, CC #450
Trustee, FISTS Northwest, K7FFF, FISTS #4400, CC #457
http://www.imagina.com/webpages/casey/k7fff

365. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-07-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Hazell <ejhazell@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <65q9OCA6xhw1EwZH@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 1741
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <xkev1.10752$xs3.11281778@news.rdc1.bc.wave.home.com>, Ted Lee <tedlee@canada.com> writes
>I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
>management test.
>
>I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>
>Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
>all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
>important.
>
>There is a vast difference between amateur and commercial radio.The commercial has to keep the budget down,and this they can do by doing away with radio operators and replacing them with a bridge/hand held phone through satellites.The 'self training'aspect does not come in to it with them,we do not have ready access to satellites,and if we did,it would be the same as having a telephone in our shacks.I fail to see how any one can try making comparisons between amateur and commercial radio.They are worlds apart.Also,if a satellite goes down,then that is that,there is no way you can do a 'make and mend' repair.Anyone saying that morse does not have any use in this world of ours does not have the first understanding of morse/cw.Please do not make senseless remarks to justify the fact that those who cannot make the necessary standard will not try harder,but will try all means to drag the standard down to their own level.Morse does get through when other 'easy methods'will not..
TED.G0HAU..TONBRIDGE...KENT
>
>

--
Edward Hazell

366. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Us 2 <HeyYou2@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6pvfep$g7a$1@news-in.anc.net>
Source record: 1742
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

When I first got my ticket - I thought there was no use for CW either. Then 10mtrs closed and it was all that was left. I sat and listened to 40mtrs - learned cw and started to enjoy it. Now can send and recieve over 30wpm by hand. Once you learn it you will enjoy it. Or at least that's the way it worked here.

N3JFF
Ted Lee wrote in message ...
>I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
>management test.
>
>I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>
>Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
>all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
>important.
>
>
>
>

367. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35C3F1E8.372CB1AB@swbell.net>
Source record: 1743
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That's how it happened to me. Once I took the 15-20 min a day to really learn it, I really enjoyed it. My radio doesn't even have a voice mode.

Us 2 wrote:

> When I first got my ticket - I thought there was no use for CW either. Then
> 10mtrs closed and it was all that was left. I sat and listened to 40mtrs -
> learned cw and started to enjoy it. Now can send and recieve over 30wpm by
> hand. Once you learn it you will enjoy it. Or at least that's the way it
> worked here.
>
> N3JFF
> Ted Lee wrote in message ...
> >I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
> >management test.
> >
> >I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
> >
> >Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
> >all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
> >important.
> >
> >
> >
> >

368. Re: cat 1000 questions...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: omcdaniel.abcd@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <35c3d550.28246180@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 1744
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 31 Jul 1998 03:03:14 -0400, "The Crew" <dc@citynet.net> wrote:

>ok, this one got me... cat 1000 comes in the mail. cost mega bucks, BIG
>dollars. but no software!!! it's extra! what a surprise, indeed. for that
>money you would think they'd offer up software with it. disappointing.
>anyone have a copy to save me a few hours? would appreciate it and could
>offer trades for your trouble.
>thanks in advance!
>Randy
>
>

I'm not sure what software you should have received, since we are using the CAT 300 DX which had none and needed none. Perhaps you're referring to the "Programming under Windows" software, which we don't use. All our programming was done with TT phones, one button at a time!

On the other hand I did try an approach they sort of mentioned, but not really with enthusiasm. (Good reason too, they didn't know enough about it to push it.) They sold me an RS 232 interface, and I provided the modem at the repeater site. The idea being to program the controller remotely from a computer, and of course then you do need their software. I bought the software for the CAT 300 DX, and have it now. But after going thru all this, I found their real intent was to have me take a laptop computer to the repeater site, and download directly thru the RS 232 interface. The idea of using a modem and doing it remotely was sort of an off the wall suggestion they made. It took me hours and hours to finally get it to work, which I did. They really had no interest in helping me either, were so disinterested they offered to give me the money back on the interface and quietly go away. Not a happy parting.

Good luck,

Olin McDaniel, W4PFZ

To reply by email, please remove ".abcd" from Return address

369. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <35ED4190.1851A192@netdoor.com>
Source record: 1745
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gotta tell you Dads' out there, the Novice Ticket makes a wonderfull father and son/daughter project. Try it. Don't get discouraged because the kids just "soak up" the code like a spong and you have to work at it. Thats just the way it is. Kids have NO problem kicking your behind on code. The theory portion will put you back on equal ground for a while. :o) A short while only though!

Butch KF5DE

cyberscott wrote:

> That's how it happened to me. Once I took the 15-20 min a day to really learn
> it, I really enjoyed it. My radio doesn't even have a voice mode.
>
> Us 2 wrote:
>
> > When I first got my ticket - I thought there was no use for CW either. Then
> > 10mtrs closed and it was all that was left. I sat and listened to 40mtrs -
> > learned cw and started to enjoy it. Now can send and recieve over 30wpm by
> > hand. Once you learn it you will enjoy it. Or at least that's the way it
> > worked here.
> >
> > N3JFF
> > Ted Lee wrote in message ...
> > >I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
> > >management test.
> > >
> > >I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
> > >
> > >Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard, government, and
> > >all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
> > >important.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

370. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan Councill <a1mc@telepath.com>
Message-ID: <35C488D7.257E@telepath.com>
Source record: 1746
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Torbjï¿½rn Morka wrote:
>
> Rudi Wiedemann skrev i meldingen ...
> >cut
>
> Agree!
> BUT:
> I manage to obtaine my licence and is hereby a full "ham" by knowing the
> morse-code.
> I choosehowever to only use VHF/UHF and is very rear down to HF-region.
> Morse code
> is never used for me, only packet or OSCAR.
>
> Nobody can do anything with this. After a few months i decide to spend some
> time at HF and
> is soon QRP on 20-meter on SSB with some friends, or we try the 80-meter for
> chat with some
> OZ'ers or SM's Still no morse for me.
> I hear something in cw from time to time, but dont care about it.
> My expensive program for decoding morse is quite good, i use it and by
> reprogramming an
> terminal or TNC i am QRP with CW by a PC !
>
> The ticket is clear, you have to know it to get a licence on HF, but you
> NEVER have to use it!
> I think it sucks !!!
> It also prevents many from getting this as a hobby because the morse is an
> obstacle !
I agree and I remember that the late senator goldwater had two fantastic
base set-ups and pushed for code to remain but when he sat at his radios
he would use modems and computer 90% of the time.
All the code/cw amateurs that want code/cw to be part of testing and
operation need to wake up as do the no code/cw amateurs code needs to go
and proper manners need to be improved, We all need to help the
manufactures to promote amateur radio and get more of the public
interested and involved in amateur radio not to increase sales but to
keep the frequencies we have and to keep amateur radio ALIVE.
Come on now people amateurs/ham's men and women and teens lets get the
band wagon going and keep our hobby/passion alive and well and we need
to police our air-ways and help the fcc to get rid of the abusers the
foul language and dead carriers the trouble makers the one's that give
amateur radio a bad name off the air.

SAVE Amateur Radio promote it work to make it grow, work to make it Live for another hundred years or longer and as for me I have been getting my children hooked on amateur radio and hope the carry it on after Im gone.

371. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35C4D224.D45@wt.net>
Source record: 1747
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Come on now people amateurs/ham's men and women and teens lets get the
> band wagon going and keep our hobby/passion alive and well and we need
> to police our air-ways and help the fcc to get rid of the abusers the
> foul language and dead carriers the trouble makers the one's that give
> amateur radio a bad name off the air.

Now, thats a earfull! Have you ever tried contacting the fcc to get rid of illegal op's? If you have you will know that it doesn't work. If we hear a illegal station, would would you suggest we do? We have had problems on the local repeaters here and have called the fcc until we are purple in the face and little gets done. After about 5 years of bugging them, they finally showed up. They got after one guy that a local had nabbed live and in color sitting in his car. Not a whole lot really happened, and all the worst offenders remain trashing the repeaters. What would you suggest we do about foul language if the fcc refuses to file because of first ammendmant rights? Dead carriers? I outcarrier them... I've found the fcc to be fairly useless as far as enforcement. Not their fault, they don't have the funds /man/woman power. I can think of a real good way to get the goofballs off the radio, but it pretty much entails me beating the crap out of them. Then, I will probably be the one in legal trouble. I can tell by your statements you don't have too much experiance dealing with the fcc. Also no way to self police if we can't make a legal radio citizens arrest. In other words, we can't do it with the present system. MK

372. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <35C4CD8B.4A7D@wt.net>
Source record: 1748
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Butch Magee wrote:
>
> Gotta tell you Dads' out there, the Novice Ticket makes a wonderfull father and
> son/daughter project. Try it. Don't get discouraged because the kids just "soak
> up" the code like a spong and you have to work at it. Thats just the way it is.
> Kids have NO problem kicking your behind on code.
Yep, thats because they lack the negative mindset to learning code. It's
all in the brain. If you think you will hate code, you will. MK

373. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: richm@ucesucks.mulveyr.roc.servtech.com
Message-ID: <slrn6s9t9k.p6l.richm@ll.aa2ys.ampr.org>
Source record: 1749
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 02 Aug 1998 15:35:23 -0500, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
>Yep, thats because they lack the negative mindset to learning code. It's
>all in the brain. If you think you will hate code, you will. MK

Not quite. Particularly between the ages of 6-11, children have the
ability to acquire languages and communications methods at rates
far exceeding those of adults. Making the assertion that a "negative
mindset" is the culprit may be emotionally satisfying if you have a
pro-Morse test agenda to promote, but ignores a lot of physiological mechanisms
behind how people learn.

-Rich

--
Rich Mulvey
My return address is my last name,
followed by my first initial, @mulveyr.roc.servtech.com
http://mulveyr.roc.servtech.com
Amateur Radio: aa2ys@wb2wxq.#wny.ny.usa

374. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35C502EB.10BF2A53@swbell.net>
Source record: 1750
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Its amazing how we always want to lower our standards so that people can join the ranks. Why don't we just do away with things like algebra or english lit. in our schools, that way everyone could get their degree. I mean I have really never had much use for the 3 years of algebra that I took. My current career doesn't make use of it. English lit., well, that speaks for itself. The fact is that people just don't want to work at anything these days. They want it all for the minimum amount of effort. Sure you say the manufactures need more people to feed their market, or people with dyslexia are handicapped, but 20 years ago this wasn't even a big issue. Frankly, excuses are like asshole, everybody has one! We gave an inch with the no code and now they want a mile! I urge everyone to speak up, not only to the ARRL, but to your congressmen and senators. We worked hard for our HF privileges and now we are letting them slip away to anyone who wants them.

We welcome new people to the hobby, it is the only way to keep it alive. We understand the process of learning code can be intimidating but it is not impossible for anyone. Some of you guys wanting to drop the code should be ashamed of yourselves! What kind of example are we setting for or children when we present an example that lowering standards is okay to accommodate slow learners. Being a slow learner doesn't mean someone can't learn it just means it may take 2 weeks instead of 1 to learn the code. Should we do the same for our academic standards in our high schools and universities?

If we loose it then we are all to blame. The OT's that let it slip away, and the newcomers that worked to take it away.

DISGUSTING

cyberscott wrote:

> Well said
>
> T E I X E I R A wrote:
>
> > Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
> > on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
> > heard anything of real value on phone?
> >
> > It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > dt

375. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35C5147C.26A3@ibm.net>
Source record: 1751
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

cyberscott wrote:
> We worked hard for our HF privileges and now
> we are letting them slip away to anyone who wants them.

Years ago, I paid $3000 for a 4.7MHz PC with only floppy drives. My friend just paid $1000 for a 200MHz Pentium PC laptop with 2G hard drive and CD ROM drive. Should I be jealous of him? Times change. Why should he pay as much as I did. Your HF privileges are not "slipping away" anymore than my PC above slipped away when my friend bought a better machine for less. Do you feel the same way about people who win the lottery? You don't have any less money even though they got something for almost nothing.

> We welcome new people to the hobby, ...

After they jump through the hazing hoops.

> Should we do the same for
> our academic standards in our high schools and universities?

Nope, but then professional endeavors and amateur hobbies have never had much in common.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore

376. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <35C54DF7.EDEDE24@swbell.net>
Source record: 1752
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:

> cyberscott wrote:
> > We worked hard for our HF privileges and now
> > we are letting them slip away to anyone who wants them.
>
> Years ago, I paid $3000 for a 4.7MHz PC with only floppy drives. My friend
> just paid $1000 for a 200MHz Pentium PC laptop with 2G hard drive and CD
> ROM drive. Should I be jealous of him? Times change. Why should he pay as
> much as I did. Your HF privileges are not "slipping away" anymore than my
> PC above slipped away when my friend bought a better machine for less. Do
> you feel the same way about people who win the lottery? You don't have any
> less money even though they got something for almost nothing.
>
> > We welcome new people to the hobby, ...
>
> After they jump through the hazing hoops.
>
> > Should we do the same for
> > our academic standards in our high schools and universities?
>
> Nope, but then professional endeavors and amateur hobbies have never had much
> in common.

Neither have the price of technology, lotteries and code requirements.

> I'm not talking about lossing HF privliges and I appologize for wording it to
> give that impression.

What I am speaking about is that small barrier that separates people who have the self discipline enough to jump a hurdle instead of crawling under it. It sets a standard that invites the type of individual who doesn't require much policing. Someone who has worked for a privilege and wants to take care of it. Granted, amateur radio is not void of some huge problems. I hear a lot of racist crap on the low bands, jamming, obscenities etc. but for the most part the amateur bands are in fair shape (I only operate HF, so I can't in include 50+mhz in that statement). I have noticed that most of the rise in the amateur problems have increased over the past 10-15 years. I'm not sure what that means. Maybe someone can come up with an explanation.

If it were up to me, I would do away with any technical knowledge required for a license. In this day of plug and play technology who needs to know how a transistor works or how to plot a smith chart. The written is equally as difficult for me as the code is to some people. Is that next? Will it come to

the point that all one has to do is send in an application for a call sign?

73
Brent Scott, N5CLK

P.S. can anyone tell me why when I look for my old call sign on call sign databases it doesn't come up with a cross reference to my new call?

> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore

377. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Hazell <ejhazell@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6ott6HAjwgx1EwgK@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 1753
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <6pvfep$g7a$1@news-in.anc.net>, Us 2 <HeyYou2@bigfoot.com>
writes
>When I first got my ticket - I thought there was no use for CW either. Then
>10mtrs closed and it was all that was left. I sat and listened to 40mtrs -
>learned cw and started to enjoy it. Now can send and recieve over 30wpm by
>hand. Once you learn it you will enjoy it. Or at least that's the way it
>worked here.
>
>N3JFF
>Ted Lee wrote in message ...
>>I think that the CW test should be replace with a HF packet network
>>management test.
>>
>>I still can think of any really use for CW now a days.
>>
>>Everybody has drop the used of CW in military, coast guard,
government, and
>>all other areas of communications where getting the message out is
>>important.
>>
>>
>>What is an hf packet management test,and what would it prove,that you
can plug it in and turn it on ? then what ? ,how will it help with
regards to the 'phone bands (which would soon become unworkable,clogged
up and full of argueing as to who has the right to the frequency that
several calls are trying to use all at once).Think of how many cw
stations could work in the same area without any problems.But
then,making remarks like that must prove you have not the slightest
understanding of the use of morse.I do wish you guys would either work
to achieve the necessary standard or go back to c.b.
>>g0hau..TONBRIDGE..

>
>

--
Edward Hazell

378. DX-pedition planning

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Meckley <suemeckley@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <35C681E6.5C3F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 1754
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am planning on sailing to south pacific for live-long dx pedition.
I do not plan on comming back. Instead I want to do like Danny Greene
did in the 50's and 60's on his yacht "Yasmi".

Am I correct in thinking that Hams might want to fly out to where I am
and crew on the next let....and of course operate /MM and at the next dx
spot?

Any suggestions as to which spots to 'put on the air ' first.

Susan Meckley W7KFI/MM2 S.V. Menage-A-Trois (46' cutter rigged)

379. Re: DX-pedition planning

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <35C689F3.4BFD@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 1755
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Susan Meckley wrote:
>
> I am planning on sailing to south pacific for live-long dx pedition.
> I do not plan on comming back. Instead I want to do like Danny Greene
> did in the 50's and 60's on his yacht "Yasmi".
>
> Am I correct in thinking that Hams might want to fly out to where I am
> and crew on the next let....and of course operate /MM and at the next dx
> spot?
>
> Any suggestions as to which spots to 'put on the air ' first.
>
> Susan Meckley W7KFI/MM2 S.V. Menage-A-Trois (46' cutter rigged)

Ahoy -- Good Ship Menage-A-Troi
Avast ye DX Lubbers, and where might ye be sailing fer??
Skull Island sez ye, shiver me timbers buts thats the home of
K1NG Kï¿½NG and he don't low no Dxpeditions there.
Better plan on Fantasy Isle -- dey got Da Plane Da Plane!!
Or one might furl the scuppers and head for
Tabacco Spit next to Mary Cay

Avoid Grief Reef sez I
A good CW spot might be QueEss Cay
But Avoid Pay Atoll and Bootlegger's Strait, however
But Have a Bon Voyagee Maties sez I.

Me thinks your post is akin to Troll Atoll, Maties

73
Rod - land Locked in San Diego

BTW - Who's Robert???

--
AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 70 PAGES AND 2000 LINKS
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- Home Page
http://www.ac6v.com/pageas.html -- Alphabetical Index

380. Re: DX-pedition planning

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6q6ije$ql2$1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 1756
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Susan Meckley <suemeckley@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I am planning on sailing to south pacific for live-long dx pedition.
>I do not plan on comming back. Instead I want to do like Danny Greene
>did in the 50's and 60's on his yacht "Yasmi".

Unfortunatly, that happens to a lot of cruisin' hams..... But
most of them WERE planning on coming back....

>Am I correct in thinking that Hams might want to fly out to where I am
>and crew on the next let....and of course operate /MM and at the next dx
>spot?

I doubt it. But I'm sure you could find some cruzers that want to
go along. Check the inter island net on 14.315 at 0800z daily, I
think you'll find somebody to go along for the ride. When you get to
the DX spot, please don't operate /MM. Put your feet on the beach.
If you operate /MM you'll become famous like Don Miller, not like
Lloyd and Iris.

>Any suggestions as to which spots to 'put on the air ' first.

Yes, I'd recommend P5. I think that would probably be very popular with
everyone you speak to on the radio. Don't forget to enlist a QSL manager
before you go, and take along an Inmarsat terminal so you can email
back the logs. Have a nice trip.

>Susan Meckley W7KFI/MM2 S.V. Menage-A-Trois (46' cutter rigged)

73, Jim KH2D N.S.V. Typhoon Proof (48' concrete house)

381. Re: Mods for Icom IC-2350

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6q6jt6$r01$4@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 1757
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Joe" <mods@roity.com> wrote:

>HI all

>I've found the mod for the IC-2350 online but have had trouble carrying out
>the mod. Could someone please clarify exactly which diode to cut and where
>it is?

>I appreciate your time,

>Thanks,
>Joe

It's D16. On the main circuit board, between R22 and C63.

73, Jim KH2D

382. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Oakes <Doctree2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jfux1.2217$Qd4.13834186@news.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 1758
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The most important thought being lost in all aspects is that ham radio is a hobby. It does have serious sides (ARES/RACES/ARC, ad nauseum) but it should primarily be fun. I agree with a basic code test, in fact I never argued about the current system. It was a rite of passage.

I also enjoy assembling models, yet I do not have silk screening or painting perfected. For those who enjoy code they are free to embellish and enjoy this aspect. Similarly for packet, TV, etc..

When it ceases to be fun, get out. In the meantime let's accentuate the positive aspects of our hobby and quite debating items which will change. Versatility, after all, is what the majority of you have professed in your notes.
Godspeed

383. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Lee <tedlee@canada.com>
Message-ID: <L4yx1.18430$xs3.15913909@news.rdc1.bc.wave.home.com>
Source record: 1759
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you want to lose the HF bands, just keep CW.

Look at the trends in HF and VHF.

I rest my case.

VE7LEE

384. Mods for Icom IC-2350

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <mods@roity.com>
Message-ID: <01bdbf73$f204ff80$734a17cb@roity.john>
Source record: 1760
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

HI all

I've found the mod for the IC-2350 online but have had trouble carrying out the mod. Could someone please clarify exactly which diode to cut and where it is?

I appreciate your time,

Thanks,
Joe

385. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: codeman@gj.net
Message-ID: <35c6f042.0@news.gj.net>
Source record: 1761
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I agree with your thoughts of not lowering standards be it radio or school. However, you can drop old outdated or non use techniques and put in place something of equal importants to keep the standards up and be more useful. Case in point, as far as higher learning in school: Drop the courses on how to operated a mathematic sliderule and put a course on how to program a computer. Twenty years ago, sliderules were widespread and people needed to know how to use one, because the electronic age hadn't come yet. Now that the computer age is here, sliderules are history. So drop the sliderule and put computer programing in its place, you haven't lowered your standards. You can do the same thing with radio license testing. I view the code testing about the same as learning the sliderule. Interesting but limited to todays world. If someone wants to learn either skill, go for it.

I wish I could have gotten by with only three years of algebra. When I went to college, we started in calculus and it was presumed everyone had a good foundation in algebra. Should we make everyone take calculus just because?

BTW, I am a General class and I learned code, but it was a nine month struggle and I have promptly forgot it. CQ, CQ, CQ.... MY NAME IS, MY QTH IS..... doesn't interest me. Don't miss code either. I am glad that I was exposed to it on the otherhand.

Ross

cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net> wrote:

>Its amazing how we always want to lower our standards so that people can join
>the ranks. Why don't we just do away with things like algebra or english lit.
>in our schools, that way everyone could get their degree. I mean I have
>really never had much use for the 3 years of algebra that I took. My current
>career doesn't make use of it. English lit., well, that speaks for itself.
>The fact is that people just don't want to work at anything these days. They
>want it all for the minimum amount of effort. Sure you say the manufactures
>need more people to feed their market, or people with dyslexia are
>handicapped, but 20 years ago this wasn't even a big issue. Frankly, excuses
>are like asshole, everybody has one! We gave an inch with the no code and now
>they want a mile! I urge everyone to speak up, not only to the ARRL, but to
>your congressmen and senators. We worked hard for our HF privileges and now
>we are letting them slip away to anyone who wants them.

>We welcome new people to the hobby, it is the only way to keep it alive. We
>understand the process of learning code can be intimidating but it is not
>impossible for anyone. Some of you guys wanting to drop the code should be
>ashamed of yourselves! What kind of example are we setting for or children
>when we present an example that lowering standards is okay to accommodate slow
>learners. Being a slow learner doesn't mean someone can't learn it just means
>it may take 2 weeks instead of 1 to learn the code. Should we do the same for
>our academic standards in our high schools and universities?

>If we loose it then we are all to blame. The OT's that let it slip away, and
>the newcomers that worked to take it away.

>DISGUSTING

>cyberscott wrote:

>> Well said
>>
>> T E I X E I R A wrote:
>>
>> > Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
>> > on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
>> > heard anything of real value on phone?
>> >
>> > It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
>> >
>> > Cheers,
>> > dt

386. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: COP@NET.COM
Message-ID: <PxDx1.574$ZJ1.394983@news.mci2000.com>
Source record: 1762
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <35c6f042.0@news.gj.net>, codeman@gj.net wrote:
>I agree with your thoughts of not lowering standards be it radio or
>school. However, you can drop old outdated or non use techniques and
>put in place something of equal importants to keep the standards up
>and be more useful. Case in point, as far as higher learning in
>school: Drop the courses on how to operated a mathematic sliderule
>and put a course on how to program a computer. Twenty years ago,
>sliderules were widespread and people needed to know how to use one,
>because the electronic age hadn't come yet. Now that the computer age
>is here, sliderules are history. So drop the sliderule and put
>computer programing in its place, you haven't lowered your standards.
>You can do the same thing with radio license testing. I view the
>code testing about the same as learning the sliderule. Interesting
>but limited to todays world. If someone wants to learn either skill,
>go for it.

Why do you say limited when it is the second most used mode?
>
>I wish I could have gotten by with only three years of algebra. When
>I went to college, we started in calculus and it was presumed everyone
>had a good foundation in algebra. Should we make everyone take
>calculus just because?
>
>BTW, I am a General class and I learned code, but it was a nine month
>struggle and I have promptly forgot it. CQ, CQ, CQ.... MY NAME IS,
>MY QTH IS..... doesn't interest me. Don't miss code either. I am
>glad that I was exposed to it on the otherhand.
>
>Ross
>
>cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net> wrote:
>
>>Its amazing how we always want to lower our standards so that people can join
>>the ranks. Why don't we just do away with things like algebra or english lit.
>>in our schools, that way everyone could get their degree. I mean I have
>>really never had much use for the 3 years of algebra that I took. My current
>>career doesn't make use of it. English lit., well, that speaks for itself.
>>The fact is that people just don't want to work at anything these days. They
>>want it all for the minimum amount of effort. Sure you say the manufactures
>>need more people to feed their market, or people with dyslexia are
>>handicapped, but 20 years ago this wasn't even a big issue. Frankly, excuses
>>are like asshole, everybody has one! We gave an inch with the no code and now
>>they want a mile! I urge everyone to speak up, not only to the ARRL, but to

>>your congressmen and senators. We worked hard for our HF privileges and now
>>we are letting them slip away to anyone who wants them.
>
>>We welcome new people to the hobby, it is the only way to keep it alive. We
>>understand the process of learning code can be intimidating but it is not
>>impossible for anyone. Some of you guys wanting to drop the code should be
>>ashamed of yourselves! What kind of example are we setting for or children
>>when we present an example that lowering standards is okay to accommodate slow
>>learners. Being a slow learner doesn't mean someone can't learn it just means
>>it may take 2 weeks instead of 1 to learn the code. Should we do the same for
>>our academic standards in our high schools and universities?
>
>>If we loose it then we are all to blame. The OT's that let it slip away, and
>>the newcomers that worked to take it away.
>
>>DISGUSTING
>
>>cyberscott wrote:
>
>>> Well said
>>>
>>> T E I X E I R A wrote:
>>>
>>> > Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
>>> > on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
>>> > heard anything of real value on phone?
>>> >
>>> > It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
>>> >
>>> > Cheers,
>>> > dt
>
>
>
>
>

387. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: codeman@gj.net
Message-ID: <35c7ae07.0@news.gj.net>
Source record: 1763
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

C

So drop the sliderule and put
>>computer programing in its place, you haven't lowered your standards.
>>You can do the same thing with radio license testing. I view the
>>code testing about the same as learning the sliderule. Interesting
>>but limited to todays world. If someone wants to learn either skill,
>>go for it.

I say limited basically because most CW people send and receive at a slow speed 5, 13, 20WPM or maybe more?) Yes there is a small percentage of people that can copy at 25+ WPM. CW is like speaking a foreign language and takes lots of practice but usually much slower than voice. Yes its fun for some and yes there are people that get very good at it. If a person likes the challenge, go for it, just like learing a second or third language. In my example, sliderules can get the job done, but they are slow compaired to todays computers and calculators. Should sliderules classes be mandatory? Or should they be phased out (which they are) and the high standards of whatever licensing program be maintained by introducing the study of new technology fitting to the subject? CW should not necesarrily be foregotton but less importantance should be placed on mastering it. A 5WPM test should suffice for all classes of license would be my recommendation.

I bet you more people are communicating by the new electronic internet than by CW. Face-to-face communication, followed by telephone and then followed by internet would be my first guess at the most used forms of communication. I don't belief CW would make the top 10 list.

>Why do you say limited when it is the second most used mode?
>>

>>
>>cyberscott <n5clk@swbell.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Its amazing how we always want to lower our standards so that people can join
>>>the ranks. Why don't we just do away with things like algebra or english lit.
>>>in our schools, that way everyone could get their degree. I mean I have
>>>really never had much use for the 3 years of algebra that I took. My current
>>>career doesn't make use of it. English lit., well, that speaks for itself.
>>>The fact is that people just don't want to work at anything these days. They
>>>want it all for the minimum amount of effort. Sure you say the manufactures

>>>need more people to feed their market, or people with dyslexia are
>>>handicapped, but 20 years ago this wasn't even a big issue. Frankly, excuses
>>>are like asshole, everybody has one! We gave an inch with the no code and now
>>>they want a mile! I urge everyone to speak up, not only to the ARRL, but to
>>>your congressmen and senators. We worked hard for our HF privileges and now
>>>we are letting them slip away to anyone who wants them.
>>
>>>We welcome new people to the hobby, it is the only way to keep it alive. We
>>>understand the process of learning code can be intimidating but it is not
>>>impossible for anyone. Some of you guys wanting to drop the code should be
>>>ashamed of yourselves! What kind of example are we setting for or children
>>>when we present an example that lowering standards is okay to accommodate slow
>>>learners. Being a slow learner doesn't mean someone can't learn it just means
>>>it may take 2 weeks instead of 1 to learn the code. Should we do the same for
>>>our academic standards in our high schools and universities?
>>
>>>If we loose it then we are all to blame. The OT's that let it slip away, and
>>>the newcomers that worked to take it away.
>>
>>>DISGUSTING
>>
>>>cyberscott wrote:
>>
>>>> Well said
>>>>
>>>> T E I X E I R A wrote:
>>>>
>>>> > Why should we have to "Justify" CW.... 99.99% of everything transmitted
>>>> > on all of the phone modes is "garbage"... When was the last time you
>>>> > heard anything of real value on phone?
>>>> >
>>>> > It's that .001% that counts..... It's the same with CW.
>>>> >
>>>> > Cheers,
>>>> > dt
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

388. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <6q9psf$5v1@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 1764
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Of course we should have slip-stick classes! Here... feel the action on me Log-Duplex-DeciTrig...

GL
--
Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
Leslie Paul Davies
lpdavies@bc.seflin.org

389. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbjr@megabits.net
Message-ID: <35C9B74C.8CE@megabits.net>
Source record: 1765
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I agree with Casey. What's wrong with a little challenge now and then to prove to yourself that you can and to the fraternity that you really want it.

As for justification for CW, I think there will always be a need for it. Imagine for a moment a worldwide catastrophy of some sort and you were able to survive, but your gear didn't. You have nothing but junk and parts left and you have to rebuild something to communicate. A sophisticated ssb unit is out of the question, but a simple oscillator is not. Hmmm, maybe CW isn't such a bad idea after all. John

390. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Broadbent <xcfb@bga.com>
Message-ID: <01bdc16e$62608d20$b3c3a68f@Clavius.us.dell.com>
Source record: 1766
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

codeman@gj.net wrote in article <35c7ae07.0@news.gj.net>...
> C
> ...<SNIP>
> like learing a second or third language. In my example, sliderules
> can get the job done, but they are slow compaired to todays computers
> and calculators. Should sliderules classes be mandatory? Or should
> they be phased out (which they are) and the high standards of whatever
> licensing program be maintained by introducing the study of new
> technology fitting to the subject? CW should not necesarrily be
> foregotton but less importantance should be placed on mastering it. A
> 5WPM test should suffice for all classes of license would be my
> recommendation.
>

While your argument makes sense on the surface, I wager the dropping of (more stringent) CW testing will not be accompanied by an increase in standards elsewhere in the test. I site the history of the testing program here as a clear example of how standards slowly, but surely drop.

It has been my experience that many opposed to the CW requirement simply don't want to spend the extra effort, regardless of the subject. They will be no more accepting of an increased technical requirement than they are the CW requirement. After all, why would most Hams need to know about resistors, transistors, tubes (gasp!), etc. when all they do is go down to RS and buy an HT. Why should they have to learn all that irrelevant stuff? They aren't building radios. All they need to know are operating protocols and a little bit on safe operation.

Give in on the CW requirement and the rest will surely fall. Do you believe the bands will be better after this? I don't. 5 wpm CW is not that hard, really. If, for whatever reason, 5 wpm is unreachable, there's still the Tech license. What with repeaters, packet and satellites, there's more than enough modern research work to do and fun to have on 50MHz and up. Why would anyone doing, for example, spread spectrum work want to be on the 80M band?

If they do succumb to the clamor, I hope they leave a little bandwidth on HF reserved for CW. It needs so little, given it's efficient use of spectrum.

Just my $0.02 worth.

> <SNIP>...

Chris Broadbent (KC5VQL)

NOTE: Remove the x in my reply address (xcfb@bga.com) if you wish to email me.

391. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <35CA4563.A3DA6A59@datasync.com>
Source record: 1767
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

NO its not a bad idea for what you just said
just get rid of it as a testing method
keep the mode infact you caould even expand teh cw portiion of the
band as far as i am concern..just get rid of it as a test..we dont have
one for rtty to see if you can type 30-35 words
we dont have one for atv to see if you can get a pic on the air
we dont have one for phone to see if you speak the kings english in a
PROPER manner
WHY CODE
don
kb5fhx
nci-1070

jbjr@megabits.net wrote:
>
> I agree with Casey. What's wrong with a little challenge now and then
> to prove to yourself that you can and to the fraternity that you really
> want it.
>
> As for justification for CW, I think there will always be a need for
> it. Imagine for a moment a worldwide catastrophy of some sort and you
> were able to survive, but your gear didn't. You have nothing but junk
> and parts left and you have to rebuild something to communicate. A
> sophisticated ssb unit is out of the question, but a simple oscillator
> is not. Hmmm, maybe CW isn't such a bad idea after all. John

--

WEB PAGE UPDATED 01 AUG 98
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 personal page

other pages we are involved in
http://hattiesburg.net/columns/index.shtml My Weekly column
http://www.datasync.com/skywarn JOIN THE SKYWARN WEBRING
http://www.qsl.net/kb5zvw Navy mars MS area page

392. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6qdhqi$nem@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 1768
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Listen on 27MHz for a while, thats why.

Don or Darla Arnold wrote in message <35CA4563.A3DA6A59@datasync.com>...
>NO its not a bad idea for what you just said
>just get rid of it as a testing method
>keep the mode infact you caould even expand teh cw portiion of the
>band as far as i am concern..just get rid of it as a test..we dont have
>one for rtty to see if you can type 30-35 words
>we dont have one for atv to see if you can get a pic on the air
>we dont have one for phone to see if you speak the kings english in a
>PROPER manner
>WHY CODE
>don
>kb5fhx
>nci-1070
>
>
>jbjr@megabits.net wrote:
>>
>> I agree with Casey. What's wrong with a little challenge now and then
>> to prove to yourself that you can and to the fraternity that you really
>> want it.
>>
>> As for justification for CW, I think there will always be a need
for
>> it. Imagine for a moment a worldwide catastrophy of some sort and you
>> were able to survive, but your gear didn't. You have nothing but junk
>> and parts left and you have to rebuild something to communicate. A
>> sophisticated ssb unit is out of the question, but a simple oscillator
>> is not. Hmmm, maybe CW isn't such a bad idea after all. John
>
>--
>
>
>WEB PAGE UPDATED 01 AUG 98
>http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 personal page
>
>other pages we are involved in
>http://hattiesburg.net/columns/index.shtml My Weekly column
>http://www.datasync.com/skywarn JOIN THE SKYWARN WEBRING
>http://www.qsl.net/kb5zvw Navy mars MS area page

393. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: codeman@gj.net
Message-ID: <35ca6e5c.0@news.gj.net>
Source record: 1769
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Chris Broadbent" <xcfb@bga.com> wrote:

>codeman@gj.net wrote in article <35c7ae07.0@news.gj.net>...
>> C
>> ...<SNIP>
>> like learing a second or third language. In my example, sliderules
>> can get the job done, but they are slow compaired to todays computers
>> and calculators. Should sliderules classes be mandatory? Or should
>> they be phased out (which they are) and the high standards of whatever
>> licensing program be maintained by introducing the study of new
>> technology fitting to the subject? CW should not necesarrily be
>> foregotton but less importantance should be placed on mastering it. A
>> 5WPM test should suffice for all classes of license would be my
>> recommendation.
>>
You say my argument makes sense on the "surface", well so does
everything you have mentioned. So!!!

>While your argument makes sense on the surface, I wager the dropping of
>(more stringent) CW testing will not be accompanied by an increase in
>standards elsewhere in the test. I site the history of the testing program
>here as a clear example of how standards slowly, but surely drop.

There are some people that definitely fit into the catagory you
mentioned, I do not fit into that mold however. I have "credit" in
13WPM CW as I have "credit" in three semesters of college calculus. I
have no use for either one and find neither one enjoyable or usefull
and after acquiring the passing grades(so I can go on and acheive what
I really wanted) for both fields of study, have promptly let them
go. Haven't missed calculus in 25 years.

There is some truth to the fact that why know the nitty-gritty about
capacitors and resistors if there is no radio kits available to
assemble anymore? How many radios have you built lately? Or are you
running one of those high priced solid state rigs with a solid state
amp, beam rotor and all the extras?

I am not one of those that is advocating lowering standards, just
changing the testing material to something equally as challenging but
usefull for most hams on an everyday bases. You don't need to know
calculus to be a brain doctor but perhaps if you want to be a rocket
scientist.

>It has been my experience that many opposed to the CW requirement simply
>don't want to spend the extra effort, regardless of the subject. They will
>be no more accepting of an increased technical requirement than they are
>the CW requirement. After all, why would most Hams need to know about
>resistors, transistors, tubes (gasp!), etc. when all they do is go down to
>RS and buy an HT. Why should they have to learn all that irrelevant stuff?
> They aren't building radios. All they need to know are operating
>protocols and a little bit on safe operation.

See my statement above, I have credit in 13WPM and it was ugly from
start to finish.

I am not bitter about CW. Really doesn't matter one way or the other
to me about testing or not. I have acquired all the privleges I care
for. In fact any form of HF doesn't excite me anymore. Researching
VHF radio site loctions, land ownership, repeater equipment
financing,-acquistion , linking radios, finding the area of coverage
and installation interest me much more than..... making very brief HF
contacts to far off stations that sound like Donald Duck, through
static crashes, thats if the band is up or down, AND then all you get
is "you are a 59, see ya". OR someone running a big amp, with a beam
overpowering everyone and not even responding to your barefoot weak
signal. Hmmmm, lots of fun for lots of input and very little output.
To each his own.

It just kills me to hear city folk, while traveling on vacation
outside of their small world, trying to get through to a far off
repeater with their little bitty HT and wondering why they are
marginal. I might add that some of these folks are big HF-CW fans, by
listening to some of their conversations with the locals. My $0.02
worth as well.

Ross
N7VWX

>Give in on the CW requirement and the rest will surely fall. Do you
>believe the bands will be better after this? I don't. 5 wpm CW is not
>that hard, really. If, for whatever reason, 5 wpm is unreachable, there's
>still the Tech license. What with repeaters, packet and satellites,
>there's more than enough modern research work to do and fun to have on
>50MHz and up. Why would anyone doing, for example, spread spectrum work
>want to be on the 80M band?

>If they do succumb to the clamor, I hope they leave a little bandwidth on
>HF reserved for CW. It needs so little, given it's efficient use of
>spectrum.

>Just my $0.02 worth.

>> <SNIP>...

>Chris Broadbent (KC5VQL)

>NOTE: Remove the x in my reply address (xcfb@bga.com) if you wish to email
>me.

394. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: codeman@gj.net
Message-ID: <35ca7127.0@news.gj.net>
Source record: 1770
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

jbjr@megabits.net wrote:

>I agree with Casey. What's wrong with a little challenge now and then
>to prove to yourself that you can and to the fraternity that you really
>want it.

If you want a challenge, go to a real college and get a real degree
that will land you a real job. Or climb Mt Everst.

Please tell me where would you get electric power to run your rig if
your worldwide catastrophy occured? Do you think your electrical
wall socket would still be working? Damn few hams have the capability
to operate when local power is interupted for only brief times
(floods, hurricanes, ice-snow storms etc) Get real! If your
"worldwide catastrophy" occured and I survived, radios would be my
last concern. I would be getting myself a gun and heading to the
easiest food gathering source plus acquiring shelter, fighting off
roving bands of desperate starving people etc,

> As for justification for CW, I think there will always be a need for
>it. Imagine for a moment a worldwide catastrophy of some sort and you
>were able to survive, but your gear didn't. You have nothing but junk
>and parts left and you have to rebuild something to communicate. A
>sophisticated ssb unit is out of the question, but a simple oscillator
>is not. Hmmm, maybe CW isn't such a bad idea after all. John

395. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35CB09B4.7F60@ibm.net>
Source record: 1771
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

codeman@gj.net wrote:
> Damn few hams have the capability
> to operate when local power is interupted ...

Most of my ham friends in AZ have backup systems like
solar panels and/or propane/diesel/gasoline powered
generators in addition to food, water, and weapons.
IMO, all *serious* hams have backup power.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore

396. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <address@below.com>
Message-ID: <35CB67FE.6654@below.com>
Source record: 1772
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

HAM RADIO @ ITS BEST wrote:
>
> In article <L4yx1.18430$xs3.15913909@news.rdc1.bc.wave.home.com>, "Ted Lee"
<ve7lee@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >If you want to lose the HF bands, just keep CW.
> >
> >Look at the trends in HF and VHF.
> >
> >I rest my case.
> >
> >VE7LEE
> >
> >
> And yes the SKY IS FALLING!!!! THE SKY IS FALLING!!! EVERYONE NEEDS TO GET
> OUT OF THE POOL NOW!!!!!
>
> What a bunch of shit. We are not going to loose our HF bands. If anything we
> will loose the VHF, UHF and SHF bands because they are sellable. HF is NOT!

i'm curious, why not?

--
A.C. Curtis
KB0WLF

mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

397. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikebo@MCS.COM
Message-ID: <6qfvq8$s4p$1@Mars.mcs.net>
Source record: 1773
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Regarding the US Amateur Radio license restructuring proposal by the ARRL:

I am currently a General class licensee, and an ARRL member. I obtained my General upgrade this past January. Prior to this, I was a Tech Plus licensee for around ten years, and a Novice before that for two years.

I was tuning across the 40m band last week, and overheard several Advanced and Extra licensees bemoaning the proposal, bleating about how they have already resigned their V.E. status and quit the ARRL. This is stupid! How I wished the discussion were being held in the General sub-band, so some opposing viewpoints could be heard.

I think the existing license structure is flawed. Disallowing Tech Plus operators HF voice below 28 MHz is to prevent them from talking to those who would encourage them to upgrade. This effectively cuts off the Tech Plus from most of the HF community, and has driven a wedge between two large segments of the amateur population. The US code requirements are out-of-line with the rest of the world. I know of many skilled Tech Plus operators who have either sold off their HF equipment, or have quit the hobby entirely - a shame. Clearly, somthing needs to be done.

In attempting to solve the problem, the ARRL may have gone a little too far. For instance, I am concerned that giving a Novice what amounts to a General ticket will remove any incentive to upgrade. Novices and Tech Plus operators should have to pass the General written elements BEFORE getting those HF voice privileges. However, the only alternative to the ARRL's proposal may be to maintain the status quo for many more years, and that is completely unacceptable. I understand that last month, only THREE novice tickets were issued.

Ever demonstrate low band DX to kids? When you make a phone contact, they can hear and understand what is going on. WOW! You're really talking to someone in Russia or the Canary Islands... COOL! Make the same contact in CW and they are lost. CW is alien, and appears to be a formidable obstacle to most people, regardless of the reality. Yes, we're a society of instant gratification - get over it...

Amateur Radio is losing steam and must be revitalized to offset new and exciting modes of communication that have no license requirements (i.e. the ubiquity of the Internet and cellular telephones). Our government has demonstrated a willingness to auction off underutilized radio spectrum to the highest bidder. If there aren't enough amateurs to justify and defend our frequency allocations, they will certainly be diminished.

Does anyone really think "lowering the standards" to 5 WPM code for HF phone privileges will result in a massive influx of CB-type operators? I don't think so! Rather, people with a genuine interest in radio will be given a chance to experience the thrill of making their first DX phone contact. Personally, I think we should retain the Novice, but add Novice HF phone sub-bands in 80, 40 and 20 meters, and dump the Extra Class. (Asbestos underwear on! ;v)

I think the ARRL proposal will go a long way toward increasing public awareness of, and interest in our hobby. I am all for it... YES!

Regards,
Michael Borowiec, N9EUZ

398. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: codeman@gj.net
Message-ID: <35cbbbd8.0@news.gj.net>
Source record: 1774
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Congradulations to you Cecil and too all your friends for having
backup power. Excellent!!! I also have backup power (solar) and all
three of my VHF repeaters are solar powered.

I would be interesting just what percentage of licensed Hams have
backup power systems like you have defined?

W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net> wrote:

>codeman@gj.net wrote:
>> Damn few hams have the capability
>> to operate when local power is interupted ...

>Most of my ham friends in AZ have backup systems like
>solar panels and/or propane/diesel/gasoline powered
>generators in addition to food, water, and weapons.
>IMO, all *serious* hams have backup power.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore

399. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: T Hwang <2810311762@home.com>
Message-ID: <35CBBEC7.3750E240@home.com>
Source record: 1775
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi,
CW is for HF and HF is for CW. Is it not?
I wonder what the trend is. There are lots of CW trafiic on HF bands.
Unless CW is banned(outlawed), I am on CW 99.9999999% I do have a
microphone too, tho. I can work CW all day, all night but no way with
that dang microphone. My family would try to kill me for disturbing
peace in the house, hi. CW and headphone, noone is disturbed.
CW is music to me, a form of arts, a way to meditate. Listen to a good
fist and enjoy!!!
Have a great week end, 73,
Tony, VE6CGX, Fists #3919

Ted Lee wrote:
>
> If you want to lose the HF bands, just keep CW.
>
> Look at the trends in HF and VHF.
>
> I rest my case.
>
> VE7LEE

400. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Hazell <ejhazell@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mLnaSFADsDz1EwBU@vselect.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 1776
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <35CBBEC7.3750E240@home.com>, T Hwang <2810311762@home.com>
writes
>Hi,
>CW is for HF and HF is for CW. Is it not?
>I wonder what the trend is. There are lots of CW trafiic on HF bands.
>Unless CW is banned(outlawed), I am on CW 99.9999999% I do have a
>microphone too, tho. I can work CW all day, all night but no way with
>that dang microphone. My family would try to kill me for disturbing
>peace in the house, hi. CW and headphone, noone is disturbed.
>CW is music to me, a form of arts, a way to meditate. Listen to a good
>fist and enjoy!!!
>Have a great week end, 73,
>Tony, VE6CGX, Fists #3919
>I agree with that.I get dried up on voice (2 mtrs)
A good fist IS a joy to listen to,even if it may be too fast to read.It
also gets over the language problems...73s g0hau No.0277..
>Ted Lee wrote:
>>
>> If you want to lose the HF bands, just keep CW.
>>
>> Look at the trends in HF and VHF.
>>
>> I rest my case.
>>
>> VE7LEE

--
Edward Hazell

401. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Dolgosh <al.dolgosh@hamradio.org>
Message-ID: <35CC572D.1BC9@hamradio.org>
Source record: 1777
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Michael Borowiec wrote:
>
>.....
> I think the existing license structure is flawed. Disallowing Tech Plus
> operators HF voice below 28 MHz is to prevent them from talking to those
> who would encourage them to upgrade. This effectively cuts off the Tech
> Plus from most of the HF community, and has driven a wedge between two
> large segments of the amateur population. The US code requirements are
> out-of-line with the rest of the world. I know of many skilled Tech Plus
> operators who have either sold off their HF equipment, or have quit the
> hobby entirely - a shame. Clearly, somthing needs to be done.
>
>.....
> Regards,
> Michael Borowiec, N9EUZ

"Disallowing Tech Plus operators HF voice....." - is an example of the "I want it all" mindset! They aren't being disallowd anything they can't earn by applying themselves to learn something further. Learning some more CW isn't like demonstrating the ability to perform unrelated brain surgery. CW is related to communications. Everyone is trying to redefine what the term "related skills" means.

Tech licensees have been successfully upgrading for years without having HF phone priveleges. Why do you think they will stop upgrading now?? The only reason I can think of is the "dumbing down" of America's youth by "allowing" them priveleges without teaching them how to earn them.

And....the only way that "US code requirements are out-of-line with the rest of the world" is that we use a graduated system to establish "incentives" to improve oneself. The "rest of the world" requires CW for amateur licensing - now some of us are proposing to eliminate the requirement. It seems to me that the US would then be the one who is out of step.

The whole CW discussion is only a red herring to justify the avoidance of applying extra effort to gain extra rewards.

Al - K8EUR

402. A new restructuring proposal...

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikebo@MCS.COM
Message-ID: <6qm33r$3nf$1@Mars.mcs.net>
Source record: 1778
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <35CC572D.1BC9@hamradio.org>,
Al Dolgosh <al.dolgosh@hamradio.org> wrote:
> "Disallowing Tech Plus operators HF voice....." - is an example of the
> "I want it all" mindset! They aren't being disallowd anything they
> can't earn by applying themselves to learn something further.

Don't give them EVERYTHING! There are LOTS of options, but what is key is the ability to CONVERSE on phone with hams of all license classes around the world. Give them a CHANCE to get bitten by the DX phone bug, and dangle more bandwidth and power in front of them, and they WILL upgrade. Right now, Tech Pluses are CUT OFF from HF phone below 28Mhz, and this is NOT a good way to entice or facilitate encouragement.

It's the seperate Advanced and Extra class I think ought to be eliminated. For instance, I would have proposed something like this:

CLASS	Frequency Allocations	Exam Elements Required
A	All frequencies	1C, 4A + some 4B
B	General & Advanced	1B, 3B + some 4A
C	General, limited to 100w out	1A, 2, 3A + some 3B
D	No code Tech & Tech+	10m phone 2, 3A

Extras become Class A, Advanced become Class B, Generals become Class C (with power over 100 watts grandfathered for existing licensees), and everyone else becomes Class D. You must pass the revised written elements to get the next higher class of license. Noone but the very few Extras lose ANYTHING, and they only lose exclusive use of very small sub-bands!

o This makes the "advanced" class A truly something special without reserving HUGE portions of bands for only a few.

o It gives Generals access to the large Advanced sub-bands in exchange for a harder written exam.

o It gives Tech Pluses power-limited access to General HF phone & CW bands in exchange for a harder written exam.

o It gives no-code Techs access to 10m phone in exchange for some HF questions. (or maybe not depending on International agreements).

Sure, this isn't perfect, but the details can be worked out. I'd like to see EVERY class of Amateur licensee able to have the thrill of HF phone DX contacts, sampling the full range of operating experiences.

> Tech licensees have been successfully upgrading for years without having
> HF phone priveleges. Why do you think they will stop upgrading now??
>
Read QST... They are NOT upgrading in sufficient numbers to keep this
hobby healthy. I'm not talking about "dumbing down". I'm talking about
giving someone who demonstrated 5WPM CW proficiency some HF phone beyond
the token privileges on the sporadic 10m band. What is the BIG DEAL!

> And....the only way that "US code requirements are out-of-line with the
> rest of the world" is that we use a graduated system to establish
> "incentives" to improve oneself. The "rest of the world" requires CW
> for amateur licensing - now some of us are proposing to eliminate the
> requirement. It seems to me that the US would then be the one who is
> out of step.
>
I wasn't suggesting the elimination of the code. It DOES serve a purpose.
However, I am arguing that the current license structure is flawed because
it isolates an entire group of hams in the VHF/UHF portion of the spectrum
just because they don't want to use CW. Why make people become proficient
at the difficult 13WPM level just to use HF phone? This is a hurdle for
the sake of a "weeding-out" factor that is totally unnecessary. There will
NOT be a huge influx of CB operators if you retain the 5 WPM requirement.
If there is a huge influx, it will be made up of people with a genuine
desire to progress in radio.

> The whole CW discussion is only a red herring to justify the avoidance
> of applying extra effort to gain extra rewards.
>
Baloney! I worked hard for my General, and I don't like the idea of
giving a General ticket to Novice and Tech Plus operators who haven't
demonstrated knowlege by passing General written elements. I am suggesting
that we ought to open the HF phone "door" to tens of thousands of dis-
enfranchised Tech Pluses out there. Give them a taste of what they are
missing, thereby creating incentive instead of RESENTMENT.

Current comment on the ARRL proposal is running 2 to 1 in favor of...
If we don't make alternative suggestions that make sense, you might
not like the alternative.

Regards,
- Mike, N9EUZ

403. WTB: 6m equipment

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: N8QNU <34txxse@nospam.cmuvm.csv.cmich.edu>
Message-ID: <6qr8rn$a3r@alpha.delta.edu>
Source record: 1779
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.swap

After getting my license and playing on 2m for a while I got sidetracked and have been out of amateur radio for a while. I'm looking to get active again and am looking for some good 6m equipment to use while I study my code. However I am a college student so my budget isn't that big. If anyone has a good 6m rig or plans to build one that a college student could afford please let me know. 73's.

Matthew Srebinski
34txxse@cmuvm.csv.cmich.edu

404. Ventronics Communications

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ventronics <ventronics@ventronics.com>
Message-ID: <35D303A4.D093CD8@ventronics.com>
Source record: 1684
Cross-posted to: fido7.hamradio,rec.radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

VENTRONICS COMMUNICATIONS
Ventronics Communications has just opened in beautiful Wood Dale,
Illinois. We are a 2-way radio shop that deals with 2-way radio sales,
service & installations, but we don't stop with 2-way radios, Ventronics
sells communications for all types of applications. Ventronics is an
authorized Airtouch Paging dealer with service at only 4.95 per month,
WITH NO ACTIVATION FEE! Plus, we offer cellular phones, car stereo
sales/installations, radar detector installations, school security
packages, NEXTEL, and almost everything else that deals with electronics
& communications! Please call us with any questions your may have at:
(630) 766-9800 or FAX: (630) 766-9803 and please visit online at
http://www.ventronics.com

405. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Flip Begich <hipar@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <35D3479E.3F4C@pacbell.net>
Source record: 1685
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

jbjr@megabits.net wrote:
>
> I agree with Casey. What's wrong with a little challenge now and then
> to prove to yourself that you can and to the fraternity that you really
> want it.
>
> As for justification for CW, I think there will always be a need for
> it. Imagine for a moment a worldwide catastrophy of some sort and you
> were able to survive, but your gear didn't. You have nothing but junk
> and parts left and you have to rebuild something to communicate. A
> sophisticated ssb unit is out of the question, but a simple oscillator
> is not. Hmmm, maybe CW isn't such a bad idea after all. John

Oh my God, get real !!!! Living in the past a bit are we ????
Jack

406. Re: Ventronics Communications

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spam Shooter <Spammer@ventronics.com>
Message-ID: <35D36194.FEDA1A6C@ventronics.com>
Source record: 1686
Cross-posted to: fido7.hamradio,rec.radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Well,

Congratulations. You've just insured that I'll never do any business
with you. As for the products you sell, well - I've done very well with
out them so far and you can be assured you've given me even more
insentive to avoid them in the future.

Take it to the papers fools

Ventronics wrote:
::Snipped::

407. Re: JUSTIFICATION FOR CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Kumpf <kumpfr@pop.tiac.net>
Message-ID: <6r30rr$j87@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 1687
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Didn't anyone see how CW save the world from Alien attack on July 4?
Rich Kumpf, WF1V - Okay, I love CW !
-----------------------------------------------------
Flip Begich wrote in message <35D3479E.3F4C@pacbell.net>...
>jbjr@megabits.net wrote:
>>
>> I agree with Casey. What's wrong with a little challenge now and then
>> to prove to yourself that you can and to the fraternity that you really
>> want it.
>>
>> As for justification for CW, I think there will always be a need
for
>> it. Imagine for a moment a worldwide catastrophy of some sort and you
>> were able to survive, but your gear didn't. You have nothing but junk
>> and parts left and you have to rebuild something to communicate. A
>> sophisticated ssb unit is out of the question, but a simple oscillator
>> is not. Hmmm, maybe CW isn't such a bad idea after all. John
>
>Oh my God, get real !!!! Living in the past a bit are we ????
>Jack
```

408. UT-88 CTCSS/Voice Scramble unit of IC-V68

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Deen Attan <denatan@tm.net.my>
Message-ID: <35D4B095.3C1AC785@tm.net.my>
Source record: 1688
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Any information on how to modified the UT-88? Varying the voice
frequencies from factory default.Pse e-mail tnx. 73
Deen 9M2DA

409. FS: Alinco 2M HT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <ccrc@epix.net>
Message-ID: <35DBBA9F.39FE@epix.net>
Source record: 1689
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio

FOR SALE:

ALINCO DJ-F1T 2M COMPACT HT, GOOD CONDITION. HIGH CAPACITY NI-CAD PACK (GIVES MAX 5 WATTS RF), DUCK ANTENNA AND BELT CLIP. RADIO HAS 40 MEMORY CHANNELS, PLUS CALL CHANNEL AND VFO. EXTENDED TX/RX ENABLED. MEMORY AND VFO SCAN WITH PRIORITY AND TIMED SCAN. BACKLIT LCD DISPLAY AND DTMF KEYPAD. PHONE DIALER MEMORY AND PAGING. MANY OTHER FEATURES. TRICKLE BATT CHARGER. JACKS FOR SPEAKER MIKE AND EXTERNAL 12V POWER SOURCE. FEW SCRATCHES BUT TROUBLE FREE OPERATION (WELL USED BECAUSE IT "USES" WELL). GREAT RADIO. MY BROTHER ALSO HAS ONE AND LOVES HIS. GREAT SENSITIVITY AND I ALWAYS GOT GOOD SINGNAL/AUDIO REPORTS. I UPGRADED TO A NEW HT AND NOW AM NOT USING THE ALINCO ANYMORE.

IN ORIGINAL BOX WITH MANUAL AND SCHEMATIC: $125.00

(I will ship US mail or buyer can arrange other shipping if they wish)

Chris Chamberlain, N3PVZ
Reading, PA
mailto:ccrc@epix.net if interested.

410. BOOK REVIEW: Managing 12 Volts

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: orville@weyrich.com
Message-ID: <19980826140230.0-orville@weyrich.com>
Source record: 1690
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,sci.electronics,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

[repost -- my original post seems to have disappeared into the proverbial
bit-bucket]

After an hiatus while I enhanced the technical details of my web site,
I am back to reviewing a backlog of books :-)

Please check out my latest review, and if you know of any good similar
or related books, please drop me a note on while you are on my web site :-)

My new review:

URL: http://www.weyrich.com/book_reviews/managing_12.html

TITLE: Managing 12 Volts: How to Upgrade, Operate and Troubleshoot
12 Volt Systems

ABSTRACT: A handy little book which covers all aspects of the use of
12 volt lead-acid batteries in emergency and recreational
settings. Of particular interest is the advice on the use
of solar cells to charge lead-acid batteries.

INDEX: electronics, survival

KEYWORDS: emergency power, lead-acid, 12 volt, solar cells, camping,
battery backup, recreational vehicles, RV, boats, marine,
charging, care, use, using batteries, power inverters,
capacity, voltage, current, storage, deep-cycle, gel-cell,
alternators, generators, regulators, wind power, wiring,
troubleshooting

Regards,

orville.

Orville R. Weyrich, Jr. Weyrich Computer Consulting
mailto:orville@weyrich.com http://www.weyrich.com

Visit our online collection of book reviews:

http://www.weyrich.com/book_reviews/

Ask about our world wide web services!
---

411. Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kiszka-Kanowitz <robin.kiszka@frederiksbrg.mail.telia.com>
Message-ID: <01bdd38c$b5727020$0efbffc2@d00007998>
Source record: 1691
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all along the HF bands.

Can the MFJ1026 and MFJ1025 help with this problem ? Can they really eliminate the carriers which make QSO'ing impossible ? We are talking about carriers of S8-9 and I expect to hear stations of S2-5 on the same frequency.

If you have used these products then please tell me about your experiences with them. Like I don't want to shelve out 170 US$ if it ain't gonna help !!!

73
Robin
OZ6ABM

412. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Bickel <ac4vvNoSpam@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6saa7p$i65$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 1692
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I can reduce an S7 noise from a computer sitting less than 3 feet away from my HF rig with an MFJ-1026. It took some experimenting with the noise pickup antenna, but it is the only thing that did work for me. Hope you have as good a result as I did.
73

Kiszka-Kanowitz wrote in message <01bdd38c$b5727020$0efbffc2@d00007998>...
>I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power
>supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all along
>the HF bands.
>
>Can the MFJ1026 and MFJ1025 help with this problem ? Can they really
>eliminate the carriers which make QSO'ing impossible ? We are talking about
>carriers of S8-9 and I expect to hear stations of S2-5 on the same
>frequency.
>
>If you have used these products then please tell me about your experiences
>with them. Like I don't want to shelve out 170 US$ if it ain't gonna help
>!!!
>
>73
>Robin
>OZ6ABM
>
>
>

413. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ss <SSluz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <35E8D60B.BAAA365@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 1693
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The 1026 works quite well. I use it to null out noise from a farmer next door that has a screwed up electric fence. The noise is very severe, but I can null it out 100% below 20 Mhz. Above that I need to get a better noise pick-up antenna. Currently I use the built in whip only for the noise antenna. The main antenna is an inverted vee up 15 meters.

You need to input a good sample of the noise alone, without the signals you want to listen to. This is the bottom line. The noise antenna phase is adjusted by the front panel controls so it is out of phase with the main antenna. The noise is cancelled, leaving the desired signals. If your noise sample also contains the desired signal it will also be cancelled. If you are using indoor antenna's for both the main and noise sample with noise sources in other parts of the building it may not work well, since you may have trouble getting a good noise only sample.

The workmanship is good, and the phase shift circuit is clever.

If you can get a amplified directional loop for one of the antenna's, it might work using only indoor antenna's.

Good luck;

Steve

Kiszka-Kanowitz wrote:

> I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power
> supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all along
> the HF bands.
>
> Can the MFJ1026 and MFJ1025 help with this problem ? Can they really
> eliminate the carriers which make QSO'ing impossible ? We are talking about
> carriers of S8-9 and I expect to hear stations of S2-5 on the same
> frequency.
>
> If you have used these products then please tell me about your experiences
> with them. Like I don't want to shelve out 170 US$ if it ain't gonna help
> !!!
>
> 73
> Robin
> OZ6ABM

414. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Maurer <vk3alm@c031.aone.net.au>
Message-ID: <01bdbd10$45ba3700$b0b90ccb@adam>
Source record: 1694
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, I had the opportunity to evaluate an MFJ-1026 recently.

Having owned a JPS ANC-4 for a few years I was quite keen
to do an A/B comparison in a controlled environment.

Boy, was I dissapointed in the MFJ unit.

It was more fiddely to adjust and the depth of the null was
considerable less than that of the ANC-4.

Another minus in my situation is that the MFJ is not speced
up to 60MHz like the ANC-4, and after trying it it simply didn't
work at 6MX.

On a positive, I must say I was suprised at how good the build
quality was, and the use of SMT componentry.
Maybe MFJ care oming into the 90's?

Adam VK3ALM

Kiszka-Kanowitz <robin.kiszka@frederiksbrg.mail.telia.com> wrote in article
<01bdd38c$b5727020$0efbffc2@d00007998>...
> I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power
> supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all
along
> the HF bands.

415. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Bickel <ac4vv@RemoveTHISqsl.net>
Message-ID: <6sbo6k$da5$1@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 1695
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How odd, side by side comparisons by others who have posted to this group for the most part seem to be getting just the opposite of the results you get. The difference in design between the MFJ and JPS units would most likely mean a redesign of the noise antenna. Is it possible that some minor changes in the noise antenna could have resulted in much better performance for you?

Admittedly it takes some time to optimize the performance, and reduce the fiddle factor, of the MFJ-1026. However, the results obtained for a given noise with a given noise antenna seem to be repeatable. In other words you could develop a chart allowing you to set the filter before returning to a band thus reducing the amount of tuning required.

I believe both units to be good investments, and obviously some have had better results with one unit over another. Bottom line, I hope the discussion has shown the originator of the question that these type of units work well!

73

Adam Maurer wrote in message <01bdbd10$45ba3700$b0b90ccb@adam>...
>Yes, I had the opportunity to evaluate an MFJ-1026 recently.
>
>Having owned a JPS ANC-4 for a few years I was quite keen
>to do an A/B comparison in a controlled environment.
>
>Boy, was I dissapointed in the MFJ unit.
>
>It was more fiddely to adjust and the depth of the null was
>considerable less than that of the ANC-4.
>
>Another minus in my situation is that the MFJ is not speced
>up to 60MHz like the ANC-4, and after trying it it simply didn't
>work at 6MX.
>
>On a positive, I must say I was suprised at how good the build
>quality was, and the use of SMT componentry.
>Maybe MFJ care oming into the 90's?
>
>Adam VK3ALM
>
>
>
>Kiszka-Kanowitz <robin.kiszka@frederiksbrg.mail.telia.com> wrote in article

><01bdd38c$b5727020$0efbffc2@d00007998>...
>> I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power
>> supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all
>along
>> the HF bands.
>
>

416. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-08-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4nti@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <6se8vl$89t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1696
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <01bdd38c$b5727020$0efbffc2@d00007998>,
"Kiszka-Kanowitz" <robin.kiszka@frederiksbrg.mail.telia.com> wrote:
> I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power
> supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all along
> the HF bands.
>
> Can the MFJ1026 and MFJ1025 help with this problem ? Can they really
> eliminate the carriers which make QSO'ing impossible ? We are talking about
> carriers of S8-9 and I expect to hear stations of S2-5 on the same
> frequency.
>
> If you have used these products then please tell me about your experiences
> with them. Like I don't want to shelve out 170 US$ if it ain't gonna help
> !!!
>
> 73
> Robin
> OZ6ABM
>
> Hello Robin, Dan here. I have the MFJ 1025 and I say it is fantastic. My
noise is mainly a high intesity powerline noise. The MFJ totally wipes it
out. My level of crap is, at times, s9 (on a FT1000MP meter). That is
rather stout. I have not tried it with the noise you describe, however I
would say it will likely work, since all the MFJ needs is a steady noise
source to elliminate it.

In your apartment, I would recomend you get one with the built in pre-amp
and try it with a small indoor antenna. The MFJ must be able to hear
both the desired and un-desired signal.

Good Luck

--
Dan/W4NTI

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

417. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ? 1025/1026

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kvenl@dds.nl
Message-ID: <6sg3md$ir7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1697
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi!

SO when I get thsi straight, there is NO SENSE in using 2 equivalent antennas side-by-side as MFJ suggest would be the most ideal solution to use the MFJ???

It sound logic to me that, if you put the disired signal as well into the 'noise antenna', that this would be eliminatied as well.

But what does MFJ mean then with this 'ideal' situation??? And do i have to connect an antenna to 'every source of noise', let's say an antenna for my neigbours TV, one for the powerlines 2 blocks away... If you get my drift.

What's the truth here. Do they REALLY work, or must I take so much effort to solve several problems.. Or??? IS it ment to be for one very aggresive noise only, as these stories suggest?? SO that it cannot be used as an 'universal QRM killer' but one to kill a specific annoying QRM?

Any suggestion is very welcomed

Thanks!

Kees van Engelen (The Netherlands)

In article <35E8D60B.BAAA365@worldnet.att.net>,
ss <SSluz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> The 1026 works quite well. I use it to null out noise from a farmer next door
> that has a screwed up electric fence. The noise is very severe, but I can null
> it out 100% below 20 Mhz. Above that I need to get a better noise pick-up
> antenna. Currently I use the built in whip only for the noise antenna. The
main
> antenna is an inverted vee up 15 meters.
>
> You need to input a good sample of the noise alone, without the signals you
> want to listen to. This is the bottom line. The noise antenna phase is
adjusted
> by the front panel controls so it is out of phase with the main antenna. The
> noise is cancelled, leaving the desired signals. If your noise sample also
> contains the desired signal it will also be cancelled. If you are using indoor
> antenna's for both the main and noise sample with noise sources in other parts
> of the building it may not work well, since you may have trouble getting a
good
> noise only sample.
>
> The workmanship is good, and the phase shift circuit is clever.
>
> If you can get a amplified directional loop for one of the antenna's, it might

> work using only indoor antenna's.
>
> Good luck;
>
> Steve
>
> Kiszka-Kanowitz wrote:
>
> > I live in an apartment building with TV sets using switch-mode power
> > supplies. The result is horrendous cariers at about 6 Khz spacing all along
> > the HF bands.
> >
> > Can the MFJ1026 and MFJ1025 help with this problem ? Can they really
> > eliminate the carriers which make QSO'ing impossible ? We are talking about
> > carriers of S8-9 and I expect to hear stations of S2-5 on the same
> > frequency.
> >
> > If you have used these products then please tell me about your experiences
> > with them. Like I don't want to shelve out 170 US$ if it ain't gonna help
> > !!!
> >
> > 73
> > Robin
> > OZ6ABM
>
>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum

418. Re: Anyone tried MFJ's QRM eliminators ? 1025/1026

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <w6rca@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <35EBF73E.68C1@ibm.net>
Source record: 1698
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

kvenl@dds.nl wrote:
> It sound logic to me that, if you put the disired signal as well into the
> 'noise antenna', that this would be eliminatied as well.

That is true. Your signal receiving antenna is picking up signals and
some local noise. Your noise antenna is picking up a lot more local noise
than signals. When the noise is equal in magnitude and opposite in sign,
thus cancelling the noise, there will be some signal left over to be
received.

> What's the truth here. Do they REALLY work, or must I take so much effort to
> solve several problems.. Or??? IS it ment to be for one very aggresive noise
> only, as these stories suggest?? SO that it cannot be used as an 'universal
> QRM killer' but one to kill a specific annoying QRM?

It works on LOCAL noise only. It cannot separate far-field noise from signals.
However, if one's signal antenna is horizontal and one's noise antenna is
vertical, it can work well on vertically polarized noise, the most common
local QRM.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore

419. Stone Mtn QSYer

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6sh78e$k2g$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1699
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Excellent Condition - First $100 plus shipping gets it. This QSYer is made for the FT-757 I dont know if they can be modified for other radios, however dont know why they couldnt! Works and looks like new!

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net
770-867-5156 8am-10pm EST for quickest response!

420. Updated FT-757 Station

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel Bell Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6shmv4$6c5$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1700
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

THE ANTENNA TUNER HAS BEEN SOLD

HOWEVER THE REST OF THE HF RIG I STILL HAVE FOR SALE!! All items are in excellent condition both physically and electrically - I DO have original boxes and instruction manuals.

Yaesu FT-757 GX Cat System Stock Mic $550
Yaesu FP-757 HD (MATCHING Power Supply and speaker combined)$150
Stone Mtn Qsyer (Direct entry Keypad) $100
MD-1B8 Mic $100

This would make someone a GREAT 1st HF station or a BACKUP station!!

ALL ITEMS ARE BEST OFFER PLUS SHIPPING - TRADES ARE WELCOME!!

73 Daniel KC4OXP kc4oxp@flash.net
BUYER PAYS ALL SHIPPING FROM WINDER, GEORGIA 30680
1-770-867-5156 8am-10pm EST Only Please!

421. FS: Alinco 2M HT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <mailto>
Message-ID: <35ECD22B.3480@epix.net>
Source record: 1701
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

FOR SALE:

ALINCO DJ-F1T 2M COMPACT HT, GOOD CONDITION. HIGH CAPACITY NI-CAD PACK (GIVES MAX 5 WATTS RF), DUCK ANTENNA AND BELT CLIP. RADIO HAS 40 MEMORY CHANNELS, PLUS CALL CHANNEL AND VFO. EXTENDED TX/RX ENABLED. MEMORY AND VFO SCAN WITH PRIORITY AND TIMED SCAN. BACKLIT LCD DISPLAY AND DTMFKEYPAD. PHONE DIALER MEMORY AND PAGING. MANY OTHER FEATURES. TRICKLE BATT CHARGER. JACKS FOR SPEAKER MIKE AND EXTERNAL 12V POWER SOURCE. FEW SCRATCHES BUT TROUBLE FREE OPERATION (WELL USED BECAUSE IT "USES" WELL). GREAT RADIO. MY BROTHER ALSO HAS ONE AND LOVES HIS. GREAT SENSITIVITY AND I ALWAYS GOT GOOD SINGNAL/AUDIO REPORTS. I UPGRADED TO A NEW HT AND NOW AM NOT USING THE ALINCO ANYMORE.

IN ORIGINAL BOX WITH MANUAL AND SCHEMATIC: $125.00

(I will ship by secure means to satisfacton of buyer!!!)

Chris Chamberlain, N3PVZ
Reading, PA
mailto:ccrc@epix.net if interested.

422. FS:Mobile VHF Motorola Radius

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <mailto>
Message-ID: <35ECD6A8.937@epix.net>
Source record: 1702
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

USED RADIO FOR SALE

Motorola Radius 8 Channel VHF Mobile Radio. Perfect condition, no operating problems. Used for Fire/Rescue Service in my personal vehicle. 136-172 MHz frequency range. Dealer programable. With Radius microphone and power cable. GREAT DEAL!!!

$300.00

(I can arrange for pre-shipping programming for small additional fee)

EXTRA!!! Glass mount VHF-HI antenna (used) for above radio. Flat black, cellular "look-a-like" style. Only needs antenna remount kit (or double sided tape!!) Great reception and low profile!! Perfect condition. Paid $40.00 new. Will toss in for $15.00.

mailto:ccrc@epix.net for more information

Chris Chamberlain N3PVZ
Reading PA

423. Re: Updated FT-757 Station

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6sn087$5cr$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1703
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

ONLY FOR 30 HOURS STARTING AT 5PM EST 9/3/98

ENDING MIDNIGHT 9/4/98

I WILL SELL THE FT-757GX FOR $525 SHIPPED!!

THE YAESU FP-757 HD FOR $125 SHIPPED!!

Stone Mtn Qsyer (Direct entry Keypad) $100 Shipped!!

HURRY I WILL NOT ABIDE BY THIS PRICE AFTER MIDNIGHT TOMORROW NIGHT FRIDAY-

Many people have wanted this setup at $550 but couldn't come up with the funds, here is your chance it might be the last time it's offered at this price. Hurry!
I
I am taking a BIG hit on the price here, if I do not sell within the next 30 hours most likely I will put it on the shelf and hang onto it for a backup rig.

770-867-5156 8am-11pm EST (for fastest response)

OR
kc4oxp@flash.net
73 Daniel KC4OXP

Daniel Bell Jr. wrote in message <6shmv4$6c5$1@excalibur.flash.net>...
THE ANTENNA TUNER HAS BEEN SOLD

HOWEVER THE REST OF THE HF RIG I STILL HAVE FOR SALE!! All items are in excellent condition both physically and electrically - I DO have original boxes and instruction manuals.

Yaesu FT-757 GX Cat System Stock Mic $550
Yaesu FP-757 HD (MATCHING Power Supply and speaker combined)$150
Stone Mtn Qsyer (Direct entry Keypad) $100
MD-1B8 Mic $100

This would make someone a GREAT 1st HF station or a BACKUP station!!

ALL ITEMS ARE BEST OFFER PLUS SHIPPING - TRADES ARE WELCOME!!

73 Daniel KC4OXP kc4oxp@flash.net
BUYER PAYS ALL SHIPPING FROM WINDER, GEORGIA 30680
1-770-867-5156 8am-10pm EST Only Please!

424. Re: FS: Alinco 2M HT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <mailto>
Message-ID: <35EF523B.41FD@epix.net>
Source record: 1704
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

THE ALINCO RADIO HAS BEEN SOLD!!!!!!

425. Re: FS:Mobile VHF Motorola Radius

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Chamberlain and Rachael Rhoads <mailto>
Message-ID: <35EF526E.1C32@epix.net>
Source record: 1705
Cross-posted to: misc.emerg-services,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

THE RADIUS VHF MOBILE RADIO HAS BEEN SOLD

426. ADI 2 Meter HT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6sp5jt$3ot$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1706
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Radio is in excellent condition -keyboard mod has been performed and cpy of mod will be sent with shipment - Radio includes cable for dc (car cigarette lighter plug operation) The wall charger did go out on me, however I have been using it (as I said before) in the car and havent really missed/needed it - Other than the wall charger dead, the rest of the radio is in excellent condition! Manual included!
WILL TRADE FOR COMPLETE WEATHER STATION! PEET BROTHERS WANTED OTHERS CONSIDERED!

$150 shipped
email kc4oxp@flash.net
73 Daniel KC4OXP

427. Re: ADI 2 Meter HT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6sq0o8$4uu$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1707
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Forgot to add this, will include a 30 watt 2 meter FM amp for only $25 more,
will sell amp separately!
email kc4oxp@flash.net
or call 770-867-5156 8am-10pm

J. Daniel Bell, Jr. wrote in message ...
>Radio is in excellent condition -keyboard mod has been performed and cpy of
>mod will be sent with shipment - Radio includes cable for dc (car cigarette
>lighter plug operation) The wall charger did go out on me, however I have
>been using it (as I said before) in the car and havent really missed/needed
>it - Other than the wall charger dead, the rest of the radio is in
excellent
>condition! Manual included!
>WILL TRADE FOR COMPLETE WEATHER STATION! PEET BROTHERS WANTED OTHERS
>CONSIDERED!
>
>$150 shipped
>email kc4oxp@flash.net
>73 Daniel KC4OXP
>
>
>

428. FORSALE: Yaesu FT-10R $150 & ICOM IC-22A $130

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: joseph perrin <cure@comports.com>
Message-ID: <35F3256E.68F848B7@comports.com>
Source record: 1708
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rpi.union.ham-radio,relcom.radio.ham,su.org.ham-radio,slac.rec.ham_radio

I have two 2 meter radio's for sale:

1. Yaesu FT-10R for $150.00

2. Icom IC-22A for $130.00

If interested please call (503) 642-5700 and ask for Joseph.

OR

E-mail me at: cure@comports.com

429. Check out these COOOOOOLLLL SITESSSS����!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: me <jamnog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <35F31968.C8DBB6E7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 1709
Cross-posted to: rec.drugs.chemistry,rec.drugs.psychedelic,rec.ham-radio,rec.knives,rec.skydiving

http://members.xoom.com/ichar/ikar.htm
http://members.xoom.com/jamnog
http://members.xoom.com/madrob
http://members.tripod.com/~spagnog

430. Stone Mtn QSYer Up For Sale AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6t6ppl$j68$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1710
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.forsale,atl.forsale,nc.charlotte.forsale,nc.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Ham backed out of the deal a week later, now it's up for sale again. If
it's not sold before the 19th of this month it will go to the Gainesville
Georgia hamfest http://www.qsl.net/kc4oxp

Excellent Condition Stone Mtn QSYer $100 plus shipping
This will WORK only for the Yaseu FT-757 that I am 100% sure of

email kc4oxp@flash.net if interested
73 Daniel KC4OXP

431. Stone Mtn QSYer Info!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6t78mq$oa9$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1711
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

To all those who have expressed a interest in the Stone Mountain Literature
I have found it once again. Please email me if your still needing info! I do
still have mine forsale (works on the FT-757)

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

432. Avoid low end internal WinRadio - WinRadio WR-3100e, OK

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%98K1.1107$hE.2526414@news3.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 1712
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

I agree Icom IMHO PCR-1000 external PC radio makes a better radio then low end inernal WinRadio when comparing them dollar for dollar, USA support for USA support, and quality for quality. The truly expensive WinRadio WR-3100e sounds like a good deal if you can afford it. This is a good external version. It had better be for that price!

Putting the radio card inside a computer is in the long run a bad idea for a variety of reasons. Noise being a big one. Isa bus is another. All the key technologies going into the computer will be on the PCI bus or newer buses at this time as the computer makers phase out ISA. WinRadio internal is still on the obsolete ISA bus. Buying a WinRadio internal makes as much sense as a person buying an expensive ISA sound card at this time. Even the recent high end and expensive ISA based SB AWE64 Gold can not compare to the quality of the new PCI sound cards.

FYI there has been a major advancement in PC sound which has moved to the PCI bus as predicted. Check out the specs on Creative Labs newest family of sound blaster cards which are now all PCI. The SBLive family of cards $100 to $200 are the ones to look at. The SBLive is a real sleeper at this time but has the potential to become one of this years hottest hardware items for the PC with the introduction of a $100 version. You have got to hear one in action, it is like was when we went from old CGA video to SVGA video! Only a fool would buy the similarly priced Sound Blaster PCI 128 or PCI 64 at this time. BTW I have two -&#65533; three month old PCI 64's for sale ($50 ea. mailto:fourphun@bellsouth.net) if any fools who are short on cash are reading this. The SB 64 will do some true 3-D audio in four speakers and may have some support for EAX in the near future as a software download from creative. I am switching to SBLive in both PCs.

The DSP chip on the SBLive is equivalent to a P-90 coprocessor just for sound. This card can output audio for up to eight different speakers. It can give you full control over multiple audio streams simultaneously (32 3-D audio streams in hardware alone -up to 512 midi instrument voices if devoted to music or any combination of these!). Anyway the potential of this card added to the audio output of radio signals is significant for shaping the signal and extracting information. Electrically this is a very clean low noise card that can supply noise free digital audio out if you need it. In the hands of hobbyists this card has tremendous untapped potential. More on SBLive can be found at http://www.sblive.com/product/benefits.html and http://www.sblive.com/product/faq.html . There is also a new private news server that you can log into now at -&#65533; news.creativelabs.com &#65533;- that discusses speakers, sound and the various generations of sound cards.

73 de ki4je

Lee Rothman wrote in message ...
>First of all, I applaud both Icom and WinRadio for their further designs
>of "outboard" units. Especially the fact that WinRadio has woken up to
>the fact that some of us like laptops and PCMCIA slots are a natural. Not
>to mention the lessening of internally generated noise from the computer.
>As an owner of an Icom PCR-1000 and having checked out some of WinRadio's
>products, my personal opinion is that unless you want absolute "State Of
>The Are" and are prepared to pay the $2,000.00 for the model WR-3100 I or
>E version, you might just as well get the Icom.

433. Compaq Presario 1625 Notebook FS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6tebc9$4n9$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1713
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.forsale,atl.forsale,nc.charlotte.forsale,nc.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

FS - NEW less than a month old Compaq Presario Laptop Computer - Purchased
at $1850.00 PLUS TAX yours for $1750 plus shipping or pick up at that price.
Do have (3) THREE year warranty that can also be purchased for $100 extra.
Carrying bag is INCLUDED FREE with purchase of Laptop and warranty.
Excellent computer - email for more details if interested.

Daniel kc4oxp@flash.net

POSSIBLY consider trade for ham gear HF/Kenwood/ICOM

434. THE YAESU FP-757 HD FOR $100.00 SHIPPED!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6tk11l$2j4$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1714
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Subject says it all!! Matching Power supply for the FT-757 excellent condition - Need $$$ for hamfest this coming weekend!!

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

435. Re: THE YAESU FP-757 HD FOR $100.00 SHIPPED!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny Hall <coljoker@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <35FDB70D.B6F5057B@mindspring.com>
Source record: 1715
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Where's the Hamfest at this weekend?

J. Daniel Bell, Jr. wrote:

> Need $$$ for hamfest this coming weekend!!
>
> 73 Daniel KC4OXP
> kc4oxp@flash.net

--
"Never underestimate the power of human stupidity and greed."
R.A.H.
ICQ# 2180217
Remove the ** From My Address to E-Mail Me (Anti-Spam Tactic!)

436. Re: THE YAESU FP-757 HD FOR $100.00 SHIPPED!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana@randomc.com
Message-ID: <6tk9b4$al9@netaxs.com>
Source record: 1716
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

On 1998-09-14 coljoker@**mindspring.com said:
Newsgroups: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap
Where's the Hamfest at this weekend?
J. Daniel Bell, Jr. wrote:
> Need $$$ for hamfest this coming weekend!!
> 73 Daniel KC4OXP
> kc4oxp@flash.net
--
"Never underestimate the power of human stupidity and greed."
R.A.H.
ICQ# 2180217
Remove the ** From My Address to E-Mail Me (Anti-Spam Tactic!)
hi, was wondering that myself.
reply to: dana@randomc.com
dana yeomans, n4pge

Net-Tamer V 1.11.1 - Test Drive

437. Re: THE YAESU FP-757 HD FOR $100.00 SHIPPED!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6tkl61$n7b$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1717
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Power Supply/speaker has been sold!

Hamfest is Gainesville, Georgia Great hamfest hope to see ya there!!

http://www.qsl.net/kc4oxp for more information on the hamfest and our club homepage!

73 Daniel KC4OXP
Winder, Ga

Still have the MD-1B8 Mic for sale! $95.00 SHIPPED!!

J. Daniel Bell, Jr. wrote in message <6tk11l$2j4$1@excalibur.flash.net>...
>Subject says it all!! Matching Power supply for the FT-757 excellent
>condition - Need $$$ for hamfest this coming weekend!!
>
>73 Daniel KC4OXP
>kc4oxp@flash.net
>
>
>

438. MD-1B8 Mic for sale! $95.00 SHIPPED!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6tkngthj1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1718
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Email again says it all. Hurry!! Need funds for THIS WEEKENDS HAMFEST!!
http://www.qsl.net/kc4oxp for information regarding the Gainesville, Georgia
hamfest

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

439. Trade NEW Laptop For HF

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6trpjv$5gs$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1719
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

I have a NEW (three weeks old) Compaq Presario 1625 Laptop - Includes the following -

AMD-K6 266 MHZ MMX Enhanced Processor
Built in Modem - 56k
CD-Rom 24x
12.1" High Performance Addressing Display HPA
128-Bit Accelerated Graphics
3.5 Gig hardrive
JBL Internal Speakers
PLUS MORE!!!!!
3 YEAR TRANSFERABLE WARRANTY
Carrying case also Included.

Looking to trade for YAESU FT1000 MP also in excellent condition with accessories, i.e. Matching speaker, etc.. Other like HF's also will be considered!

Email with what you have, will consider all trades.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net
Submitted: 9/17/98

440. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent Atwater <info@bizbox.com>
Message-ID: <36020058.52A2@bizbox.com>
Source record: 1720
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

> Bottom line, is that God ALLOWED the station to get zapped, that doesn't mean He
> is personally going around and doing malevolent things!

If God can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
If God intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
If God both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then
how can evil exist?

You cannot believe in God and also believe that he is not responsible
for all the churches he destroys with tornados, hurricanes, etc.

441. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Orlowski <daveo50@lni.net>
Message-ID: <6ttegg$u89$1@adonis.tdi.net>
Source record: 1721
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

Dear Kent (or whoever you are):

Please give it a rest!!!

Regards,

Dave Orlowski

Kent Atwater wrote in message <36020058.52A2@bizbox.com>...
>> Bottom line, is that God ALLOWED the station to get zapped, that doesn't
mean He
>> is personally going around and doing malevolent things!
>
>If God can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
>If God intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
>If God both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then
>how can evil exist?
>
>You cannot believe in God and also believe that he is not responsible
>for all the churches he destroys with tornados, hurricanes, etc.

442. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Day <randy.day@home.comx>
Message-ID: <3602CBEA.B96F43B5@home.comx>
Source record: 1722
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

Kent Atwater wrote:
>
> > Bottom line, is that God ALLOWED the station to get zapped, that doesn't mean He
> > is personally going around and doing malevolent things!

> If God can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> If God intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> If God both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then
> how can evil exist?

> You cannot believe in God and also believe that he is not responsible
> for all the churches he destroys with tornados, hurricanes, etc.

Heck, in the Wholly Babble, god(tm) admits that he(tm) CREATES evil!
Somewhere in Isaiah (45:7, if memory serves).

R
--
Remove any occurrence of the letter 'x'
in my address to send me email.

443. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6tuiqonob1@winter.news.erols.com>
Source record: 1723
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

Take this crap out of the Rec.Radio.xxx newsgroups, PLEASE!

>Heck, in the Wholly Babble, god(tm) admits that he(tm) CREATES evil!
>Somewhere in Isaiah (45:7, if memory serves).
>
>
>R
>--
>Remove any occurrence of the letter 'x'
>in my address to send me email.

444. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdkelley@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3602e369.839032@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 1724
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

>Heck, in the Wholly Babble, god(tm) admits that he(tm) CREATES evil!
>Somewhere in Isaiah (45:7, if memory serves).

Hey, thanks for the tidbit, I'm arguing with a fundy who claimed God cannot coexist with evil, but thanks to your reference I can now tell him that, according to the "Wholly Babble," God created it!!! Of course, some simple logic would tell you that if God is the creator of all things then he must've created evil, but fundies cannot avoid it when the Bible tells 'em so.

Oh yeah, in my copy of the "Wholly Babble," the fundies have an annotation which supposedly resolves the contradiction. It is as follows: Heb. 'ra,' meaning "sorrow," "wretchedness," "adversity," "afflictions," "calamities," but never translated sin. God created evil only in the sense that He made sorrow, wretchedness, etc., to be the sure fruits of sin.

The fundy will then argue that man brought sin into the world with 'the fall,' which leads us all back to the problem of evil. God could've just as easily created a world in which man freely chose to do good as one in which man freely chose evil, so God is ultimately accountable for everything.

The fundy will then crawl behind faith, and die. Good riddance... .

Darwin

445. Wanted SP-20 & SM-20

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6tv1jl$2av$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1725
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Email best price shipped - also condition of both items.
73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

"I provide quality Internet access accounts for low cost, and I help people start home businesses on the Internet. If you know anyone who needs Internet access, or who would like to have a business on the Internet, let me know!" http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp for more details!

446. FS:MINT Icom IC-737

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6u0o2m$35a$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1635
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.swap

Excellent condition IC-737 $700.00 plus shipping.
Email if interested
73 Daniel KC4OXP
Winder, Ga

"I provide quality Internet access accounts for low cost, and I help people start home
businesses on the Internet. If you know anyone who needs Internet
access, or who would like to have a business on the Internet, let me
know!" http://www.flash.net/~kc4oxp for more details!

447. WTB:IC-PS15 & PS-55

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6u16cq$2cd$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1636
Cross-posted to: fido7.hamradio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
Email best price shipped - also condition of both items.
73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net
```

448. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Franck E." <moumoug@cybercom.fr>
Message-ID: <6tv3hj$161$2@jaydee.iway.fr>
Source record: 1726
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Kent Atwater a ï¿½crit dans le message <35FF5D62.6C25@bizbox.com>...
>Randy Guttery wrote:
>> You must be one miserable human being!
>
>Judge not, and Ye shall not be judged you religious hypocrate.
>Why is it that religious nuts can't even follow their own rules?

Hi Kent,

I had a lot of fun reading your first post.
I was about to write the same thing.

God has a sense of humour. I hope Hantson will get God's help
for his state of mind, which is in a worse state than the transmitter
itself :-)

--

Franck E.

449. Re: Avoid low end internal WinRadio - WinRadio WR-3100e, OK

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: no_spam@please.com
Message-ID: <36040d2b.9779371@news.nabi.net>
Source record: 1727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings Victor..

On Fri, 11 Sep 1998 11:52:27 GMT, "Victor Healey" <fourphun @
bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I agree Icom IMHO PCR-1000 external PC radio makes a better radio then low
>end inernal WinRadio when comparing them dollar for dollar, USA support for
>USA support, and quality for quality. The truly expensive WinRadio WR-3100e
>sounds like a good deal if you can afford it. This is a good external
>version. It had better be for that price!
>
>Putting the radio card inside a computer is in the long run a bad idea for a
>variety of reasons. Noise being a big one. Isa bus is another. All the key
|
Putting ANY microprocessor that runs on harmonically rich square waves near
ANY receiver isn't a 'good thing'. Ultimately, for absolute lowest noise
floor, we wouldn't have any of these processors near the vicinity of a
receiver at all. Since the introduction of the processor into the receiver
its been an ongoing battle against phase noise in relation to the receiver.
Especially in concert with very weak signals.

Cheers,
Gary

snip

450. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent Atwater <info@bizbox.com>
Message-ID: <36042F5F.7EF0@bizbox.com>
Source record: 1728
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

Darwin wrote:
>
> >Heck, in the Wholly Babble, god(tm) admits that he(tm) CREATES evil!
> >Somewhere in Isaiah (45:7, if memory serves).
>
> Hey, thanks for the tidbit, I'm arguing with a fundy who claimed God
> cannot coexist with evil, but thanks to your reference I can now tell
> him that, according to the "Wholly Babble," God created it!!!

You needed someone to tell you this? God created Satan (in christian
mythology) right? And he could destroy him as well right? But he
chooses not to, right? Therefore, God is EVIL.

451. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edward Bell <ekbell@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <36043F00.756A@mindspring.com>
Source record: 1729
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

K. A. : You are sick! Go somewhere - anywhere way away from here.

452. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kent Atwater <info@bizbox.com>
Message-ID: <36043EBB.5692@bizbox.com>
Source record: 1730
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

Edward Bell wrote:
>
> K. A. : You are sick! Go somewhere - anywhere way away from here.

God doesn't like that kind of talk!

453. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: chrisp@flash.net
Message-ID: <MPG.106dd2448b675525989a57@news.flash.net>
Source record: 1731
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

Kent Atwater wrote:

> > Bottom line, is that God ALLOWED the station to get zapped, that
> > doesn't mean He is personally going around and doing malevolent things!

> If God can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> If God intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> If God both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then
> how can evil exist?

> You cannot believe in God and also believe that he is not responsible
> for all the churches he destroys with tornados, hurricanes, etc.

Checking newsgroup header:

rec.antiques.radio+phono, rec.ham-radio, rec.ham-radio.packet, rec.radio,
rec.radio.amateur, alt.atheism

Hmmm... Hey Kent, are you an atheist ham radio operator?

--
Chris Peterson #1075

454. Re: SOS SOS SOS!!! WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdkelley@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <36045527.13148966@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 1637
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,alt.atheism

>You needed someone to tell you this? God created Satan (in christian
>mythology) right? And he could destroy him as well right? But he
>chooses not to, right? Therefore, God is EVIL.

No you stupid bastard, I just didn't know there was a reference like
that in the Bible. If you would've read the rest of my post, you
would have realized that I am perfectly aware that God must've created
evil.

Darwin

455. Yaesu FT-101B Station

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Daub <billtow@lebmofo.com>
Message-ID: <FCIN1.103$Hi3.210704@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1638
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.ssb,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have the following equipment

FT-101B = about 20 hrs = 9++, mic and power cord
FL-2100B = Amp = 9+
FV-101B = VFO = 8.5
YC-601 = Freq. counter = 8.5
YO-100 = Scope = 9
FTV-650B = 6M = 9
FTV-250 = 2M = 9
YP-150Z = Dummy load/Watt meter = 9 (rare)
YD-844A = Desk Mic = 9+

If you are hunting a very nice near complete station here it is and this one won't be here long !

You would only need SP-101 Speaker or SP-101PB Phone patch and QTR-24 World clock to complete station http://www.qsl.net/nw2m/ as seen here.

I would like to sell as a complete set, but "might" consider splitting up
e-mail me at bfd@lebmofo.com

$ 1600.00 + shipping

456. Need desesperatly Icom Icw2a service manual !!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin <delauney@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <rLzN1.949$2U2.952296@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 1639
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,francom.radio_amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi and thanks for rteading me

I need desesperatly a service manual for my Icom ICW2A

Not the instruction manual ...but really the service manual with diagram and board components

Copy will be enought

Thanks for any reply

73

va2nrj@amsat.org

457. Radio Shack catalog

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9vjg19-nospam@idt.net
Message-ID: <n9vjg19-nospam-2109982101340001@ppp-47.ts-11.nyc.idt.net>
Source record: 1640
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/rscat/rscat.html

A quick and dirty review of Radio Shack's 1999 catalog. Also, check out
"How I almost got a free Radio Shack catalog" at

http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/shack/shack.html

...After that, get your RF Horoscope at

http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/horo/horo.html

----What a great way to spend a few minutes of your time away from the radios!

458. TRADE LAPTOP FOR HF RIG

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr." <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6u72kt$2o$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1641
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Want to trade my laptop (description below) for a H.F. rig, of equal value
and/or + Cash.

Laptop Description

3 Weeks Old
Compaq Presario Model 1625
All-In-One Design
Built-In Modem (56k)
24x Cd-Rom And Disk Drive
12.1" High Performance Addressing Display (HPA)
128-Bit Accelerated Graphics
3.5 Gig Hardrive
AMD-K6 266mhz MMX Enhanced Processor 88.80
42% FASTER than other mobile 266mhz MMX Processor 63.90

Carrying case included

Total Value 3 weeks ago $2400.00 + $180.00 3 year warranty

Will Include 3 year TRANSFERABLE BEST BUYS WARRANTY

What I am looking for - LATE MODEL HF RIG -
IC-756
IC-761
IC-781
IC-970

Other HF rigs will also be considered - Expecially Icom - Kenwood

Email what you have and let's see if we can come to a mutual agreement.

73 Daniel KC4OXP
kc4oxp@flash.net

459. New Home Made Radio Page

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eamon Skelton <die.all@spammers.org>
Message-ID: <6u972u$m5o10@kirk.tinet.ie>
Source record: 1642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc

New Home Brew Radio Page

http://www.nettaxi.com/citizens/ei9gq

73 Ed. EI9GQ

ANTI SPAM RETURN ADDRESS
(real address below)

Eamon 'Ed' Skelton EI9GQ
Cork, Ireland.
ei9gq@nettaxi.com

http://www.nettaxi.com/citizens/ei9gq

460. TRADE TM-742 /w 220 for FT-736R

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan - KL0ED <kl0ed@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6ujvm7$cl0$1@news-2.news.gte.net>
Source record: 1643
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,att.ham-radio,fido.ham-sale,fsu.freenet.hamradio.bulletins,fsu.freenet.hamradio.forum,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hello my name is Ivan - KL0ED. I would like to trade my NEW (purchased from AES on or about 8/15/98)Kenwood TM-742 with 220 and PL ENC/DEC option PLUS a Dimond 1.8-500MHz triplexer network FOR a Yeasu FT-736R base with accessories (if any) in good cond. WHY? I want a base. This is well over $1300 in NEW equipment. I have ALL the manuals scematics and cartons. If interested, drop me a line and we can talk business. You can e-mail me at: kl0ed@gte.net OR ivanbunny@aol.com Thank you and 73.

461. KB9HGI'S HAM SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve KB9HGI <pacman@bcl.net>
Message-ID: <3611ccc3.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 1644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Come visit my Ham Shack web page. Something for everyone!
Ham Radio, Scanner Radio, CB Radio and more.....

http://www.qsl.net/kb9hgi/

462. Autopatch/Repeater/TS-742 Combo, Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffrey L. Bowman, M.D., M.S." <jlbowman@netdirect.net>
Message-ID: <7RlQ1.1665$P4.5512159@news.netdirect.net>
Source record: 1645
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,in.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Have specially modified Kenwood TS-742 that works in combination with a CSI Patch IV. This provides autopatch, repeater, remote controllable configuration. Have used this set-up for field day repeater, local repeater with a 150 watt FM UHF amplifier which is also for sale.

This is an excellent combo package for anyone looking for autopatch, economic repeater for private club or individual use.

Asking $1300 for everything and a few extras - customized cabling with N connectors, discriminator output switch, etc.

Will consider any reasonable offer or trade. Available to ship overnight!

jlbowman
AA9GP
jlbowman@netdirect.net

463. Re: Bearcat BCT-2

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-09-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kidbik <kidbik@brainerd.net>
Message-ID: <6utaesciv1@news3.mr.net>
Source record: 1646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I recently purchased a BCT-2, When monitoring a channel it always cuts out
(checking another channel I presume) can I change this??

Also the delete button on the front I assume is to remove unwanted channels!
By deleting these is there a way to restore them at a later date, i.e...
turn off then on??????

--
'97 F150 SC Style XLT 4X4 w/ORP, 4.6V8, Red/Gray, LEER Cap,
ALL POWER, CD, 3.55 w/posi, Captains Seats, Lund Avenger,
Chrome Westin's, Lane Dual Exhaust, Slider, Tow Pkg.

464. help with ICOM IC-02AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM
Message-ID: <YBBQ1.148$vx5.266820@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1647
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I was recently given an ICOM IC-02AT transceiver and it has some problems. The first was easy to fix - a bad battery pack (luckily it came with one that had replaceable AAs). But the second is more baffling. It seems like the volume switch doesn't work - it turns on and I can get low volume but once I turn it more than ~1/6 I lose all volume. Any help ? How can I fix it ? is it worth fixing ?

Also can someone tell me how old this unit is ? and how much it would be worth ? IF I were to sell it or trade it in ?

Thanks for any help you can provide,
Mike McLeod
KC5KXM

465. Re: help with ICOM IC-02AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freeland <Freeland@chickasaw.com>
Message-ID: <IGKQ1.33$Nq.87199@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 1648
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Hello, depending on where the volume switch is, it may be overloading a base circuit, in which case the transitor or one of its associated components is not functioning.

Spam Killer wrote in message ...
>I was recently given an ICOM IC-02AT transceiver and it has some problems. The
>first was easy to fix - a bad battery pack (luckily it came with one that had
>replaceable AAs). But the second is more baffling. It seems like the volume
>switch doesn't work - it turns on and I can get low volume but once I turn it
>more than ~1/6 I lose all volume. Any help ? How can I fix it ? is it worth
>fixing ?
>
>Also can someone tell me how old this unit is ? and how much it would be worth
> ? IF I were to sell it or trade it in ?
>
>Thanks for any help you can provide,
>Mike McLeod
>KC5KXM
>

466. ROCK HILL, SC HAMFEST SATURDAY OCT 3, 1998 KNIGHTS STADIUM

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Bacharach <bobbach@cetlink.net>
Message-ID: <cFLQ1.1046$O7.1393566@news.cetlink.net>
Source record: 1649
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

H A M F E S T H A M F E S T H A M F E S T

WELCOME ALL TO YCARS HAMFEST OCTOBER 3, 1998

Knights Stadium, Fort Mill, South Carolina
Take I-77 to Exit 88, the Baseball Exit

Doors open at 6:00 a.m. Tickets $6.00 at the door.

Flea Market, Equipment Vendors, VE Testing, Food & Fun!

VE TESTING REGISTRATION BEGINS AT 9:30 A.M.

Talk-in on 147.03 (down 600)

Email: Pete Krenn for further info: pete@cetlink.net

See you there! Bob Bacharach WA2EMF

467. looking for Icom 02AT or H16 Main Board

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Andrews <randrews@predatorsystems.com>
Message-ID: <36154715.8BE1D488@predatorsystems.com>
Source record: 1650
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I need the Main Board for an Icom 02AT or an Icom H16. (I've been told it's the same board).

Thanks

--
Roger
mailto://roger@predatorsystems.com

468. Re: AC electrical possibilities

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <jeffj@scott.net>
Message-ID: <PNR110581908u@scott.net>
Source record: 1651
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

In message <MPG.10835e4d33b471ac989680@news.bhm.bellsouth.net>, zor@atl.bellsouth.net said:
> Is it possible to inject a signal into the 60 Hz sine wave
> and make use of it?

I don't know about video but I have a intercom system here at my house that plugs into an electrical outlet and uses the home's wiring to transmit audio. I seems to me that home wiring would be too 'noisy' to use for video, but that's just my thought, not the gospel. Good luck!

73 Jeff

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-= Jeff Johns KF4KGQ =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
jeffj@scott.net kf4kgq@amsat.org	Reserve Patrol Captain
Satellite: MIR (R0MIR-1)	Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept
HP200LX+BayPac=Portable Packet	QTH Birmingham, AL USA
=-=-=-=-=-=- Packet AX.25 KF4KGQ@wd4epr.#bhm.al.usa.noam -=-=-=-=-=-=

469. Re: AC electrical possibilities

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Old Biker <enfieldbullet@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <01bdf129$cd444b00$084a1504@enfield05.3n.net>
Source record: 1652
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Anthony Cosgrove <zor@atl.bellsouth.net> wrote in article
<MPG.10835e4d33b471ac989680@news.bhm.bellsouth.net>...
> a friend .. he told me some guy made a telephone conferencing device
that utilized the AC house
> wiring.

It's simply a matter of "multiplexing": using high-pass filters to keep
the 60 Hz AC and first few harmonics (noise) out of the higher-frequency
voice circuits. 73s
KA3DWW

470. Virtual Reality hamshack

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <address@below.com>
Message-ID: <361AD3BA.1A6A@below.com>
Source record: 1653
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

my first attempt at a VR movie for the web is online at the link below.
it's a view of my hamshack.

just click the nav button marked my shack on the homepage.
it's 350k m/l so let it load before getting bored ;-)
usually takes 2 minutes to load completely

thanks for your time/feedback
--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF

mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

471. For Sale YAESU 990

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Schuckert <mikes@newulmtel.net>
Message-ID: <361AD2E9.B09@newulmtel.net>
Source record: 1654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.hamradio.swap,rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

RADIO IS PERFECT WORKING CONDITION. NO FACE WEAR LOOKS LIKE NEW. Price
is $1250.00
mikes@newulmtel.net

472. Re: help with ICOM IC-02AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: DArnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <361D5B86.6A52CDA2@datasync.com>
Source record: 1655
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

The radio is at least 10 years old
We used them for field ex when i was attached to seabees
in 88/89 and the mars station i think had them for a couple years before
that I THINK
if your tecnically inclined open it up an clean the swich/pot with a
electronic cleaning soution and check the wiring of the swx
don
kb5fhx

Spam Killer wrote:
>
> I was recently given an ICOM IC-02AT transceiver and it has some problems. The
> first was easy to fix - a bad battery pack (luckily it came with one that had
> replaceable AAs). But the second is more baffling. It seems like the volume
> switch doesn't work - it turns on and I can get low volume but once I turn it
> more than ~1/6 I lose all volume. Any help ? How can I fix it ? is it worth
> fixing ?
>
> Also can someone tell me how old this unit is ? and how much it would be worth
> ? IF I were to sell it or trade it in ?
>
> Thanks for any help you can provide,
> Mike McLeod
> KC5KXM

--

WEB PAGE UPDATED 05 SEP 98 DARLA (dawn) ICQ# 7341931
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 personal page

other pages we are involved in Don (weathernut) ICQ# 14664294
http://hattiesburg.net/columns/index.shtml My BI-Weekly column
http://www.qsl.net/kb5zvw Navy mars MS area page

473. Ramsey 220 Fm Kit

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tate Jackson <tate@smart.net>
Message-ID: <6vju73gjo1@news.smart.net>
Source record: 1656
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,dc.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

A few years ago, I saw an add in a ham radio magazine about a controller for the Ramsey FT transceiver kits. This controller gave full tuning of the entire band, 20 plus memory channels, encode and decode, etc.. I believe the name of the company that manufactures it is something like MicroTek.

Can anyone confirm the name of the company and supply a phone number or address?

Thanks

Tate, N3BXZ

474. Re: help with ICOM IC-02AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Dees <ratchet@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <6vk1nb$ua2$1@news.ametro.net>
Source record: 1657
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

prob 1980-1982 nice rig thumbwheel freq? find a friend to fix or e me ill offer.. ratchet@datasync.com
Spam Killer wrote in message ...
>I was recently given an ICOM IC-02AT transceiver and it has some problems. The
>first was easy to fix - a bad battery pack (luckily it came with one that had
>replaceable AAs). But the second is more baffling. It seems like the volume
>switch doesn't work - it turns on and I can get low volume but once I turn it
>more than ~1/6 I lose all volume. Any help ? How can I fix it ? is it worth
>fixing ?
>
>Also can someone tell me how old this unit is ? and how much it would be worth
> ? IF I were to sell it or trade it in ?
>
>Thanks for any help you can provide,
>Mike McLeod
>KC5KXM
>

475. Re: help with ICOM IC-02AT

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mts@plix.com
Message-ID: <6vnuqv$cv3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1658
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

In article <YBBQ1.148$vx5.266820@news3.ispnews.com>,
NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM (Spam Killer) wrote:
> I was recently given an ICOM IC-02AT transceiver and it has some problems.
The
> first was easy to fix - a bad battery pack (luckily it came with one that had
> replaceable AAs). But the second is more baffling. It seems like the volume
> switch doesn't work - it turns on and I can get low volume but once I turn it
> more than ~1/6 I lose all volume. Any help ? How can I fix it ? is it worth
> fixing ?
>
> Also can someone tell me how old this unit is ? and how much it would be
worth
> ? IF I were to sell it or trade it in ?
>
> Thanks for any help you can provide,
> Mike McLeod
> KC5KXM
>
> The problem is the volume control itself, it's dirty and cleaning wont last.
Control costs about $7 from ICOM.

The 02AT came out around 1982 and ran until around 1990, they made over
200,000 of them if I remember right. It has built in PL, but limited
frequency range, so it's value is only about $100 in good condition with a
manual, less for less.

Scott Malcom
M T S

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

476. Auction - Fair Lawn, NJ -Fair Lawn Amateur Radio Club - November 27th

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Sammartino <sammartinoj@usa.net>
Message-ID: <361FCB3D.6ADC7819@usa.net>
Source record: 1659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.hombrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Auction - Fair Lawn, NJ - Fair Lawn Amateur Radio Club - November 27th

On the evening of November 27th, The Fair Lawn Amateur Radio Club will host it's Annual Auction.

It will be held at the Fair Lawn Cultural Center, located at 12-56 River Road, Fair Lawn, NJ 07412.

Ham Radio, CBs, Computers, Electronics, etc.

Those interested in auctioning their merchandise should arrive at 6 PM.

The auction will begin at 8 PM. For info call Joe, 201-365-5809. You may Email with any questions at sammartinoj @ usa.net.

Directions: (or call for more detail)

Route 208 To Fair Lawn Avenue (west)
Fair Lawn Avenue to River Road, left turn About 2-3 blocks, FLARC will be on right side.

477. FS- Alinco DJ - S11 2m. HT (anib) ends 10/12 @ 2:46 pm EST

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: *varmint*@peapod.com
Message-ID: <*varmint*-1110982109000001@tc1ppp39.ascinet.com>
Source record: 1660
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Can be seen on eBay at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=34086663

"....and he wore a hat,
and he had a job, Fisher Huntsmann
and he brought home *varmint*@peapod.com
the bacon, so that...
....no one knew." anti-spam " * " added
=DEVO=

478. Re: AC electrical possibilities

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lundgren <NOlundgrejSPAM@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Message-ID: <3623FB46.428F@mail.rancho.cc.ca.us>
Source record: 1661
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

chas@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca wrote:
[snip]

> Many years ago I sent morse code over the 60 Hz power system.
> I connected the 8 ohm output of an audio amplifier (3 watts) yhrough
> a two micropfarad capacitor to the line. At the amplifier input I had a
> keyed audio oscillator. [..]

We do tht here on campus every hour. We have a big motor-generator set
where the main power transformer and switchgear is. It revs up a few
minutes before the hour, and then injects a high pitched signal into the
AC which propagates all over our campus 4KV system and thru the power
transformers. This sets the Simplex time clocks to the correct time.
After the injection, it takes more than ten minutes for the M-G to rev
down and stop. Whew! That must be real roller bearings!

> Charlie
> --
> email: chas@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca

--
Please remove NO and SPAM from my email addr to reply.
--
@@@@@@@@@@T@h@e@@I@n@t@e@r@n@e@t@@w@a@s@@c@o@o@l@@u@n@t@i@l@@@@@@@@@
@ John Lundgren Elec. Tech, Info. Tech. Svcs.| lundgrej <AT> mail @
@ Rancho Santiago Community College District | .rancho.cc.ca.us @
@ 17th St. at Bristol \ Santa Ana, CA 92706 |www.rancho.cc.ca.us @
@ My opinions are mine, NOT my employer's. |PGP key avail on req@
@ "Everything is InterTwingled" - Ted Nelson in ComputerLib @
@@@@@@@@@@e@v@e@r@y@o@n@e@@f@o@u@n@d@@o@u@t@@a@b@o@u@t@@i@t@@@@@@@@@@
Read what the FTC has to say about Junk Email at URL
http://www.ftc.gov/bcp/conline/pubs/online/inbox.htm

479. Re: AC electrical possibilities

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: chas@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca
Message-ID: <700sid$5k9$1@news.sas.ab.ca>
Source record: 1662
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Anthony Cosgrove (zor@atl.bellsouth.net) wrote:
: I was speaking with a friend a couple of days ago and he told me that
: some guy made a telephone conferencing device that utilized the AC house
: wiring. I don't know exactly what it did... it may be using what I'm
: about to ask. Is it possible to inject a signal into the 60 Hz sine wave
: and make use of it?

: TIA
: Anthony
: KE4GBA
Many years ago I sent morse code over the 60 Hz power system.
I connected the 8 ohm output of an audio amplifier (3 watts) yhrough
a two micropfarad capacitor to the line. At the amplifier input I had a
keyed audio oscillator.

I knew a radio ham several houses away and was able to hear this
signal there with just 2000 ohm earphones connected to the line
through a very small capacitor.

The latest Radio Shack catalog has something that sends signals
over the power line. It is used to remotely turn on or off a lamp
or appliance. Sender is $19.99. Receiver is $27.99.

Charlie
--
email: chas@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca

480. Re: AC electrical possibilities

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ass <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <362432F9.C8260994@a.a>
Source record: 1663
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Carrier current transmission is what its called. It is fairly commonplace. Modulation can be most anything you can send though the air. Electronics hobby mags have had many a circuit to do this. You could for example "transmit" on the power lines and any radio plugged in (or placed near enough) can recieve it.

481. Re: Bearcat BCT-2

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <70289d$t0l@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 1664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6utaesciv1@news3.mr.net>, kidbik <kidbik@brainerd.net> wrote:
>I recently purchased a BCT-2, When monitoring a channel it always cuts out
>(checking another channel I presume) can I change this??
>
>Also the delete button on the front I assume is to remove unwanted channels!
>By deleting these is there a way to restore them at a later date, i.e...
>turn off then on??????
>
>
>
>--
>'97 F150 SC Style XLT 4X4 w/ORP, 4.6V8, Red/Gray, LEER Cap,
>ALL POWER, CD, 3.55 w/posi, Captains Seats, Lund Avenger,
>Chrome Westin's, Lane Dual Exhaust, Slider, Tow Pkg.
>
>

sounds like it's checking the priority channel (every 2 seconds or so)
i think you'd have to use "hold" on the channel you want to override
the priority checking (i think)

i think if you hold the "lockout" (delete?) button down for
2 (or is it 5 seconds) you will hear a "beep beep". this is
the procedure to release all locked out channels.

if this don't work - maybe find a operator's manual . . .

todd k.

482. ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel Hicks, Jr." <w8mmq@juno.com>
Message-ID: <703cds$h3v@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 1665
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

October 14, 1998

Radio talk-show host Art Bell has announced that he is leaving his show,
"Coast to Coast", and quitting radio broadcasting altogether.

For the complete story, visit http://members.xoom.com/w8mmq/101498.html.
You will also find the text of Art Bell's announcement.

Art is a licensed amateur radio operator, with the callsign of W6OBB.

73
Dan/W8MMQ
w8mmq@juno.com

483. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: DavidC <davidc@bit-net.com>
Message-ID: <01bdf7e3$61b91bc0$ee8492d0@davidc.bit-net.com>
Source record: 1666
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Three guesses:

1. A publicity stunt.
2. Isn't he the guy with all of the UFO talk? Hmmm, perhaps he discovered they aren't real?
3. Has he been kidnapped by aliens and replaced by one who scuttled his show to cover up? :-)

A year after the supposed event he now decides to leave ... does the name Ross Perot come to mind?

Someone correct me on any mis-statement of the facts here, please! :-)

Seriously, something just doesn't sound right here ... anyone get the same feeling?

73, DavidC AA1FA

> October 14, 1998
> Radio talk-show host Art Bell has announced that he is leaving his show,
> "Coast to Coast", and quitting radio broadcasting altogether.
> For the complete story, visit http://members.xoom.com/w8mmq/101498.html.
> You will also find the text of Art Bell's announcement.
> Art is a licensed amateur radio operator, with the callsign of W6OBB.
> 73 Dan/W8MMQ

484. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <36261241.53F5@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1667
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

He can also be found on 80 meters.....

Don, W6IQ

>
> Art is a licensed amateur radio operator, with the callsign of W6OBB.
>
> 73
> Dan/W8MMQ
> w8mmq@juno.com

485. Wanted: Aerotron Mobiles

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher Zeman, KB9RHA" <zman@iwc.net>
Message-ID: <P%oV1.1908$Gq.2424@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1668
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.misc

```
WANTED:

Aerotron TXVRs

Low-Band Mobile to be used on 6 meters.
Must have control head and manual.

UHF Mobile for 440.
Must have control head and manual.

Please reply to zman@iwc.net

Thank you,
Christopher Zeman, KB9RHA
```

486. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Message-ID: <705adr$8mg$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1669
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <703cds$h3v@news.voyager.net>,
"Daniel Hicks, Jr." <w8mmq@juno.com> wrote:
>
> October 14, 1998
>
> Radio talk-show host Art Bell has announced that he is leaving his show,
> "Coast to Coast", and quitting radio broadcasting altogether.
>
> For the complete story, visit http://members.xoom.com/w8mmq/101498.html.
> You will also find the text of Art Bell's announcement.
>
> Art is a licensed amateur radio operator, with the callsign of W6OBB.
>
> 73
> Dan/W8MMQ
> w8mmq@juno.com

So many idiots......

......so few comets.

Good riddance!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

487. Re: Bearcat BCT-2

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1 <labtech1@ee.net>
Message-ID: <01bdf8dd$c20c78a0$4b43bed1@leebendi>
Source record: 1670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Its checking the "extender" channels for whatever state you selected, when
it finds
activity on one of them it will sound an alarm and the red "WARNING" lite
will
flash. To restore deleted frequencies, make sure the BCT-2 is not scanning
(open
the squelch) then press and hold the (DELETE) key until "CL" appears on the

display.

- <wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com> wrote in article
<70289d$t0l@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>...
> In article <6utaesciv1@news3.mr.net>, kidbik <kidbik@brainerd.net>
wrote:
> >I recently purchased a BCT-2, When monitoring a channel it always cuts
out
> >(checking another channel I presume) can I change this??
> >
> >Also the delete button on the front I assume is to remove unwanted
channels!
> >By deleting these is there a way to restore them at a later date, i.e...
> >turn off then on??????
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >'97 F150 SC Style XLT 4X4 w/ORP, 4.6V8, Red/Gray, LEER Cap,
> >ALL POWER, CD, 3.55 w/posi, Captains Seats, Lund Avenger,
> >Chrome Westin's, Lane Dual Exhaust, Slider, Tow Pkg.
> >
> >
>
> sounds like it's checking the priority channel (every 2 seconds or so)
> i think you'd have to use "hold" on the channel you want to override
> the priority checking (i think)
>
>
> i think if you hold the "lockout" (delete?) button down for
> 2 (or is it 5 seconds) you will hear a "beep beep". this is
> the procedure to release all locked out channels.
>
> if this don't work - maybe find a operator's manual . . .
>
>

> todd k.
>

488. Re: FS- Alinco DJ - S11 2m. HT (anib) ends 10/12 @ 2:46 pm EST

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <address@below.com>
Message-ID: <3627ECCF.100B@below.com>
Source record: 1671
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Fisher Huntsmann wrote:
>
> Can be seen on eBay at:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=34086663
>
> "....and he wore a hat,
> and he had a job, Fisher Huntsmann
> and he brought home *varmint*@peapod.com
> the bacon, so that...
> ....no one knew." anti-spam " * " added
> =DEVO=

mongoloid, he was a mongoloid
one chromosome too many...
--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF

mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

489. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <70b2kd$aur@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 1672
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
It appears he'll be back.
He'll make a statement on
the 19th, during the first
hour of the show, hosted
by Hilly Rose:

Lynx Version 2.8rel.2

http://artbell.com/artquits.html

http://www.enterprisemission.com/artquits.html

GL
--
Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
Leslie Paul Davies
lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
```

490. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: DavidC <davidc@bit-net.com>
Message-ID: <01bdfac8$82b44f60$a48492d0@davidc.bit-net.com>
Source record: 1673
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Leslie Paul Davies <lpdavies@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
> It appears he'll be back. He'll make a statement on the 19th, during the
first
> hour of the show, hosted by Hilly Rose:

So was it a Perot-like decision-undecision, or just a cheap publicity
stunt?
He had a whole year before he decided to do it, according to his quiting
statement,
so there is no reason to believe that he didn't have all of the information
he needed to make that decision. So much for whatever credibility he had
with whoever!

Hmmm ... just when you thought it was safe to listen to the radio ... he's
baaack ...

73, DavidC AA1FA

491. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: T E I X E I R A <teixeira@ccnet.com>
Message-ID: <362ABDAD.5757@ccnet.com>
Source record: 1674
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey....It's just a radio show... it's all entertainment...like wrestling

dt

> He had a whole year before he decided to do it, according to his quiting
> statement,
> so there is no reason to believe that he didn't have all of the information

>
> 73, DavidC AA1FA

492. FT-50r freeband mod /template

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurgan <kurgan@mail.datatone.com>
Message-ID: <SHKW1.513$aO1.1059@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1675
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does anyone have a copy of a .tpl & .rdf file from a radio with the freebander mod? I have found out that if you use a tpl & rdf file on your radio without preforming the hardware freebander mod and just use the tpl & rdf file on your radio you will get the same results. If you have them send them or email me at Kurgan@mail.datatoe.com

Thanx allot jimmy

P.S. I'm am also looking for the Euro tpl & rdf file

493. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <362B7EE4.7ABA@wt.net>
Source record: 1676
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> 1. A publicity stunt.
> 2. Isn't he the guy with all of the UFO talk? Hmmm, perhaps he discovered
> they aren't real?
> 3. Has he been kidnapped by aliens and replaced by one who scuttled his
> show to cover up? :-)
>
> A year after the supposed event he now decides to leave ... does the name
> Ross Perot come to mind?
>
> Someone correct me on any mis-statement of the facts here, please! :-)
>
> Seriously, something just doesn't sound right here ... anyone get the same
> feeling?
>
> 73, DavidC AA1FA

Kinda funny, I think he had just announced that his show had been bought out by a new broadcast Co. Sounds like he got fired to me. Just a guess though. Or the three piece suiters irked him for some reason and he quit. You can be sure the biz end had a great deal to do with it. MK

494. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <362B805D.C14@wt.net>
Source record: 1677
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> >So many idiots......
> >
> > ......so few comets.
> >
> >Good riddance!
>
> Funny- you don't know how to work the two knobs on your radio labeled Tuning
> and ON/OFF?
>
> Art has excelled at what he does best- Working hard, being a showman and
> entertaining a huge radio audience. That's the key word- entertainment, not
> trying to upstage Carl Sagan.
>
> You should be 1% as talented when you're on the air.
>
> Keep practicing.

I listened to him all the time. Pretty entertaining some of it. But I
like the stories about ghosts,ufo's,funky looking gorrila monsters,etc.
that no one else will talk about.
His show didn't get to be as popular as it is for nothing. Also
considering it's on when most normal people are asleep. I listen to him
on a 440 broadcast link to KTRH radio on my HT. Will get kinda boring at
night without all his weird types to listen to. MK

495. Re: C.S.Y-2K AFTER Y2K

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: croaker@access.digex.net
Message-ID: <3636bb8c.14476202@news.nycap.rr.com>
Source record: 1678
Cross-posted to: comp.software.year-2000,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 11 Oct 1998 22:20:06 GMT, an orbiting mind control laser caused
ddgsk@tic.net to write:

>As the resident NY'er here, (at least who posts--there are probably
>thousands lurking) my story should get interesting.
>
>In any case, we should probably set aside some common place to meet,
>perhaps a physical location, perhaps a ham radio frequency, who knows. We
>can't just let csy-2k die.

Been done already, check DejaNews.

In any event, I'll repeat it:

The Y2K Rollover Net will commence on 31 Dec 1999 at 2000Z. Primary Net
Frequency is 28.350MHz USB +/- QRM. Primary NCS is N4ZHG, alternate NCS is
AH6GI/4. Secondary nets will be established as needed, and may turn into a
full blown ARES/RACES operation.

One hopes not.

If it weren't for the fact that such is not legal, I'd suggest calling the
net by playing a certain track by R.E.M. (wonder if I can apply for a
one-time variance from the FCC? It'd probably go over like a fart in
church...)

For those who aren't ham radio aware, the frequency is in the middle of the
Novice 10 meter allocation. By the time rollover hits, we should be getting
some good skip on that band.

Frank Ney WV/EMT-B N4ZHG LPWV NRA(L) GOA CCRKBA JPFO
Sponsor, BATF Abuse page http://www.access.digex.net/~croaker/batfabus.html
West Virginia Coordinator, Libertarian Second Amendment Caucus
- --
"Pinky, are you pondering what I'm pondering?"
"Uhh, I think so, Brain, but how are we going to get all of the computers to
fail all at the same time?"

496. Re: FT-50r freeband mod faq

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jack T.Dragon" <tdragonn@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <362C0C31.1FC5@blarg.net>
Source record: 1679
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Kurgan wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a copy of a .tpl & .rdf file from a radio with the
> freebander mod? I have found out that if you use a tpl & rdf file on your
> radio without preforming the hardware freebander mod and just use the tpl &
> rdf file on your radio you will get the same results. If you have them send
> them or email me at Kurgan@mail.datatoe.com
>
> Thanx allot jimmy
>
> P.S. I'm am also looking for the Euro tpl & rdf file

An interesting note is that I explain how to do the complete freeband mod
without even having to use someone else's rdf and tpl files. I concider it much
cleaner cause lord knows what settings others set their radios to and you'll have
/their/ defaults.
You can find the faq at http://www.blarg.net/~tdragon/faqs/ If anyone has any
questions or problems, feel free to ask me for help.
BTW, this /will not/ work on the newest ft-50s. They've had the freeband mode
completely removed and if you try to set the radio to freeband mode, it'll act
strangely and erratically til you restore it to a valid country code.

--
/---------------------------+---------------------------\
-=Jack the TechnoDragon=-	Please remove the second,
a.k.a.	anti-spamming N from the
-=Jack T.Dragon=-	end of tdragon in my
tdragonn@blarg.net	address to respond.
+---------------------------+---------------------------+

497. Re: ART BELL QUITS RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Message-ID: <70kpds$oe2$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1680
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DavidC" wrote:

> Three guesses:
>
> 1. A publicity stunt.
> 2. Isn't he the guy with all of the UFO talk? Hmmm, perhaps he discovered
> they aren't real?
> 3. Has he been kidnapped by aliens and replaced by one who scuttled his
> show to cover up? :-)

Answer: None of the above. Art Bell was forced off his radio show by PMS. The full story is at http://thevinegar.webjump.com/home.htm.

Also at that site are results of an experiment which shows that being educated in Morse Code can kill you.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

498. Re: GAP Titan DX

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4nti@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <714u6n$sm7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 1681
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

In article <712lip$6ab$1@news-2.news.gte.net>,
"Peter L. Stephens" <pls95@gte.net> wrote:
> I am seriously considering purchasing the new GAP Titan DX. Does any one
> have any experience with this or any other GAP antenna? How do these
> antennas compared with other multi-band verticals?
>
> Pete, KD4ETS
>
>
Pete,

I have the voyager DX. I find it to be mechanically well built, and very
effecient.

--
Dan/W4NTI

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

499. WTB - Motorola manuals

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Estrada <wre00@amdahl.com>
Message-ID: <36362861.AF157E94@amdahl.com>
Source record: 1682
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello, KD6VEG here. I am looking for the following items:

1. Motorola Manual UHF Micor # 68-81015E70-H 1 each
2. Motorola Manual VHF Micor # 68-81008E40-D 1 each
3. Motorola Manual VHF-Lo Micor # ? 1 each

Thanks, Rick, KD6VEG
Email : umunhum@hotmail.com

500. FS Autec WM1 Computing Wattmeter

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Van Sias <wa7fab@internetcds.com>
Message-ID: <36366348.65473023@internetcds.com>
Source record: 1683
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

For Sale. Autec WM1 computing wattmeter. Two meters...swr and watts, read both simultaneously. 20, 200, 2000 watt full scale, your choice. Works fine, excellent condition cosmetically. Like new $83.00 includes shipping. Van, K7VS

501. Re: GAP Titan DX

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-10-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jluisjx@socx.insetelx.esx
Message-ID: <363b5b61.1572095@noticias.bcn.ibernet.es>
Source record: 1587
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

pues yo hace unos a&#65533;os que estoy considerando la gap challenger, que me aparenta mejor rendimiento en bandas bajas, pero no acabo dedecidirme, la mfj1798 tambien tiene buena apariencia, al menos sobre el papel

saludos

502. Re: GAP Titan DX

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Anderson <danders@novagate.com>
Message-ID: <71l5vh$6qr$0@205.138.138.171>
Source record: 1588
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Peter, I have been using my Gap Titan DX for over a year now. You may recall hearing about the storm we received in Western Michigan this Spring with estimated wind gusts of up to 130 MPH. I awoke to find my Titan DX bent over about 50 degrees and binding on a piece of PVC that holds an element. A slight movement released the pressure and back up it sprang. I haven't done a thing to it since. I've gotten great signal reports and find this to be a very servicable antenna. High marks from me.
Cheers, Dan ab8cd

Peter L. Stephens wrote:

> I am seriously considering purchasing the new GAP Titan DX. Does any one
> have any experience with this or any other GAP antenna? How do these
> antennas compared with other multi-band verticals?
>
> Pete, KD4ETS

503. Re: FT-50r freeband mod /template

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: george <george@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <71ls3g$6f3@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 1589
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hi I was looking for info on the yaesu ft-50 mods do u know if this works for
sure because I've seen the files posted somewhere before also what serial #
will this work with the new ones are around 7n******
please let me know thanks

Kurgan wrote:

> Does anyone have a copy of a .tpl & .rdf file from a radio with the
> freebander mod? I have found out that if you use a tpl & rdf file on your
> radio without preforming the hardware freebander mod and just use the tpl &
> rdf file on your radio you will get the same results. If you have them send
> them or email me at Kurgan@mail.datatoe.com
>
> Thanx allot jimmy
>
> P.S. I'm am also looking for the Euro tpl & rdf file

504. Re: GAP Titan DX

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: anyone <jorge@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <71n3omimc5@diana.bcn.ibernet.es>
Source record: 1590
Cross-posted to: att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

505. For Sale Icom 706 and options $690.00

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka0nno <n0eoq@6mt.com>
Message-ID: <v9L%1.5216$Qf3.12662@news9.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1591
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

For Sale Icom 706, 2 years old, excellent condition. Comes with, manual,
original box, mobile mounting bracket, hand mike, power cord, plus
optional FL103 ssb
2.8khz filter plus a MFJ 564 electronic iambic paddle.
$690.00

Options:
1. New in the box unused separation cable opc-581
with the control head mounting bracket not new MB-63 both for $75.00

2. IC SM6 desk microphone with opc-589 mike adapter 8 pin to phone plug.
$90.00

The whole package price if you want it will be $800.00

If interested call George n0eoq at 870-389-6516

n0eoq@6mt.com

506. W A N T E D: ICOM R-7000 RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carla Ackley <info@classichits.com>
Message-ID: <3641D158.7F477CEC@classichits.com>
Source record: 1592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

WANTED:��� ICOM R-7000 (Pre-Ban)

Will pay $400 Cash + s/h
�If you arent using that radio, I will buy it from you.

--
Carla Ackley
info@classichits.com

ClassicHits - Ackley's Custom Site Submission
PO Box 2714, Peoria,AZ. 85380-2714 USA
(602) 846-5177
http://www.classichits.com

Your Site Submitted to 800+ Search Engines, 200+ Classifieds or 700+ Newsgroups. $15 US$

507. Re: W A N T E D: Sanpiper Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: 4fun <4fun@bboxbbs.ch>
Message-ID: <36460063.0@news.bboxbbs.ch>
Source record: 1593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Hi

My Sandpiper antenna fpr 144/430mc, that was scotched on my cars backwindow
has fallen down and was damaged
now I am looking for a store to get a new one.
here in HB9 there are no more dealers selling such products

can anybody help me please

answer to 4fun@airmail.ch

508. sound frequency converter ???

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <jack.axl@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <01be0b54$83106240$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 1594
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,uk.radio.amateur

This request is a little off topic, but I cant think of a better group of people to ask than radio hams.
I have pet rats. They are quite expressive little creatures and its easy to tell when they are happy / sad etc. (if you are not a rat fan you'll have to believe me on that one) But, they rarely make a sound. They squeak with amazing force when they are play fighting with each other. But other than that they wander about in silence.
Or so I thought.
It has been suggested to me that their hearing is capable of detecting frequencies well above that of the human ear, like a dog. And not only that, their normal "rat to rat" communication are also at these frequencies.
I would like to aquire a device to convert frequencies higher than normal human detectable sound (I cant remember the range) down to audible sound.
In other words, I want to hear what my rats are saying.
I have seen such converters on nature programs on TV when listening to bats, so someone must be able to get one.
Does anyone have any ideas ?
Hope someone can humour me. HI
73 for now
Jack MM0AXL

509. Re: sound frequency converter ???

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Henzler <dhenzler@jps.net>
Message-ID: <36465E31.EBCDE2EA@jps.net>
Source record: 1595
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,uk.radio.amateur

Make a diode ring mixer. That is four diodes hooked end to end...(all pointing in the same direction) but put a 300 ohm resistor in series with each diode. Using two centertapped 600 ohm transformers connect the secondary of one transformer to the intersection of the first and third diode resistor pair, and another transformer secondary to the second and fourth diode resistor pairs. Connect a signal generator to the primary of the first transformer and set it for about 5V RMS. Connect your Rat microphone (must have good hi frequency response) to an amplifier and hook the amp output to the second transformer primary. Set the gain of the amp so that noises as weak as a rat might make will be about 5 VRMS as well. Take the center taps of the two transformers to your headphones. You will hear the lower sideband (inverted speach) of the rat's conversation when you get the frequency right. Generator - Rat speech frequency = audio out. As long as you keep the generator at a higher than audible frequency you won't hear any of it feed through the system. You might have a little feed through from the mic, but that will be many dB's down. I don't think you will understand a word being said, but you might hear these supersonic conversations converted to our audible range. You've now got a superhetrodyne rat receiver,.

Good luck de WA6QVI

David

_____
Jack wrote:

> This request is a little off topic, but I cant think of a better group of
> people to ask than radio hams.
> I have pet rats. They are quite expressive little creatures and its easy to
> tell when they are happy / sad etc. (if you are not a rat fan you'll have
> to believe me on that one) But, they rarely make a sound. They squeak with
> amazing force when they are play fighting with each other. But other than
> that they wander about in silence.
> Or so I thought.
> It has been suggested to me that their hearing is capable of detecting
> frequencies well above that of the human ear, like a dog. And not only
> that, their normal "rat to rat" communication are also at these
> frequencies.
> I would like to aquire a device to convert frequencies higher than normal
> human detectable sound (I cant remember the range) down to audible sound.
> In other words, I want to hear what my rats are saying.
> I have seen such converters on nature programs on TV when listening to
> bats, so someone must be able to get one.
> Does anyone have any ideas ?
> Hope someone can humour me. HI

> 73 for now
> Jack MM0AXL

510. HF3B Bowtie??? info

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Castiglione <mcast@flashnospam.net>
Message-ID: <01be0c0a$80d98d60$7ed8c2d0@gma00000tech00>
Source record: 1596
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Just picked up a Bowtie antenna and it doesn't match up to the manual I have for the hf5b. The dimentions are the same(12ft x 6 ft) but it is missing a few traps.And it is too small for their 10/11 meter bowtie.
Did Butternut make another Bowtie..maybe a 3 Band model???
Thanks in Advance

--
M.S. Castiglione N8REZ
Electical Fabrication
GM Truck Group
mcast@flash.net

511. Re: sound frequency converter ???

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Bishop <gbishop@home.com>
Message-ID: <%N822.162$mj4.494538@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 1597
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,uk.radio.amateur

>You've now got a
>superhetrodyne rat receiver,.

But I don't want to be anywhere around when he fires up the 2Kw
Grounded-Grid Rat Amplifier!!

512. FA: LAST DAY!! Kenwood TS-520SE w/500hz CW filter - still at $250!! (EBAY)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Bishop <gbishop@home.com>
Message-ID: <%XU22.596$mj4.1830156@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 1598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

This is the last day for this auction. I'm selling my tried and true 520se with the optional 500hz cw filter installed. Rig is in good cosmetic condition - (some light scratches on the case, face is very good), and excellent operating shape. With manual. Only reason it's leaving is that I just bought a new radio (TS-570D) and wife says if one comes IN, then one goes OUT! (hi, hi). Nice first hf rig, or as a backup. Very fine transmit audio - like most of the tube kenwoods always get great reports on the sound. And the cw filter is great for picking a signal out of the qrm.

So it's on EBAY thru tonight (11/13). Currently at $250. I have a $275 reserve, but have decided to sell for $250 or above plus shipping.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=40493038

Thanks and 73
Gil
WB1GNH

513. WTB: Ham Setup

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rat57z <tyszka@snet.net>
Message-ID: <72h60v$c21@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 1599
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I am a newbe to radio and am looking for a Ham setup. I know nothing about Ham and am looking for advice on what to buy? What I need? Radio, Antenna, license, tuners, etc.. Is there any units that have antenna, etc in one package?
Any advice is appreciated. I would be interested in purchasing now if someone has a setup for sale.

Thanks

Richard

514. Re: FA: LAST DAY!! Kenwood TS-520SE w/500hz CW filter - still at $250!! (EBAY)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James L. Griffith" <griffithj@ricks.edu>
Message-ID: <364C5280.E2EB812D@ricks.edu>
Source record: 1600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Not trying to be price police or anythig like it. But it seems to me one can buy a real nice DSP unit for the same price. I own all the same equipment and do not find anything special about the filter except the only way to find it is used.
Jim, WA7NDD

Gil Bishop wrote:

> This is the last day for this auction. I'm selling my tried and true
> 520se
> with the optional 500hz cw filter installed. Rig is in good cosmetic
> condition - (some light scratches on the case, face is very good), and
>
> excellent operating shape. With manual. Only reason it's leaving is
> that I
> just bought a new radio (TS-570D) and wife says if one comes IN, then
> one
> goes OUT! (hi, hi). Nice first hf rig, or as a backup. Very fine
> transmit
> audio - like most of the tube kenwoods always get great reports on the
>
> sound. And the cw filter is great for picking a signal out of the
> qrm.
>
> So it's on EBAY thru tonight (11/13). Currently at $250. I have a
> $275
> reserve, but have decided to sell for $250 or above plus shipping.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=40493038
>
> Thanks and 73
> Gil
> WB1GNH

515. Ham Radio Logging Program HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Daniel Bell, Jr. KC4OXP" <kc4oxp@flash.net>
Message-ID: <72i6c7$fh6$1@excalibur.flash.net>
Source record: 1601
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for reading my message. I am looking for the BEST VHF & HF logging program available on the internet. Please all advice on what your using and consider to be the best program would be appreciated. Please email me direct at kc4oxp@flash.net
73 Daniel KC4OXP

--
Low Cost Webpages!
North Georgia Web Designs
http://members.tripod.com/KC4OXP/
kc4oxp@flash.net

516. Re: Ham Setup

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Casey <casey.c@shaw.wave.ca>
Message-ID: <jCH32.6821$Lj2.3422557@news.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 1602
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Hi Richard,

The first thing you need to do is get your licence. There are several licence classes, each providing different levels of privileges. Your specific level of licence (privileges) will dictate what type of ham station you should be looking for. If you do not get a licence, it is not appropriate for you to own equipment that can transmit on frequencies that you're not licenced for, so you can save yourself a bundle by waiting until you are licenced. The process of getting your licence will teach you what you need to know to decide how to get started with your station.

Best of Luck.

Rat57z wrote in message <72h60v$c21@news1.snet.net>...
>I am a newbe to radio and am looking for a Ham setup. I know nothing about
>Ham and am looking for advice on what to buy? What I need? Radio,
Antenna,
>license, tuners, etc.. Is there any units that have antenna, etc in one
>package?
>Any advice is appreciated. I would be interested in purchasing now if
>someone has a setup for sale.
>
>Thanks
>
>Richard
>
>

517. Re: Ham Setup

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <mstr-jl@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <IMH32.928$G41.5348@typhoon01.swbell.net>
Source record: 1603
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I'd have to agree with Casey, Richard...

Something you may want to consider is to check your local library for amateur radio related books.

Although they may be a few (and in some cases, more than a few) years old, they will still give you an understanding of what the hobby is, and the various equipment needed for different interests - you can't possibly know what gear to buy until you understand the privileges associated with each license class, how you can operate within that class, and what YOU want to accomplish within the hobby...

Welcome to amateur radio!, and don't feel that you have to spend thousands of dollars to enjoy yourself in the hobby - you really don't...

John

John Lewis
South St. Louis - 63116
mstr-jl@swbell.net

Casey wrote in message ...
>Hi Richard,
>
>The first thing you need to do is get your licence. There are several
>licence classes, each providing different levels of privileges. Your
>specific level of licence (privileges) will dictate what type of ham
station
>you should be looking for. If you do not get a licence, it is not
>appropriate for you to own equipment that can transmit on frequencies that
>you're not licenced for, so you can save yourself a bundle by waiting until
>you are licenced. The process of getting your licence will teach you what
>you need to know to decide how to get started with your station.
>
>Best of Luck.
>
>Rat57z wrote in message <72h60v$c21@news1.snet.net>...
>>I am a newbe to radio and am looking for a Ham setup. I know nothing
about
>>Ham and am looking for advice on what to buy? What I need? Radio,
>Antenna,
>>license, tuners, etc.. Is there any units that have antenna, etc in one
>>package?
>>Any advice is appreciated. I would be interested in purchasing now if

>>someone has a setup for sale.
>>
>>Thanks
>>
>>Richard
>>
>>
>
>

518. Re: Ham Setup

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdouglas@timewave.com
Message-ID: <72nubi$8qj$1@news.minn.net>
Source record: 1604
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

>>
>>Rat57z wrote in message <72h60v$c21@news1.snet.net>...
>>>I am a newbe to radio and am looking for a Ham setup. I know nothing
>about
>>>Ham and am looking for advice on what to buy? What I need? Radio,
>>Antenna,
>>>license, tuners, etc.. Is there any units that have antenna, etc in one
>>>package?
>>>Any advice is appreciated. I would be interested in purchasing now if
>>>someone has a setup for sale.
>>>
>>>Thanks
>>>
>>>Richard
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Hello Richard:

There are several good sources for Ham Radio Information. Look for QST, CQ, 73 and World radio at better news stands. There are lots of ads for books and information if you want to try the hobby.

You can also write the ARRL at newham@arrl.org and get a welcome package. I know a great many new hams that used material from Gordon West, For all his hi-tect he is not on the web. Try W5YI at 1-800-669-9594 for information on becoming a new ham

73 (That's ham-speak for "best wishes")

John Douglas
N0ISL/UB5WJD/UA4LIS.
Timewave technology

Check out http://www.timewave.com
for the latest in DSP upgrades for the PK-232 and
PK-232MBX

519. Re: Ham Setup

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: indy1cache@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <364f934f.3190342@newsread.cioe.com>
Source record: 1605
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

>>I am a newbe to radio and am looking for a Ham setup. I know nothing about
>>Ham and am looking for advice on what to buy? What I need? Radio,

Go to http://www.arrl.org/

You can leave you name and address at the site and they will mail a
brochure of clubs and hams in your area. Also included are locations
and dates for licensing exams. They include a brochure for ording
tapes and books for learning/studying; Yet, you can just go to Radio
Shack and get the books you need.

Hope this helps! Regards, John

520. METEORS!! Don't miss it!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radiodan W7RF <RFpower@radiodan.com>
Message-ID: <GZ_32.7009$Bi1.34633322@clnws01.we.mediaone.net>
Source record: 1606
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Just a note to remind all of the once every 30 year peak of the meteor
showers happening NOW!!!!

It is expected to peak around 1400Z on 11-17-98. Figure excellant conditions
24 hours before and after.
Get on VHF bands and enjoy!!
--
73, Dan Magro
Radiodan W7RF, Portable Clinic
High quality Henry Radio RF Power Amplifiers for LESS!
New, used, unusual, classic radios, accys & RF POWER!
http://www.radiodan.com RFpower@radiodan.com
A trip to our web site is worth the click!

521. Home Brew Radio Page

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eamon Skelton <die.all@spammers.org>
Message-ID: <732cuf$a1v11@kirk.tinet.ie>
Source record: 1607
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,alt.radio,aus.radio,rec.radio

Update to EI9GQ Home Brew Radio Page.

New circuits.
New Photo's
Further progress with the 80M SSB rig.

www.nettaxi.com/citizens/ei9gq/index.html

73 Ed.

--

****

Eamon 'Ed' Skelton EI9GQ
Cork, Ireland

ANTI SPAM RETURN ADDRESS
Real e-mail address below

ei9gq@nettaxi.com

www.nettaxi.com/citizens/ei9gq/

****

522. ROLY VALDEZ: et al

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <73cri7$97i$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 1608
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi all.. please be aware that ALL merchandise I ordered from Roly has arrived a little late, but AS STATED IN CONDITION STATED, People do sometimes have difficulties and we can't plan for the unexpected, so let's cut the guy some slack. I posted with a simple question: 'where did you go and what happened to your contact lines?' This apparantly sparked a rash of other postings, I assume, of a less than polite nature.... I hope it wasn't my posting that started it and if so, I do apologize to anyone affected. Roly, if you're out there...Thanks, and hope u resolve the problems, whatever they were and all turns out for the good.....
73 to all,
Randy

523. Hammcomm Decoder or soundcard software? NEC versa M/75

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-11-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <73ulah$r7b$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 1609
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.mods,comp.sys.laptops,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi,

I have a NEC Versa M/75 notebook and am having trouble finding software/freeware/shareware that will acknowledge the soundcard, and being the inept one that i am, have also not had much luck by changing the irq's, etc. some software works, some doesn't. It is a built in card with windows drivers, which emulates soundblaster. I'm trying to run things like ACARS decoder, POCSAG decoder, SSTV and RTTY decoders..... am I wasting my time trying to make the "soundcard-type software" work?? Should I just order that HamComm serial port decoder and be done with it? (it do seem to have a lot more software avail.) also, anyone with input on the usefulness and operation of your hamcomm decoder, please feel free to input! Can it be used with a spectrum analyzer program?
thanks for the time and please email replies!
Randy

524. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjohnson@portup.com
Message-ID: <drjohnson-0412980825080001@portup148.portup.com>
Source record: 1610
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at

http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in one lot if you want.

525. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjohnson@portup.com
Message-ID: <drjohnson-0412980825490001@portup148.portup.com>
Source record: 1611
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at

http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in one lot if you want.

526. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjohnson@portup.com
Message-ID: <drjohnson-0412980826050001@portup148.portup.com>
Source record: 1612
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at

http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in one lot if you want.

527. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjohnson@portup.com
Message-ID: <drjohnson-0412980826280001@portup148.portup.com>
Source record: 1613
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at

http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in one lot if you want.

528. Re: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: M G Douglas <coacsol@globalnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <749908$9f0$1@newnews.global.net.uk>
Source record: 1614
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

Doug Johnson wrote in message ...
>I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at
>
>http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable
>when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay
>auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my
>list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in
>one lot if you want.

FINE, but forgive me if I suggest that we don't need to know all 4 times:
please remember that an NG post can take up to 15 minutes or so to arrive.
Thanks.

Gordon D.

529. Re: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: reese@larmor.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu
Message-ID: <74besa$cok@larmor.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu>
Source record: 1615
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

In article <749908$9f0$1@newnews.global.net.uk>,
M G Douglas <coacsol@globalnet.co.uk> wrote:
>Doug Johnson wrote in message ...
>>I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at
<repetitive post snipped>
>FINE, but forgive me if I suggest that we don't need to know all 4 times:
>please remember that an NG post can take up to 15 minutes or so to arrive.
>Thanks.

>Gordon D.

Ha! Maybe from _your_ news server, Gordon. Mine can take from seconds to
days, depending on the routing and traffic ... cheers tr

--

Tim Reese, MGH NMR Center reese@nmr.MGH.harvard.edu

530. Re: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: M G Douglas <coacsol@globalnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <74dnco$1a7$1@newnews.global.net.uk>
Source record: 1616
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

Tim Reese wrote in message <74besa$cok@larmor.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu>...
>In article <749908$9f0$1@newnews.global.net.uk>,
>M G Douglas <coacsol@globalnet.co.uk> wrote:
>>Doug Johnson wrote in message ...
>>>I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at
><repetitive post snipped>
>>FINE, but forgive me if I suggest that we don't need to know all 4 times:
>>please remember that an NG post can take up to 15 minutes or so to arrive.
>>Thanks.
>
>>Gordon D.
>
>
>Ha! Maybe from _your_ news server, Gordon. Mine can take from seconds to
>days, depending on the routing and traffic ... cheers tr
>
>--
>---
>Tim Reese, MGH NMR Center reese@nmr.MGH.harvard.edu

Bl**dy H*ll - and I thought I was being so careful, the usual time taken being 1 minute! If we can fit into the Net so fast from over here what's wrong with your system (Mass?) right at the heart of things? Change ISP?

Cheers, Gordon.

531. Need KNWD TK-350 Manual, Cable and Software

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: xopher <rumcd@open.org>
Message-ID: <s0Wc2.1136$c5.171@news14.ispnews.com>
Source record: 1617
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm looking for a manual or a photocopy for the Kenwood TK-350 UHF HT. I'm also looking for the programming cable and applicable software.

TNX!

KD6FIB / Christopher
rumcd@open.org

532. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjohnson@portup.com
Message-ID: <drjohnson-1412980748200001@portup231.portup.com>
Source record: 1618
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at

http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in one lot if you want.

533. 2m/440MHz gear and Cushcraft R-5 vertical HF antenna for sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Bransford <jerrypb@home.com>
Message-ID: <36773A13.291B@home.com>
Source record: 1619
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,

1) Standard (brand) "Twin Bander" (Dual Band) 144 MHz/450 MHz FM Transceiver. Includes optional 100 channel memory upgrade. Can also be used for repeater or packet duties. All controls are on the microphone so you can mount the main unit anywhere in your car or truck. It includes the 2m extension cable between the microphone/controls and the main unit. It works perfectly and looks near new. It's never been abused. It has been modified so it can transmit/receive on Civil Air Patrol frequencies. It is made for mobile use so it needs 12 volts DC to operate on. It has around 50 watt output and can receive from 118 MHz to over 800 MHz in addition to the ham and CAP bands. It can receive on two different bands simultaneously, and can also be used as a cross-band repeater. Simultaneous receive and transmit is possible.

2) ICOM IC-W2A Dual Band FM hand-held Transceiver. 5 Watts output. Also modified for use on the CAP bands that have no negative effect on normal operations. This also receives outside the hambands too and includes 118 to over 800 Mhz. It can receive on two different bands simultaneously, and can also be used as a cross-band repeater. Simultaneous receive and transmit is possible. This unit can also operate packet. 60 memory channels.

3) Cushcraft R-5 HF Vertical antenna. Perfect for the 10M band now coming back alive. This unit is near-new, since it was only installed a couple hours before a neighbor threatened to sue me over it (CC&Rs). Please, no comments on that LOL... I dealt with that some time back. I don't recall the specific bands this antenna works but 10/15/17/20 come to mind.

If you don't want to spend cash, I will negotiate for certain items I want you can buy off your credit card and ship to me in exchange.

Please, PLEASE... don't contact me UNLESS you are serious about buying one or more of the above units, I get too much email as it is. I'll accept best offers for them all. $350 minimum for the Standard, $300 for the ICOM, $150 for the Cushcraft. You pay shipping costs.

73,

Jerry Bransford

--
Jerry Bransford
PP-ASEL KC6TAY C.A.P.
The Zen Hotdog... make me one with everything!

534. Re: kenwood service center letter

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Dunckel <wdunckel@best.com>
Message-ID: <36788A13.D885D0A8@best.com>
Source record: 1620
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio

So, after suffering with the TH-78, you decided to buy a TMV7a. You are obviously a masochist. Let me put you out of your misery. Give me your TM-V7A.

Glad to help.

Walter

Chris & Darla Dusseau wrote:
>
> a little letter i wrote to the kenwood customer care center on 12-16-98
> followed by an earler letter and there so called responce. kennwod has a
> warenty repair return wait of 1 month. which is a pile of crap.
>
> 12-16-98
> well this is the the same letter i sent you on the 2nd of december, it
> is now 14 days
> later and still no reply. this is just as bad as the return rate on
> waranty service. well
> seeing how you were no help to me. i will let the people know about this
> on all the ham
> news groups and in email to other hams i know, encouraging a boycott of
> kenwwod amature
> radio equipment, along with a posting of this matter on my web site. i
> for that matter
> will never buy another kenwood radio. it dosent take much to respond and
> take care of
> your customers who work there ass of to spend way too much of there
> money on your crapy
> equipment even when it is know for being crapy. i have owend a 78-a and
> now this tm-v7a
> and have never been happy with this equipment, i was much happyer when i
> owend a ft-530
> from yaesu. i never had a problem with that radio. but this little
> letter wont be or make
> a big deal to you, youll just erase it and forget about it in a few
> hours or so. put your
> self in the customers shoes. spend your hard earned kenwood money on
> somthing crapy and
> try to get it fixed or just be happy with it and youll see what it feels
> like to be
> screwd. if i could return this radio i would, but i guess im stuck with
> the smelll and
> bad taste of kenwood in my mouth.

>
> 12-2-98
> any known problems with the tm-v7a, mine seems not to be working like it
> should
>
> 12-4-98
> Dear Chris:
> Thank you for your e-mail. What kind of problems are you having?
> Sincerely,
> Kenwood Customer Care

535. kenwood service center letter

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris & Darla Dusseau <ke6fgy@flash.net>
Message-ID: <367873A7.469FE30B@flash.net>
Source record: 1621
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio

a little letter i wrote to the kenwood customer care center on 12-16-98 followed by an earler letter and there so called responce. kennwod has a warenty repair return wait of 1 month. which is a pile of crap.

12-16-98
well this is the the same letter i sent you on the 2nd of december, it is now 14 days
later and still no reply. this is just as bad as the return rate on waranty service. well
seeing how you were no help to me. i will let the people know about this on all the ham
news groups and in email to other hams i know, encouraging a boycott of kenwwod amature
radio equipment, along with a posting of this matter on my web site. i for that matter
will never buy another kenwood radio. it dosent take much to respond and take care of
your customers who work there ass of to spend way too much of there money on your crapy
equipment even when it is know for being crapy. i have owend a 78-a and now this tm-v7a
and have never been happy with this equipment, i was much happyer when i owend a ft-530
from yaesu. i never had a problem with that radio. but this little letter wont be or make
a big deal to you, youll just erase it and forget about it in a few hours or so. put your
self in the customers shoes. spend your hard earned kenwood money on somthing crapy and
try to get it fixed or just be happy with it and youll see what it feels like to be
screwd. if i could return this radio i would, but i guess im stuck with the smelll and
bad taste of kenwood in my mouth.

12-2-98
any known problems with the tm-v7a, mine seems not to be working like it should

12-4-98
Dear Chris:
Thank you for your e-mail. What kind of problems are you having?
Sincerely,
Kenwood Customer Care

536. Re: kenwood service center letter

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Martin <dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01a9fc5c$4688f3c0$1be7a1d1@sbe>
Source record: 1622
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio

Well, I must say I had a problem with a Kenwood product(s), I Emailed them in a contructive manner, enquiring what can be done, and recieved EXCELLENT customer satisfaction. Try being a little more detailled in your posts to Kenwood, If there is the odd bug, they aren't going to publicize it, but probobly would be happy to make amends. Your original post does not address what your problem with the raido is. They can't help you,if you don't clue them in.

dwmartin@idirect.com
--

Chris & Darla Dusseau <ke6fgy@flash.net> wrote in article
<367873A7.469FE30B@flash.net>...
> a little letter i wrote to the kenwood customer care center on 12-16-98
> followed by an earler letter and there so called responce. kennwod has a
> warenty repair return wait of 1 month. which is a pile of crap.
>
> 12-16-98
> well this is the the same letter i sent you on the 2nd of december, it
> is now 14 days
> later and still no reply. this is just as bad as the return rate on
> waranty service. well
> seeing how you were no help to me. i will let the people know about this
> on all the ham
> news groups and in email to other hams i know, encouraging a boycott of
> kenwwod amature
> radio equipment, along with a posting of this matter on my web site. i
> for that matter
> will never buy another kenwood radio. it dosent take much to respond and
> take care of
> your customers who work there ass of to spend way too much of there
> money on your crapy
> equipment even when it is know for being crapy. i have owend a 78-a and
> now this tm-v7a
> and have never been happy with this equipment, i was much happyer when i
> owend a ft-530
> from yaesu. i never had a problem with that radio. but this little
> letter wont be or make
> a big deal to you, youll just erase it and forget about it in a few
> hours or so. put your
> self in the customers shoes. spend your hard earned kenwood money on
> somthing crapy and
> try to get it fixed or just be happy with it and youll see what it feels
> like to be

> screwd. if i could return this radio i would, but i guess im stuck with
> the smelll and
> bad taste of kenwood in my mouth.
>
>
> 12-2-98
> any known problems with the tm-v7a, mine seems not to be working like it
> should
>
> 12-4-98
> Dear Chris:
> Thank you for your e-mail. What kind of problems are you having?
> Sincerely,
> Kenwood Customer Care
>
>

537. Trade Laptop for 6 meter radio.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: finehomes <finehomes@mail.lds.net>
Message-ID: <75i4hc$445$1@newsfeed.netutah.net>
Source record: 1623
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hi All. I'm looking to get involved in 6 meters and need a radio. I have
AT&T 486 DX4/75 mhz laptop with color screen, 20 megs ram, 540 meg HD,
33.6K modem, WIN95, etc etc. Great little machine with leather carry case.
Will take $350.00 for it or trade for radio equipment. Thanks.

Sam
KC7QCE

538. Help:Pre-Amp Schematic Diagram

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin <ve3bi@ica.net.DELETE>
Message-ID: <367D4256.C675D647@ica.net.DELETE>
Source record: 1624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio

Hello there,

Please help me find the schematic diagram for Avantek pre-amplifier.
Model # is AK-400N and it covers 200-400 MHz.
I need to fix it for my 220 repeater.
I'll pay for the cost of copying and postage.

Thanks and Happy Holiday!.
73 Robin
(Pse remove DELETE at the end of my email address.)

539. Holiday Hours

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Multicomm 2000 <multicomm@multicomm2000.com>
Message-ID: <75r5ltipk1@starburst.uk.insnet.net>
Source record: 1625
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.ham-radio

We would like to take this oppertunity in wishing you all a very merry Christmas and a Happy new Year.

Opening Hours: Thursday 24th December through 12th Jan 1999
CLOSED :)

Business as normal from that time.

Martyn Allison
Multicomm 2000

540. Kenwood HTs and accessories FS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Simpson <W8EK@usa.net>
Message-ID: <ycbh2.263$B46.774241@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 1626
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio

The following Kenwood HTs and accessories are for sale:

TH-21 AT - small, synthesized 2 meter HT. Basic, without a bunch of "bells and whistles". Includes TT Pad built in, rubber duck antenna, good battery, charger, original box and manual. $ 100

TH-41 BT - as above, but for 440 MHz. Sub audible tone adjustable by DIP switches on front panel. Same accessories as above. $ 125

Stubby rubber duck antennas. Will fit the TH-21, TH-31, or TH-41 respectively. (Separate antenna for 2 meters, 220 MHz, or 440 MHz) $ 10 each

BT-6 empty battery try for the TH-21 series HTs. Hold 6 AAA batteries. $ 20

DC-21, DC (cigarette lighter) cord and power converter for TH-21 series HTs $ 25

SC-8 T, soft case for the above series HTs. $ 15

BH-3 belt clip for above series HTs $ 4

TH-21 "Special". This is an HT as above, but will transmit only. Receive is dead. HT for transmit only. Would be great for "Fox hunts", as the unit is fully synthesized, and the transmitter works fine. $ 25 as is.

Other Kenwood Accessories. All are NEW, in the original packing.

PB-26 battery pack for TR-2600 or TR-2500 $ 50

MC-43 microphone, 8 pin, hand mic, like came with most of the HF radios. Has "Up" and "Down" buttons. $ 55

SC-8T soft case $ 15

Prices do not include shipping from Florida.

Thanks, and Happy New Year.

73,

Ken, W8EK

Ken Simpson
E-Mail to W8EK@usa.net or W8EK@fdt.net
http://www.gnv.fdt.net/~kenmar
Voice Phone (352) 732-8400

541. An FT900at FS $775

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Crew <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <764g50$ij3$1@news.citynet.net>
Source record: 1627
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi,
Well, I'm giving it up in lieu of a new computer....

NEVER MOBILE

Yaesu FT900AT
auto freq. tracking tuner built in
modded for MARS/CAP
all the normal base model stuff....
no scratches or dents, works great!
Purchased new in 1995 from AES, (I even have the reciept.. over $1300!!)
Radio, manual, hand mike, box, papers to include factory mods, etc...
factory filters
Priced at $775, uship, No trades, please....
Please reply via EMAIL
Picture emailed on request and this one will have to be prepay, so
references available, too, from these groups even!
thanks for the time and space,
Randy, KC8CPK

542. Re: kenwood service center letter

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenn Garner <pamkenn@iland.net>
Message-ID: <3686B149.498DE50F@iland.net>
Source record: 1628
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio

We started servicing Kenwood commercial equipment 9 months ago and have yet
to be able to get even one part. We ordered a microphone and they could not
even fill that order.
The customers are providing us with radio's to butcher for parts and
replacing them as needed with motorola's

Kenn

Chris & Darla Dusseau wrote:

> a little letter i wrote to the kenwood customer care center on 12-16-98
> followed by an earler letter and there so called responce. kennwod has a
> warenty repair return wait of 1 month. which is a pile of crap.
>
> 12-16-98
> well this is the the same letter i sent you on the 2nd of december, it
> is now 14 days
> later and still no reply. this is just as bad as the return rate on
> waranty service. well
> seeing how you were no help to me. i will let the people know about this
> on all the ham
> news groups and in email to other hams i know, encouraging a boycott of
> kenwwod amature
> radio equipment, along with a posting of this matter on my web site. i
> for that matter
> will never buy another kenwood radio. it dosent take much to respond and
> take care of
> your customers who work there ass of to spend way too much of there
> money on your crapy
> equipment even when it is know for being crapy. i have owend a 78-a and
> now this tm-v7a
> and have never been happy with this equipment, i was much happyer when i
> owend a ft-530
> from yaesu. i never had a problem with that radio. but this little
> letter wont be or make
> a big deal to you, youll just erase it and forget about it in a few
> hours or so. put your
> self in the customers shoes. spend your hard earned kenwood money on
> somthing crapy and
> try to get it fixed or just be happy with it and youll see what it feels
> like to be
> screwd. if i could return this radio i would, but i guess im stuck with
> the smelll and
> bad taste of kenwood in my mouth.

>
> 12-2-98
> any known problems with the tm-v7a, mine seems not to be working like it
> should
>
> 12-4-98
> Dear Chris:
> Thank you for your e-mail. What kind of problems are you having?
> Sincerely,
> Kenwood Customer Care

543. Yaesu FT-920: To mod or not to mod (please share your experiences)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hughjass@REMOVE_THIS.beer.com
Message-ID: <1xXh2.12020$Vg2.3304605@news3.mia>
Source record: 1629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

I have a new Yaesu ft-920 HF+6M radio and have been itching to do the
Tx-expand mod found at various radio mod sites. See:
http://home1.inet.tele.dk/ehh/mods/mod/yaesu/ft-920.htm

This mod involves uploading a file directly through the serial port that will
supposedly unlock Tx on the full range of frequencies.

I have searched around and read the newsgroups and some have said that
this mod was not intended for the US model, and that it could damage the
CPU. Others have said that it worked fine. I did not actually see any posts
from anyone who did the mod unsuccessfully and ruined their radio.

I would appreciate feedback/comments from people who have performed this
mod to their radios, and what the outcome was. I would like to see this issue
clarified once and for all.

Please, no flames.

Thanks,

Hugh

544. Re: Yaesu FT-920: To mod or not to mod (please share your experiences)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <kf6knb@usa.net>
Message-ID: <76a392suu1@news-1.news.gte.net>
Source record: 1630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Hugh Jass <hughjass@REMOVE_THIS.beer.com> wrote in message

>I have a new Yaesu ft-920 HF+6M radio and have been itching to do the
>Tx-expand mod found at various radio mod sites.

>I have searched around and read the newsgroups and some have said that
>this mod was not intended for the US model, and that it could damage the
>CPU. Others have said that it worked fine. I did not actually see any
posts
>from anyone who did the mod unsuccessfully and ruined their radio.
>
>I would appreciate feedback/comments from people who have performed this
>mod to their radios, and what the outcome was. I would like to see this
issue
>clarified once and for all.
>
>Please, no flames.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Hugh

This issue can't be "resolved once and for all". As several previous posts have indicated, the sucess or failure of the mod depends on the CPU version and E-Prom Bios rev in your particular radio. Since there is no info on which versions it will work with and which versions it will destroy, the risk is yours. Take a gamble and try it, or be safe and send it to Yaesu with a copy of your MARS/CAP endorsement and they will do it for you for the cost of shipping.

(Incidentally, I have seen a post or two on the newsgroups from persons who have had damage from trying this mod. You might want to search www.dejanews.com and try to find them for yourself. However, I would suspect that most of the people who may have messed up their rigs with this mod would avoid telling the world about it by posting it here.)

As Mr. Eastwood once said "Do you feel lucky ?"

73 de KF6KNB

545. Re: Yaesu FT-920: To mod or not to mod (please share your experiences)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <4D8i2.2936$tr6.824@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 1631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

I guess you have to ask yourself, why do you want to do the mod, and will/can you use it once it's done, and if it works? And remember the old saying... "If it works, don't fix it!" The FT-920 is a super radio... you don't want to screw it up.

Bill Crocker

Hugh Jass wrote in message <1xXh2.12020$Vg2.3304605@news3.mia>...
>I have a new Yaesu ft-920 HF+6M radio and have been itching to do the
>Tx-expand mod found at various radio mod sites. See:
>http://home1.inet.tele.dk/ehh/mods/mod/yaesu/ft-920.htm
>
>This mod involves uploading a file directly through the serial port that will
>supposedly unlock Tx on the full range of frequencies.
>
>I have searched around and read the newsgroups and some have said that
>this mod was not intended for the US model, and that it could damage the
>CPU. Others have said that it worked fine. I did not actually see any posts
>from anyone who did the mod unsuccessfully and ruined their radio.
>
>I would appreciate feedback/comments from people who have performed this
>mod to their radios, and what the outcome was. I would like to see this issue
>clarified once and for all.
>
>Please, no flames.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Hugh

546. Re: READ THIS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <billharx@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3689407B.724@flash.net>
Source record: 1632
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur

Flashnet has a strict policy against users spamming the net, please
forward this to abuse@flash.net

Keoki wrote:
>
> $$MAKING MONEY$$ Posted by WILL on December 20 at 08:53:09 I found this
> on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was
> browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an
> article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars
> within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought,
> "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious,
> so I kept reading. : Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the
> 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name
> and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at
> least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So
> after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought
> about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and
> $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT! Within
> 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it
> would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I
> made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of
> over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still
> growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over
> $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. : It's certainly worth
> $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery! Let me
> tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works. Also, make
> sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the
> information off of it, as you need it. I promise you that if you follow
> the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you
> thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this
> entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the
> simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal.
> And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not
> a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no
> risk - it really works! If all of the following instructions are
> adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE:
> Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours
> in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty
> and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by
> carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the
> Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and
> tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing
> Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality

> lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to
> the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be
> included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
>
> STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each
> piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00
> bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
> will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place
> one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have
> 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase,
> your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating
> a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
> service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little
> skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I
> checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they
> confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following
> addresses:
>
> #1) Michael 12301 Studebaker #219, Norwalk, CA 90650
> #2) Sandy P.O. Box 203, Norwalk, CA 90651
> #3) William 15908 Summer Sage Rd, Poway, CA 92064
> #4)Beaner 12 Windsor Cres., North Bay, Ontario, Canada poh 1p0 :
> #5) Brandon Ridenhour 4750 Sherrills Ford Rd. Salisbury, N.C. 28147 :
> #6) Gonzalo PO Box 219219122, Houston, Texas 77218
>
> STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the
> other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc.): and add YOUR name as
> number 6 on the list.
>
> STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as
> close to the original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at
> least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All
> you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, and the more money you
> make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18
> Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps
> for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and
> again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of
> the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully
> adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of
> integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
> have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every
> name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep
> the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
> service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark
> paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded
> and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed
> for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your
> name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches
> the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH! What
> an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people

> listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in
> business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TONEWSGROUPS------------
>
> Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
> posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and
> drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from
> the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's
> memory.
>
> Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of
> the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select ' paste '. This will paste
> a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the
> list.
>
> Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do
> your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go
> back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites,
> discussions.) Step 5) Visit this message boards and post this article as
> a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting
> paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header
> that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a
> particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your
> first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to
> different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, and it
> will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
>
> **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL
> MAKE! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
>
> That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
> days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount
> of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent
> a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
>
> **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now the why part;
> assume for example you get a 7.5% return, which is very conservative.
>
> 1) When you mail out 200 letters, 15 people will send you $1.00
> 2) Those 15 mail out 200 letters, 225 people will send you $1.00
> 3) Those 225 mail out 200 letters, 3,375 people will send you $1.00
> 4) Those 3,375 mail out 200 letters, 50,625 people will send you $1.00
> 5) Those 3,375 mail out 200 letters, 759,375 people will send you $1.00
>
> By now your name has dropped off the list, but so far you have received
> at least $759,375.00! : With an original investment of only $6.00!
> AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the
> latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on
> the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
> The thing to remember is do you realize that thousands of people all

> over the world are joining the Internet and reading these articles
> everyday? JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it
> really works? I think so. People have said, "what if the plan is played
> out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of
> that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest
> people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
> willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users,
> every day, with thousands of those joining the actual Internet.
> Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

--
****
* Bill Harris - Bill's Antique Radio Emporium *
* http://www.flash.net/~billhar *
* to email, remove the x in the id of the address *
****

547. Re: Yaesu FT-920: To mod or not to mod (please share your experiences)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clif <avvid@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <36897C58.52F3@onramp.net>
Source record: 1633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> I guess you have to ask yourself, why do you want to do the mod, and
> will/can you use it once it's done, and if it works? And remember the old
> saying... "If it works, don't fix it!" The FT-920 is a super radio... you
> don't want to screw it up.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> Hugh Jass wrote in message <1xXh2.12020$Vg2.3304605@news3.mia>...
> >I have a new Yaesu ft-920 HF+6M radio and have been itching to do the
> >Tx-expand mod found at various radio mod sites. See:
> >http://home1.inet.tele.dk/ehh/mods/mod/yaesu/ft-920.htm
> >
> >This mod involves uploading a file directly through the serial port that
> will
> >supposedly unlock Tx on the full range of frequencies.
> >
> >I have searched around and read the newsgroups and some have said that
> >this mod was not intended for the US model, and that it could damage the
> >CPU. Others have said that it worked fine. I did not actually see any
> posts
> >from anyone who did the mod unsuccessfully and ruined their radio.
> >
> >I would appreciate feedback/comments from people who have performed this
> >mod to their radios, and what the outcome was. I would like to see this
> issue
> >clarified once and for all.
> >
> >Please, no flames.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Hugh

Correction to old saying. "If it ain't broke, DON'T fix it"
--
Clif Holland KA5IPF
Owner of AVVid, an Authorized Kenwood and Icom Service Center
Click on the following or call 1-800-214-5779
http://rampages.onramp.net/~avvid

548. FS Plectron Receiver/Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Hoerz <khoerz@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <368a5f6b.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 1539
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale 3 Plectron Patrol Receiver/Recorders. All are VHF and in good working order. Asking $40 ea or $100 for all three plus shipping. May consider trades for?

khoerz@accessus.net

549. Re: Yaesu FT-920: To mod or not to mod (please share your experiences)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rod Thompson <tech@rtsars.com>
Message-ID: <X7LhqpBN#GA.171@newstoo.hiwaay.net>
Source record: 1540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

We, RT Systems Amateur Radio Supply, have seen numerous radio that were damaged by using the software that was found the internet. The usual charges to repair the damage is $160.00 plus shipping.

We can do the mod for you for $30.00 plus shipping.

Hugh Jass wrote in message <1xXh2.12020$Vg2.3304605@news3.mia>...
>I have a new Yaesu ft-920 HF+6M radio and have been itching to do the
>Tx-expand mod found at various radio mod sites. See:
>http://home1.inet.tele.dk/ehh/mods/mod/yaesu/ft-920.htm
>
>This mod involves uploading a file directly through the serial port that will
>supposedly unlock Tx on the full range of frequencies.
>
>I have searched around and read the newsgroups and some have said that
>this mod was not intended for the US model, and that it could damage the
>CPU. Others have said that it worked fine. I did not actually see any posts
>from anyone who did the mod unsuccessfully and ruined their radio.
>
>I would appreciate feedback/comments from people who have performed this
>mod to their radios, and what the outcome was. I would like to see this issue
>clarified once and for all.
>
>Please, no flames.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Hugh

550. OFF TOPIC (BIG BIRD AWARD)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Randy B." <rbkb8iii@erinet.com>
Message-ID: <76ehjgmla1@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 1541
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap

```
Tune in to 3.865 @ 8pm EST for the BIG BIRD AWARD
```

551. Re: Yaesu FT-920: To mod or not to mod (please share your experiences)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <4hfi2.4301$tr6.1210@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 1634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Oops... I knew it was something like that! :)

Bill Crocker

Clif wrote in message <36897C58.52F3@onramp.net>...
>Bill Crocker wrote:
>>
>> I guess you have to ask yourself, why do you want to do the mod, and
>> will/can you use it once it's done, and if it works? And remember the
old
>> saying... "If it works, don't fix it!" The FT-920 is a super radio...
you
>> don't want to screw it up.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>
>Correction to old saying. "If it ain't broke, DON'T fix it"
>--
>Clif Holland KA5IPF
>Owner of AVVid, an Authorized Kenwood and Icom Service Center
>Click on the following or call 1-800-214-5779
>http://rampages.onramp.net/~avvid

552. Re: FS Plectron Receiver/Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <368B0B16.59594465@earthlink.net>
Source record: 1542
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

what are they currently programmed to frequency wise???

Kurt Hoerz wrote:

> I have for sale 3 Plectron Patrol Receiver/Recorders. All are VHF and in
> good working order. Asking $40 ea or $100 for all three plus shipping. May
> consider trades for?
>
> khoerz@accessus.net

553. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: drjohnson@portup.com
Message-ID: <drjohnson-3112980920100001@portup638.portup.com>
Source record: 1543
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,alt.electronics,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace,sci.electronics.components,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio

I've got a list of all my tubes for sale and their prices at

http://www.portup.com/~drjohnson/tubepage.html. Prices are negotiable when buying several tubes, or will put any tubes requested on an Ebay auction, in any lot you want. So, if there's 5 tubes you need off my list, and you want the best price, I will put them all up for auction in one lot if you want.

554. Re: READ THIS

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 1998-12-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gwoods@wrgb.com
Message-ID: <368b9f6b.71587986@news2.global2000.net>
Source record: 1544
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur

Bill <billharx@flash.net> wrote:

>Flashnet has a strict policy against users spamming the net, please
>forward this to abuse@flash.net
>
>Keoki wrote:
>>
>> $$MAKING MONEY$$ Posted by WILL on December 20 at 08:53:09 I found this
>> on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was
>
It would have been _much_ better not to quote the whole spam. Remember, we've already seen it
(many, many times!).

--
Gary Woods O- K2AHC Public key at www.albany.net/~gwoods, or get 0x1D64A93D via keyserver
gwoods@albany.net gwoods@wrgb.com
fingerprint = E2 6F 50 93 7B C7 F3 CA 1F 8B 3C C0 B0 28 68 0B